



Journal of  
Health Psychology

**'I don't think they knew we could do these sorts of things':  
Social representations of community and participation in  
community arts by older people**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Health Psychology</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Article
Keywords:	COMMUNITY HEALTH PSYCHOLOGY, OLDER PERSON, POVERTY, QUALITATIVE METHODS, SOCIAL REPRESENTATIONS
Abstract:	As people age the character of their social relationships change. There is evidence that older people who reside in disadvantaged communities often experience social isolation which in turn has been found to be associated with a variety of health problems. This paper reports the initial findings from a participatory arts project with a group of older residents of a disadvantaged urban community. It describes the how the older residents represented their community and how they perceived the community arts intervention.



### Bio

Michael Murray is Professor of Social and Health Psychology at Keele University, Staffordshire, UK. His research interests include ageing and health, arts-based research, and societal psychology.

Amanda Crummett is a fieldworker on the New Dynamics of Ageing CALL-ME project. Her research interests include community development and action research.

### Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the New Dynamics of Ageing Research Programme RES-352-25-0031 with additional support provided by the Granada Foundation. We would like to acknowledge the support of the other lead investigators on this project, including T.S. Scharf, S. Maslin-Prothero and R. Beech, F. Ziegler, the research associate, Manchester City Council who were our partners in this project, the Library Theatre Company who organised the community arts work, and all the residents of Westside.

1  
2  
3 A common feature of western society is increased life expectancy, the increasing proportion  
4 of older people, and the increasing number of older people living alone (Office of National  
5 Statistics, 2005). These demographic changes raise a host of new questions for both policy  
6 makers and for researchers, including health psychologists, regarding older people's quality  
7 of life and opportunities for participation in society. The character of social interaction  
8 enjoyed by older people is dependent upon the settings in which they reside. A large number  
9 of older people reside in neighbourhoods characterised by multiple disadvantages, e.g.  
10 limited local amenities and service provision, a lack of adequate transport infrastructure, poor  
11 housing quality and design, high levels of crime and a low economic base. These factors  
12 combine to increase marginalisation and exclusion of older people (Phillipson, 2007; Scharf  
13 et al, 2005).  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 A series of studies of older people has established that engaging in a range of social  
31 activities is positively associated with physical and mental health and well being (e.g., Glass,  
32 Mendes de Leon, Marottoli, & Berkham, 1999; Wang, Karp, Winblad, & Fratiglioni, 2002).  
33 Indeed, older people have identified 'social activity' and 'social relations' as being associated  
34 with self-rated quality of life (Gabriel & Bowling 2004) and it has been found to be an  
35 important component of baby boomers' social representations of health (Murray, Pullman &  
36 Rodgers, 2004). One strategy that has been suggested to address this decline in social  
37 interaction is to provide greater local opportunities for older people in the communities where  
38 they reside.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 Community arts is one such means for promoting local opportunities for social  
52 engagement. Community art is not defined by art form. Rather it is the use of multiple forms  
53 of creative arts activities in community settings, e.g. housing estates, community centres. It  
54 involves the active participation and ongoing dialogue with individuals and groups and is  
55 characterized by its experimental and inclusive nature. Most importantly, it is aware of the  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 broader socio-political context within which such action is located and the role of the broader  
4  
5 social determinants that impact on the health and well being of individuals and communities  
6  
7  
8 (Webster, 1997). Realising these contextual factors community art aims to respond to the  
9  
10 particular social and cultural environment in which it is situated (Putland 2008). It aims to not  
11  
12 only promote greater social interaction among participants but to draw attention to social  
13  
14 inequalities and to promote action for broader social change (Murray & Tilley, 2004).  
15  
16

