EDITORIAL

The weekend blues

Mamas A. Mamas¹, Aditya Bharadwaj²

- 1 Keele Cardiovascular Research Group, Centre for Prognosis Research, Keele University, Keele, United Kingdom
- 2 Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Loma Linda University Health, Loma Linda, California, United States

RELATED ARTICLE

by Stępień et al, see p. 942

Worse outcomes in patients presenting to the hospital at weekends as compared to weekdays, the so-called "weekend effect," is a well--described phenomenon. It has been described in a variety of clinical conditions including acute myocardial infarction (AMI),1 congestive heart failure, stroke,² acute pulmonary embolism³; and in different healthcare settings from across the world.^{3,4} The weekend effect on management and outcomes of AMI has been of particular interest to practicing cardiologists.⁵ A meta-analysis of 48 studies enrolling 1896859 patients revealed that AMI presenting during off-hours (nights and weekends) had slightly higher mortality (odds ratio [OR], 1.06; 95% CI, 1.04-1.09) and longer door-to-balloon times (14.8 minutes; 95% CI, 10.7-19.0) for the cohort with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).6 A more recent meta-analysis by our group consisting of over 14 million acute coronary syndrome patients revealed that there remained a slightly increased risk of early mortality (OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.03-1.09) for weekend presentations and this weekend effect has persisted over time, and was observed in both STEMI and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI).1

The current study by Stępień et al⁷ investigated the long-term prognosis of 865 patients with AMI treated on weekends or public holidays (NWDs) versus working days (WDs) at a university hospital in Poland between 2012 and 2017. Patients admitted on NWDs had higher percentage of STE-MI (41.3% vs 30.8%; P = 0.005) and larger area of myocardium involved (median [interquartile range, IQR] isoenzyme MB of creatine kinase; 24 [16-61] IU/l vs 21 [14-19] IU/l; P = 0.003) with the left anterior descending artery as the infarct--related artery (38.1% vs 30.2%; P = 0.031). Even though majority (66.3%) of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) were performed by high-volume operators (>100 PCIs/year) on NWDs, the incidence of inadequate epicardial reperfusion (Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction scale [TIMI] 0/1) was higher on NWDs compared with WDs (6.8% vs 1.6%; P < 0.001). The authors reported no differences between patients admitted on NWDs as compared with WDs in terms of length of hospitalization (median [IQR], 5 [4–8] days vs 6 [3–8] days; P=0.66) and in-hospital (2.7% vs 3.0%; P=0.84) and 1-year (13.5% vs 11.5%; P=0.46) mortality.

The study suffers from a number of limitations, and the findings should be interpreted with caution. The analysis does not capture the time from symptom to presentation or door-to-balloon times which have been previously shown to be longer on NWDs, which may account for the larger infarct sizes seen in the analysis. The small sample size precludes subgroup analysis of NSTEMI and STEMI to determine whether the worse longer-term outcomes observed are homogenous across both populations, or restricted to 1 group.

One of the most interesting observations of the current study is the finding of a divergence of survival curves beyond 1 year, with all-cause long-term mortality being higher in the NWD group compared with the WD group (36.3% vs 28.4%; P = 0.037). The long-term outcome data constitutes a major strength of current study since most prior studies have focused on early or in-hospital mortality, 1,5,6 and represents one of the first analyses to study this phenomenon in Poland. The reasons for a "delayed" increase in mortality in the NWD group are unclear but could relate to a number of factors. Patients in the NWD group had larger infarcts and so it remains possible that the differences in death in the longer--term relate to heart failure deaths, or lack of regular follow-up, particularly for those patients discharged during weekends and public holidays.

Stepien et al⁷ have further strengthened their analysis by elaborating on the myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary artery disease (MINOCA) subset of patients with AMI. MINOCA is a complex disease entity encompassing coronary vasospasm, microvascular dysfunction, coronary thrombosis, and spontaneous coronary artery dissection⁸ with previous studies also reporting a similar circadian and circaseptan pattern to its incidence. MINOCA was

Correspondence to: Prof. Mamas A. Mamas. Keele Cardiovascular Research Group, Centre for Prognosis Research. Institute for Primary Care and Health Sciences, David Weatherall Building, Keele University, Keele, Newcastle, UK ST5 5BG, United Kingdom, phone: +441782732933 email: mamasmamas1@vahoo.co.uk Received: October 21, 2020 Accepted: November 2, 2020 Published online: November 30, 2020. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2020: 130 (11): 932-933

doi:10.20452/pamw.15693

Copyright by the Author(s), 2020

more frequently diagnosed on WDs compared with NWDs. However, there were no differences in baseline characteristics, comorbidities, or all-cause mortality at long-term follow-up between WD and NWD groups, although the sample size of 67 patients means that the study is grossly underpowered to detect meaningful differences, particularly given that the weekend effect size was small in the whole cohort (HR, 1.027; 95% CI, 1.022–1.032).