17  
18 A review of some of the key literature suggests investment in community arts can add  
19  
20 greatly to the quality of lives of individuals (Matarasso, 1997) and strengthen communities  
21  
22 through opening channels for communication. At an individual level people derive great  
23  
24 pleasure from being involved in arts activities; it creates excitement, offers opportunities for  
25  
26 self expression and skill development and contributes to an individual's self-confidence,  
27  
28 personal growth and a sense of wellbeing (Waits, 1996). At a community level the  
29  
30 collaborative and socially engaging nature of community arts activity creates an opportunity  
31  
32 for people to come together, share ideas and communicate their views (Gorjanicyn, 2007).  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 As such, community arts can be both personally and socially transformative. There is  
38  
39 a need to not only describe in detail its processes and impact in different settings and with  
40  
41 different participants and also to begin to theorise these processes. The aim of this paper is  
42  
43 explore the connection between social representations of community and involvement in  
44  
45 community arts activities by older residents in a disadvantaged urban community. It reports  
46  
47 some of the initial findings from the larger CALL-ME project which in turn is nested within  
48  
49 the extensive UK New Dynamics of Ageing research programme  
50  
51  
52  
53 (<http://www.newdynamics.group.shef.ac.uk> ).  
54  
55

56  
57 The CALL-ME project (Murray, Scharf, Maslin-Prothero, & Beech, 2007) is an  
58  
59 interdisciplinary participatory action research project concerned with investigating different  
60  
ways of promoting social interaction among older people in disadvantaged neighbourhoods

1  
2  
3 of a large city in Britain. It has four sub-projects, each working in a different neighbourhood  
4  
5 and each investigating different forms of social intervention. The four neighbourhoods were  
6  
7 allocated to the research team by city officials on the basis of their high levels of  
8  
9 disadvantage according to various social indicators.  
10  
11

12  
13 The part of the CALL-ME project detailed in this paper was concerned with how  
14  
15 community arts activities could connect with both the material and psychosocial worlds of  
16  
17 the older people and offer opportunities for transformation. In this paper we focus on how  
18  
19 the older people defined themselves with reference to others and how this changed during the  
20  
21 early stages of the project. We were guided by several social psychological theories,  
22  
23 especially social representation theory and narrative theory (Murray, 2002). Social  
24  
25 representation theory is concerned with the shared meanings of a particular phenomenon  
26  
27 developed within any particular group or society. In her work in New Zealand, Stephens  
28  
29 (2007a) identified five categories in shared social representations of communities. These  
30  
31 included a location for support and succour, a place in need of assistance from outside, a site  
32  
33 for competition for resources, a forum for action, a place of identity, and a site for integration  
34  
35 of identified groups. She noted that people draw on these different social representations at  
36  
37 different time and places. She also noted how particular social representations could be used  
38  
39 in a rhetorical manner in everyday conversation.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 We can consider not only the social representations of our own community but also our  
50  
51 perceptions of other people's representations of our community. It is this overlap of  
52  
53 representations that not only helps define a community but how the members distinguish  
54  
55 themselves from another community and the character of their relationships with each other.  
56  
57 Howarth (2006), in her work on ethnic identity referred to how children learn their social  
58  
59 identity through this process of grasping different social representations of ethnicity. We can  
60

1  
2  
3 also consider the narrative character of social representations of communities. While social  
4  
5 representations are especially concerned with the contemporary nature of a phenomenon,  
6  
7 narrative is concerned with its temporal or historical character (Murray, 2002). Thus an  
8  
9 understanding of the narrative content of social representations can help explain how lay  
10  
11 people develop an understanding of change within communities. In this arts project we were  
12  
13 concerned with the character of the perceived social representations of the community in  
14  
15 which older people resided and how these changed during participation in a community arts  
16  
17 project.  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 **Methodology**

23  
24  
25 The research adopted the traditional cyclical process of action research, i.e. plan – act –  
26  
27 observe – reflect, followed by a repetition of this cycle (Brydon-Miller, 2004). Throughout  
28  
29 the study the research team facilitated reflective action for and with the older individuals who  
30  
31 participated in this project. In the first phase of the study the aim of the research was to  
32  
33 introduce and facilitate a community arts project in collaboration with a group of older  
34  
35 residents living in a disadvantaged community setting, where opportunities for social  
36  
37 engagement and activity were limited. At the close of the project the participants had the  
38  
39 opportunity to present their artistic representations and experiences through an exhibition to  
40  
41 other local residents.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 The arts project was formally located in one administrative district within the city. After  
48  
49 repeated visits to the district it became apparent that the residents defined it in terms of a  
50  
51 number of geographically defined neighbourhoods. It was decided to locate the project  
52  
53 within one particular neighbourhood which we will call Westside. This neighbourhood  
54  
55 consisted almost entirely of public sector housing that had been rebuilt as part of urban  
56  
57 redevelopment in the 1970s and consisted of about 600 housing units largely of terraced  
58  
59 housing and three small tower blocks. It was close to the centre of the city and was bounded  
60