Despite improvements in medical access and standardization of AMI protocols in most developed countries in the world, the persistence of the weekend effect among patients with AMI is a matter of concern. It has been suggested that differences in staff-related issues in delivery of care in hospitals on weekends may account for the disparity of outcomes amongst weekend admissions. Furthermore, the absence of more senior doctors, the loss of continuity of care, and less supervision of junior staff are likely to compound problems further.1 From a healthcare standpoint, management of patients with AMI should be consistent irrespective of the time of presentation. Prior studies have reported lower utilization of coronary angiography and PCI in patients with AMI treated on weekends, which was associated with a higher incidence of mortality and complications compared to their weekday counterparts. 10 A study by Glaser et al 11 of STEMI patients undergoing PCI between 1997 and 2006 reported a higher incidence of coronary dissection and less frequent use of intracoronary imaging in patients treated "off-hours." One of the issues consistently identified in analyses around the weekend effect is that patients that present on NWDs tend to present later from the onset of their symptoms and therefore sicker. Whilst public health education initiatives have been implemented in many healthcare systems for patients to recognize the importance of early presentation to emergency services during episodes of chest pain, these disparities persist. Such public health initiatives are of particular relevance during the COVID-19 pandemic where admissions for AMI have decreased 12 and with patients presenting later¹³ that may compound any potential weekend effect.

Whilst Bob Geldof and The Boom Town Rats sang "I don't like Mondays," the current analysis and a large body of previous literature suggest that the weekend effect remains very real and gives patients with AMI good reason to not like weekends too.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

DISCLAIMER The opinions expressed by the author are not necessarily those of the journal editors, Polish Society of Internal Medicine, or publisher. **CONFLICT OF INTEREST** None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at pamw@mp.pl.

HOW TO CITE Mamas MA, Bharadwaj A. The weekend blues. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2020; 130: 932-933. doi:10.20452/pamw.15693

REFERENCES

- 1 Kwok CS, Al-Dokheal M, Aldaham S, et al. Weekend effect in acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2019: 8: 432-442.
- 2 Martin GP, Kwok CS, Van Spall HGC, et al. Readmission and processes of care across weekend and weekday hospitalisation for acute myocardial infarction, heart failure or stroke: an observational study of the National Readmission Database. BMJ Open, 2019; 9: e029667.

 ✓
- 3 Bell CM, Redelmeier DA. Mortality among patients admitted to hospitals on weekends as compared with weekdays. N Engl J Med, 2001; 345: 663-668.
- 4 Clarke MS, Wills RA, Bowman RV, et al. Exploratory study of the 'weekend effect' for acute medical admissions to public hospitals in Queensland, Australia. Intern Med J. 2010: 40: 777-783.
- 5 Kostis WJ, Demissie K, Marcella SW, et al. Weekend versus weekday admission and mortality from myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356: 1094-1109
- 6 Sorita A, Ahmed A, Starr SR, et al. Off-hour presentation and outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2014: 348: f7393. [2]
- 7 Stepień K, Nowak K, Nessler J, Zalewski J. Worse long-term prognosis in myocardial infarction occurring at weekends or public holidays with insight into myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2020; 130: 942-952.
- 9 Nordenskjöld AM, Eggers KM, Jernberg T, et al. Circadian onset and prognosis of myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA). PLoS One. 2019; 14: e0216073.
- 10 Khoshchehreh M, Groves EM, Tehrani D, et al. Changes in mortality on weekend versus weekday admissions for acute coronary syndrome in the United States over the past decade. Int J Cardiol. 2016; 210: 164-172.
- 11 Glaser R, Naidu SS, Selzer F, et al. Factors associated with poorer prognosis for patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention during off-hours: biology or systems failure? JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2008; 1: 681-688 7
- 12 Mafham MM, Spata E, Goldacre R, et al. COVID-19 pandemic and admission rates for and management of acute coronary syndromes in England. Lancet, 2020. 396: 381-389.
- 13 Wu J, Mamas M, Rashid M, et al. Patient response, treatments and mortality for acute myocardial infarction during the COVID-19 pandemic. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2020 Jul 30. [Epub ahead of print].