1  
2  
3 on two sides by busy main roads and on the other two sides by railway lines which clearly  
4 physically defined the district. The total population was about 2000 of which about 25%  
5 were aged 50 years and older according to local authority figures. In terms of ethnic  
6 composition, over 85% of the older residents were White compared with about 60% of the  
7 area as a whole. A high proportion of the residents were not in employment and a low  
8 proportion had formal educational qualifications.  
9

10  
11 Identifying participants and convening the initial meeting of older residents involved  
12 a snowballing technique that relied primarily on 'door knocking' and word of mouth  
13 conducted over a six week period. The key resident who we initially contacted agreed to  
14 direct or introduce us to other older residents in the locality. During the initial one-on-one  
15 contact the residents were told that we were interested in establishing a local community arts  
16 group as part of a larger research project. They were advised that the project's aim was to  
17 increase opportunities for social activity and community participation among residents aged  
18 50+ years. We also attended a resident's association meeting where we introduced the  
19 project and distributed flyers and our contact details. During this period we identified a  
20 suitable meeting facility near the centre of the community, in a small row of shops. In  
21 addition, we identified a community arts worker who was prepared to work with the older  
22 residents for a three month period.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 In total we made contact with 21 older residents of which eleven - 4 males and 7 females  
47 participated in the community arts activity. All participants were of white British origin and  
48 aged between 51yrs and 83yrs. Of the male participants, two had never married and were  
49 living alone, one had recently been widowed and was living alone and one was married and  
50 living with his partner. Of the females, three were married and living with their partners,  
51 three were widows and living alone, and one was divorced and living alone. All but two of  
52 the participants were long term residents of the area; none were in full-time employment and  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 all were claiming some form of government benefit; two were members of local residents'  
4 associations, and three reported using the local working men's social club. Whilst the age  
5 range of the participants was broad, they voiced a shared concern about the limited social  
6 contact and the lack of opportunity in the neighbourhood (see below). This would confirm  
7 the previous research highlighting the extent of social isolation among older residents in  
8 disadvantaged communities (e.g., Scharf et al, 20025). Although the sample size was small, it  
9 became apparent over the course of the project through increased contact with a larger  
10 number of residents, that the participants were not untypical of older residents in the  
11 neighbourhood.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 The project involved weekly meetings of the participants in the community rooms. The  
26 meetings lasted about two hours during which they participated in a range of arts activities  
27 including pottery, painting, glass engraving and writing. These were conducted in an  
28 informal atmosphere with time for cups of tea and conversation. In addition, there was an  
29 attempt by the community artist to link the participants with the actors involved in a theatre in  
30 the city centre. Part of this involved organising a visit to the theatre. After the three month  
31 period there was an exhibition of the art works created by the participants. This exhibition  
32 was held in the community rooms and local residents were invited to the event (Fig 1).

33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Fig 1

51  
52 Throughout the project ethnographic type data was collected on an ongoing basis.  
53 This involved regular conversations with all of the participants, field notes from all meetings,  
54 semi-formal interviews with a sub-set of the participants, and focus group discussions at the  
55 close of the project. In addition, after each session the participants were encouraged to write  
56 down their impressions of the project. Some of these comments were included in the



1  
2  
3 exhibition. All of the interviews that were audio-taped were transcribed. These transcripts  
4  
5 were added to the larger corpus of data which was reviewed by the authors. We adopted a  
6  
7 critical approach in reading the interviews such that we could consider how certain social  
8  
9 representations were used by the older people (Stephens, 2007a).  
10  
11

## 12 Findings

### 13 Community representations and narratives

14  
15  
16 The community was socially represented by the older people in terms of its physical location,  
17  
18 as a place of identity, as distinct from other areas and as a place in need of resources.  
19  
20

21  
22 Physically, the community was considered in terms of a small number of streets with which  
23  
24 the older people clearly identified. The older people were able to define the physical  
25  
26 boundaries of their community within which they spent most days. As one woman said:  
27  
28

29  
30 *“See, we’re a separate community. It’s a political thing that’s lumped us all together*  
31  
32 *as part of a ward but really we’re our own community and we have been since this*  
33  
34 *estate was built.” (Female, 64yrs)*

35  
36 The older people separated themselves from others who lived only a short distance away in  
37  
38 similar housing. This sense of distinction included their unwillingness to access services in  
39  
40 nearby districts and conversely drew attention to their own lack of resources. The same  
41  
42 woman continued:  
43  
44

45  
46 *“I know they have things going on in other centres further down but we don’t belong*  
47  
48 *to them, really those things are for them that live there.” (Female, 64yrs)*  
49  
50

51  
52 This community identity was expanded in the stories the participants told about their  
53  
54 neighbourhood. Most had lived in the area since it was built and recalled memories of the  
55  
56 district in those early days. The stories they told about their neighbourhood were ones of  
57  
58 decline. They recalled extensive local and wider social interaction when they first moved  
59  
60 into the area. People had jobs and income and the local shopkeepers were very proactive in

1  
2  
3 offering services. They also commented on the role of the local clergy who had previously  
4 been central to organising a range of local social activities. There were several nearby  
5 churches which have since been demolished or converted for other usage. Conversely, the  
6 neighbourhood today was described in terms of limited social opportunities, little social  
7 interaction with newer residents and no local facilities for social engagement. They explicitly  
8 referred to a loss of a 'sense of community'. As one participant said:

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 *"It's all changed since we first came, we used to have all the shops leading down, dry*  
19 *cleaners, butcher, grocery and clothes shop and the churches, they've all gone now."*

20  
21  
22 The larger supermarkets which had more recently been built outside the area were less  
23 inviting. The women referred to the way local shops used to welcome them and the local  
24 clergy organised events:

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
*"When we first moved we had cards from most of the shops welcoming us and we had  
the minister up from the church to see us. He asked me and my husband if we would  
help set up a club for the pensioners, brew up and help out like, so that's what we did.  
We used to go down and we would have raffles, potato pie supper. We would go on  
outings to different places ... See, everybody helped out. If you were asked to help out  
and you could, well you did ... not just us; lots of people helped each other. They  
don't seem to bother nowadays." (Female, 83yrs).*

The men referred to the loss of the local public house [bar] which was considered the centre  
of their social world:

*"I mean in the good old days you had the local pub, everybody had their own little  
local - the pub was the hub of the community. That's where all the socialising,  
arguing, etc., was done. I mean, don't forget there was a fire in every pub and when  
the lads got in, the cards started. And then the televisions went in and I think that  
changed it - the conversation, arguments, joking. And then we had the slum  
clearance, that's when communities were lost. And then we got the drugs, don't get  
me wrong we always had drugs but in my day hard drugs were a rich man's habit, the  
working class couldn't afford drugs, now they're on every street corner. Yes, it was*

1  
2  
3 *the loss of the local pub and the drugs that destroyed the traditional working class*  
4 *communities.” (Male, 71yrs).*  
5  
6  
7

8  
9 This perception of material disadvantage was heightened by the city plans to demolish the  
10 houses and to rebuild them. One woman commented “*The houses have only been here for 40*  
11 *years”*, and continued:  
12  
13

14  
15 *“When we first moved here in 1969 they were still building this estate, and we got*  
16 *plans for what they were going to build and it all looked smashing, green patches*  
17 *with forms for old people to sit on, ten shops lower down ... then they took them plans*  
18 *and come back with some more and we were getting nothing. We never got the green*  
19 *patch with the forms and we never got ten shops. That was the first time they did the*  
20 *work, 40 years ago now they’re at it again. It’s ridiculous really, because they never*  
21 *give you what they promise and I don’t think we will be any better off when they*  
22 *finish.” (Female, 64 yrs.).*  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 This social representation of a distinct and under-resourced community was further  
34 strengthened by the negative social representation they felt others had of their community.  
35  
36

37 While they accepted that there were a few anti-social individuals in their community the older  
38 people felt that outsiders focused on these individuals to the detriment of the whole  
39 community. One man said:  
40  
41  
42

43  
44 *“There’s some good people on this estate, but you see over the years we’ve been*  
45 *stigmatised – ‘them up there, they’re this and that’ - but it’s a minority that cause the*  
46 *trouble and people seem to forget that.”*  
47  
48  
49

50 Thus, while the community might be materially disadvantaged the older people felt that it  
51 still had lots of positive qualities which were often ignored by outsiders. This was also  
52 evident in the rejection of the label ‘deprived’. For example, one woman recalled her  
53 reaction to a regeneration worker who had been collecting information on housing quality in  
54 the district. She said:  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 “They came here with one of these tick box sheets, and when he’d finished, I said  
4  
5 ‘well what’s the verdict’ and do you know what he said, he said ‘I was deprived’.  
6  
7  
8 Have you ever heard such rubbish, all of this [indicating garden] and he said I was  
9  
10 deprived.” (Female, 64 yrs.).  
11  
12  
13  
14

15 Combining this narrative of decline with a perceived negative social representation  
16  
17 led to a range of reactions. On the one hand there was a limited acceptance of the outside  
18  
19 stigmatisation. This was particularly applied to certain sub-groups within the community.  
20  
21 For example one woman said:  
22  
23

24  
25 “It seems to me that people round here don’t really care anymore; they don’t seem  
26  
27 interested in getting together and making a shape. These young ones want everything  
28  
29 done for them.”  
30  
31  
32

33 On the other hand there were various forms of rejection of this stigmatisation. One  
34  
35 form was frustration or repressed anger at being ignored by officialdom. One man said  
36  
37

38 “We’re a forgotten area and always have been. When you get the newsletter it’s all  
39  
40 about that end, there’s never anything about us. I think it’s happened because they  
41  
42 give us nothing, well that how it seems to me...We have nothing as far as activities or  
43  
44 opportunities for older people on this estate ...we’re very much a forgotten area, what  
45  
46 you might call [us] the poor relation in comparison to other areas in this ward ”  
47  
48 (Male, 54yrs).  
49

50 Once again, the community was being contrasted with neighbouring communities who were  
51  
52 perceived as being unfairly advantaged. Another man added:  
53

54 “Well you’ve been round, you’ve seen what we’ve got, bugger all (laughing). This  
55  
56 area, and it’s been the same since I moved in, has been forgotten by the powers that  
57  
58 be. Ask the others when you go round, they built this estate and then forgot about it  
59  
60 (Male, 67yrs)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Another form of rejection of the stigmatisation was evident in the hostility shown to local officials and councillors. As one man said:

*“You only see the councillors at election time - then they come banging on your door wanting your vote, never see hide nor hair of them in between. Don’t ask me what they do in between because they have done nothing on this estate from what I can tell you.” (Male 67yrs).*

Overall, the older people represented their community as having many positive features and they strongly identified with it. However, when compared with neighbouring communities they felt that they were lacking in services and they felt they were ignored by officialdom.

### **Reasons for participation**

The primary reason given for participation in the community arts group was the opportunity it provided for social interaction which it was felt was generally lacking. Frequently, the participants referred to the limited opportunity for social interaction. For example, one woman said:

*“I just sit in this flat staring at the television or the walls. You get to a point where you think I can’t cope; I mean, you think what’s the point being stuck in this flat day in day out.” (Female, 51yrs).*

Another who lived in the tower block said:

*“They’re (flats) like prisons, you shut the door of that flat - you see no one. If it weren’t for my carer I could go days without seeing a living soul.” (Female, 66yrs).*

Among the participants a frequent complaint was that they had ‘nothing to do’. For example, one woman said:

*“I would like to get out more and join in but there’s nothing going on. I couldn’t tell of any club round here for the old people.” (Female 83yrs).*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Another woman added:

*“I only go out for shopping, my son’s girlfriend comes round to see me, otherwise I just sit in the house.” (Female, 51yrs).*

In addition, a minority in the group of participants were particularly attracted by the opportunity to participate in artwork. These people had some previous involvement in artwork either recently or when they were younger. The group provided an opportunity to share that interest with others. For example, one woman said;

*“I’ve always liked anything arts and crafts. I like writing poems and making cards but you don’t seem to see do it on your own...if we got some going, a group of us I’d really enjoy it, a couple of hours each week to do something, something a bit different from sitting, cleaning, cooking.” (Female, 53yrs)*

### Perceived impact

At the end of the project, the older people were very enthusiastic and wanted to continue with the art class and to formally establish a community arts group. Several benefits at an individual level were identified including sense of achievement (e.g. *“I have achieved something that I didn’t know I could do. Other people would enjoy this as well; it should be for everybody every week. This sort of activity will go far with people.”* Female, 61yrs) and feeling of creativity (e.g. *“I did things I didn’t think I could do – produced a beautiful piece of art. Very pleased ... makes you use your imagination.”* Female, 57 years)

However, it was the social benefits of the art project to which they most frequently referred. They talked about the increased opportunity for social interaction and forming new friendships e.g. *“Very pleased with everything that’s happened and everyone’s so friendly ... seems to be getting people together.”* Another added *“Very much enjoy the social interaction which does prove beneficial and gets people out of their homes and adds lightness to life.”* Some of them referred back to their early days in the area and felt that the project was contributing to community building, e.g. *“It seems to be getting people together.”*

1  
2  
3 Another added *“I’m very pleased with everything that’s happening for the community.”* The  
4  
5 project created a sense of identity and belonging. As one woman said *“I really feel I belong*  
6  
7 *to something and this is time for me”*.  
8  
9

10  
11 Several of the participants referred to the wider impact of the project on the  
12  
13 community. Their comments connected with their earlier narrative accounts of a community  
14  
15 in decline. As one man said:

16  
17  
18 *‘this social experiment is a success ... We’re trying to rebuild our community. I’m*  
19  
20 *doubtful that we will ever get back what we used to have - that’s not going to happen*  
21  
22 *but we are trying...’.*  
23  
24

25  
26 Others felt that their project was a challenge to the outside negative social representations of  
27  
28 their community. This was evident in this comment by one of the women:

29  
30 *Everybody’s talking about what we’ve been doing. Even the councillors when they*  
31  
32 *came to the meetings. See I don’t think they knew we could do these sorts of things,*  
33  
34 *but then we’ve never had it before, people coming and showing us and giving us a*  
35  
36 *chance.*  
37  
38

39  
40 Despite their enthusiasm for the project this did not extend to connecting with the  
41  
42 actors in the theatre. During the course of the project there was discussion about the theatre  
43  
44 and an agreement that the participants would visit the theatre. A mini-bus was hired to  
45  
46 transport them there and many of them turned out on the day. However, only a minority  
47  
48 actually got on the bus and went to the theatre while the others claimed to have more pressing  
49  
50 engagements.  
51  
52

### 53 54 **Discussion**

55  
56 Although this project only lasted for three months it is apparent that the participants were  
57  
58 very enthusiastic about it. While it is intended to extend the project it is still important at this  
59  
60 stage to reflect upon the early achievements.

1  
2  
3 The original aim of this project was to provide opportunities for social interaction for  
4  
5  
6 older residents of a particular neighbourhood. It quickly became apparent that while the  
7  
8 residents were aware of the larger administrative district they identified strongly with a sub-  
9  
10 district that consisted largely of about 200 dwellings. Participation in activities outside this  
11  
12 district was limited. There was a certain anxiety about outside activities as was illustrated in  
13  
14 their reluctance to visit the theatre.  
15  
16

17  
18 The study emphasised the importance of understanding the residents' social  
19  
20 representations of their community. Most of those who participated in the study had been  
21  
22 resident in the community for many years. For them, the community was not just defined in  
23  
24 terms of location but also in terms of its history which was expressed through their narrative  
25  
26 accounts of decline. Rogaly and Taylor (2009) in their ethnographic study of a working class  
27  
28 community in Eastern England found its residents had a similar close identification with it  
29  
30 which they argued was 'often based on self-distancing from another' (p. 5). The older people  
31  
32 in our study clearly separated their community from a neighbouring community which they  
33  
34 felt was unfairly advantaged. This would suggest that interventions must recognise these  
35  
36 different understandings of community.  
37  
38  
39  
40

41  
42 Stephen's (2007b) in her study talked about how social identity is located in everyday  
43  
44 social interaction such that people do not just identify with their neighbourhood but with a  
45  
46 range of groups. However, in this study there was limited opportunity to identify with other  
47  
48 groups. The physical character of the district which was clearly bounded by main roads and  
49  
50 railway lines separated the districts from nearby districts. In addition, in view of their age  
51  
52 and limited resources the older residents were restricted in engaging in activities outside the  
53  
54 immediate district. Thus, the community was their primary source of identification and it  
55  
56 was within it that the community arts project had to be located if it was to connect with the  
57  
58 older residents.  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 The participants described everyday historical experiences of disadvantage including  
4 both limited resources but also feelings of rejection, separation, frustration and anger. In  
5 their study Rogaly and Taylor (2009) noted that ‘one of the consistent ways in which external  
6 representations are mediated by residents of an area themselves is through the taking on, and  
7 importantly, adapting, outsiders’ narratives of the relative ‘respectability’ and/or roughness of  
8 a place’ (p. 18). By attributing unfair advantage to other neighbourhoods the residents in our  
9 study could assert some power over their condition. Their participation in the community  
10 arts project was another means of asserting their power and challenging the external negative  
11 social representations.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 These findings can also be interpreted within the social capital framework (e.g. Dolan,  
26 2007). The residents felt that there had been a decline in social capital in their community  
27 and that while the project had been beneficial they did not expect a return to the old days.  
28 The social capital of today was one that characterised their immediate relationships with  
29 other older people in their neighbourhood – a form of ‘bonding’ social capital. Conversely,  
30 the social capital of the past also included relationships that extended beyond the immediate  
31 community and is similar to ‘bridging’ social capital. The loss of this bridging social capital  
32 was due to their age and the changing social and political situation which meant lesser  
33 involvement outside their neighbourhood. Similarly, Dolan (2007) found that working class  
34 men in his study were sceptical of the role of regeneration schemes that did not address the  
35 issue of jobs and material resources which, in turn, would enhance opportunities for bridging  
36 social capital.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 The majority of the participants in the study were women. Previous research (e.g.  
54 Davidson, Daley, & Arber, 2003) has found that women are generally more active in  
55 community social activities leading to the so-called ‘feminisation’ of public community space  
56 (Dolan, 2007). The men who participated had some previous involvement in community  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 activity. It would seem that the older men were more apprehensive about organised social  
4  
5 activity. The reference to the loss of the pub indicated a preference for a more informal  
6  
7 approach and a setting over which they historically had more control. Dolan (2007) in his  
8  
9 study of two English working class communities found that men did not join formal  
10  
11 community activities.  
12  
13

14  
15 While the men enjoyed the art work it did not mesh with the more instrumental  
16  
17 activities that are more important in male working class culture. Sixsmith and Boneham  
18  
19 (2002) in their study of male working class culture suggested that this withdrawal of men  
20  
21 from social activities reflected a greater stoicism and separateness which would discourage  
22  
23 them seeking support for health and other problems.  
24  
25

26  
27 Overall, the initial findings from this project centred on the importance of social  
28  
29 representations of community. The older people felt ignored and forgotten by outsiders. The  
30  
31 arts project not only provided them with an opportunity for social interaction but through  
32  
33 working together they felt that they were challenging the negative outsider social  
34  
35 representation of their community. This project is similar to the many community-based  
36  
37 health promotion programmes that have been developed and which have met with varying  
38  
39 degrees of success. These interventions complement the larger macro-level interventions. As  
40  
41 Williams (2007) has stressed: 'strategies for tackling health inequalities cannot work without  
42  
43 some kind of intervention in neighbourhoods to tackle the local expressions and  
44  
45 manifestations of the structural inequalities that produce poor health and inequalities in  
46  
47 health' (p. 16). However, these projects must connect not only with the material  
48  
49 disadvantage in the communities but also the residents' understanding of their community  
50  
51 and of its history if they are to succeed.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

## 58 **References**

59 Barnes, L.L., Mendes de Leon, C.F., Wilson, R.S., Bienias, J.L. & Evans, A. (2004). Social  
60

- 1  
2  
3 resources and cognitive decline in a population of older African Americans and  
4  
5 whites. *Neurology*, 63, 2322-2326.  
6  
7
- 8 Boneham, M.A., & Sixsmith, J.A. (2006). The voices of older women in a disadvantaged  
9  
10 community: issues of health and social capital. *Social Science & Medicine*, 62, 269-  
11  
12 279.  
13  
14
- 15 Brydon-Miller, M. (2004). Using participatory action research to address community health  
16  
17 issues. In M. Murray (ed.) *Critical health psychology*. London: Palgrave.  
18  
19
- 20 Davidson, K., Daley, T., & Arber, A. (2003). Older men, social integration and  
21  
22 organizational activities. *Social Policy and Society*, 22, 81-89.  
23  
24
- 25 Dolan, A. (2007). 'That's just the cesspool where they dump all the trash': exploring  
26  
27 working class men's perceptions and experiences of social capital and health. *Health*,  
28  
29 11, 475-495.  
30  
31
- 32 Gabriel, Z., & Bowling, A. (2004). Quality of life from the perspectives of older people.  
33  
34 *Ageing and Society*, 24, 675-691.  
35  
36
- 37 Glass, T.A., Mendes de Leon, C., Marottoli, R.A., & Berkham, L.F. (1999). Population based  
38  
39 study of social and productive activities as predictors of survival among elderly  
40  
41 Americans. *BMJ*, 319, 478-483.  
42  
43
- 44 Howarth, C. (2006). Race as stigma: Positioning the stigmatized as agents, not objects.  
45  
46 *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 16, 442-451.  
47  
48
- 49 Matarasso, F. (1997). *Use of ornament?: The social impact of participation in the arts*.  
50  
51 Stroud, Glos., UK: Comedia.  
52
- 53 Murray, M., Pullman, D. & Heath Rodgers, T. (2003). Social representations of health and  
54  
55 illness among baby-boomers in Eastern Canada. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 8(5),  
56  
57 485-499.  
58  
59
- 60 Murray, M. (2002). Connecting narrative and social representation theory in health research.

- 1  
2  
3                   *Social Science Information*, 41(4), 653-673.  
4  
5  
6 Murray, M., Scharf, T.S., Maslin-Prothero, S., Beech, R. (2007). Promoting independence  
7  
8                   and social engagement among older people in disadvantaged communities, NDA  
9  
10                   RES-352-25-0031 [www.keele.ac.uk/callme](http://www.keele.ac.uk/callme)  
11  
12  
13 Murray, M., & Tilley, N. (2006). Using community arts to promote awareness of safety in  
14  
15                   fishing communities: an action research study. *Safety Science*, 44, 797-808.  
16  
17  
18 Office of National Statistics (2005). *Age structure up to 2004: Population Estimates*.  
19  
20                   London: Office for National Statistics.  
21  
22  
23 Phillipson, C. (2007). The 'elected' and the 'excluded': sociological perspectives on the  
24  
25                   experience of place and community in old age. *Ageing & Society*, 27, 321-342.  
26  
27  
28 Rogaly, B., & Taylor, B. (2009). *Moving histories of class and community: Identity, place*  
29  
30                   *and belonging in contemporary England*. London: Palgrave.  
31  
32  
33 Putland, C. (2008). Lost in translation: the question of evidence linking community-based art  
34  
35                   and health promotion. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 13, 265-276.  
36  
37  
38 Scharf, T., Phillipson, C. & Smith, A.E. (2005) Social exclusion of older people in deprived  
39  
40                   urban communities of England, *European Journal of Ageing* 2, 2, pp. 76-87.  
41  
42  
43 Sixsmith, J., & Boneham, M. (2002). Men and masculinities: Accounts of health and social  
44  
45                   capital. In C. Swann & A. Morgan (eds.) *Social capital for health: Insights from*  
46  
47                   *qualitative research*, pp. 47-60. London: Health Development Agency.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Stephens, C. (2007a). Community as practice: social representations of community and their  
implications for health promotion. *Journal of Community & Applied Social  
Psychology*, 17, 103-114.  
Stephens, C. (2007b). Participation in different fields of practice: Using social theory to  
understand participation in community health promotion. *Journal of Health  
Psychology*, 12, 949-960.

1  
2  
3 Webster, M., & Buglass, G. (eds.) (2005). *Finding voices, making choices: Creativity for*  
4  
5 *social change*. Nottingham, UK: Educational Heretics Press.  
6  
7

8 Williams, G. (2007). Health inequalities in their place. In S. Cropper, A. Porter, G.  
9  
10 Williams, S. Carlisle, R. Moore, M. O'Neill, C. Roberts, & H. Snooks (eds.)  
11  
12 *Community health and wellbeing Action research on health inequalities*. Bristol:  
13  
14 Policy Press.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

Figure 1. Sample of art work displayed at end of project



Peer Review