

1 **Title: The Developing Landscape of Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarkers for Spinal Cord**
2 **Injury in Cerebrospinal Fluid and Blood**

3

4 **Authors:** Charlotte H. Hulme^{1,4}, Sharon J. Brown^{1,4}, Heidi R. Fuller¹, John Riddell², Aheed Osman⁴,
5 Joy Chowdhury⁴, Naveen Kumar⁴, W. Eustace Johnson³, Karina T. Wright^{1,4}

6

7 **Institutions:** 1. Institute of Science and Technology in Medicine, Keele University, Keele,
8 Staffordshire, UK; 2. Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow,
9 UK 3. Biological Sciences, University of Chester, Chester, Cheshire, UK 4. Midland Centre for
10 Spinal Injuries, RJA Orthopaedic Hospital, Oswestry, Shropshire, UK.

11

12 **Correspondence:** Karina T. Wright Ph.D., ISTM, Keele University based at the RJA Orthopaedic
13 Hospital, Oswestry, Shropshire, UK. Telephone: +44 1691 404022; e-mail:
14 Karina.Wright@rjah.nhs.uk

15

16 **Conflict of Interest:**

17

18 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

19

20 **Support for Work:** Midland Centre for Spinal Injuries and the Institute of Orthopaedics, RJA
21 Orthopaedic Hospital, Oswestry, UK.

22 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank Professor Wagih El Masri for his expert professional
23 opinion in this subject area and the support of the Midland Centre for Spinal Injuries patient
24 fundraisers.

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 **Study design:** Review Study

29 **Objectives:** The identification of prognostic biomarkers of SCI will help to assign SCI patients to the
30 correct treatment and rehabilitation regimes. Further, the detection of biomarkers that predict
31 permanent neurological outcome would aid appropriate recruitment of patients into clinical trials.
32 The objective of this review is to evaluate the current state-of-play in this developing field.

33 **Setting:** Studies from multiple countries were included.

34

35 **Methods:** We have completed a comprehensive review of studies that have investigated prognostic
36 biomarkers in either the blood or CSF of animals and humans following SCI.

37

38 **Results:** Targeted and unbiased approaches have identified several prognostic biomarkers in CSF
39 and blood. These proteins associate with cellular damage following SCI and include components
40 from neurons, oligodendrocytes and reactive astrocytes, i.e. neurofilament proteins, glial fibrillary
41 acidic protein, Tau, and S100 calcium binding protein β . Unbiased approaches have also identified
42 microRNAs that are specific to SCI, as well as other cell damage associated proteins.

43

44 **Conclusions:** The discovery and validation of stable, specific, sensitive and reproducible biomarkers
45 of SCI is a rapidly expanding field of research. To date, few studies have utilised unbiased
46 approaches aimed at the discovery of biomarkers within the CSF or blood in this field, however some
47 targeted approaches have been successfully used. Several studies using various animal models and
48 some with small human patient cohorts have begun to pinpoint biomarkers in the CSF and blood with
49 putative prognostic value. An increased sample size will be required to validate these biomarkers in
50 the heterogeneous clinical setting.

51 **Keywords**

52 Spinal cord injury; biomarkers; prognostic; cerebrospinal fluid; blood; proteomics

53 **1. Introduction**

54 There is now a vast and expanding body of literature describing different novel approaches for the
55 treatment of spinal cord injury (SCI). Despite this, actions to treat and rehabilitate following SCI
56 have not changed. Outside of clinical trials, SCI is typically managed either by surgical stabilisation
57 or conservative management in the acute and subacute setting, followed by physiotherapy in the
58 subacute and chronic phases of injury (1,2). It is clear that the SCI research field as a whole is
59 experiencing a significant delay in the translation of new interventions into the clinic. There are
60 many valid reasons why scientists and clinicians alike are cautious to translate new therapies into
61 humans, particularly as setting up appropriate clinical trials to demonstrate safety and efficacy can be
62 difficult (3).

63

64 There is a growing appreciation for the benefit of using biomarkers to help introduce new treatments
65 and improve strategies of care for SCI patients. We suggest there are several ways (diagnostic,
66 prognostic and therapeutic) in which measuring biomarkers in the blood or CSF might complement
67 current clinical measures, such as the American Spinal Injuries Association (ASIA) International
68 Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury (ISNCSCI) scoring system and
69 assessment of dry biomarkers such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, to further the SCI
70 field. Together a panel of biomarkers and neurological tests perhaps even including
71 electrophysiological assessments may provide clinicians with a much clearer picture as to an
72 individuals' severity of neurologic impairment.

73

74 Predicting neurologic recovery based on the AIS grade assigned immediately following SCI is
75 challenging (4,5). For patients, knowing whether they will regain the ability to walk, irrespective of
76 neurological, bladder or bowel function improvement, remains their key concern (6). Identification

77 of a panel of biomarkers that could accurately predict an individuals' ability to regain neurological,
78 physical and autonomic function, could be of great psychological benefit to these patients.
79 Furthermore, depending on the individuals' prognosis, the treatment pathway could be tailored to
80 ensure that optimal neurological and/or physical function is regained and that patient rehabilitative
81 care is maintained until their best possible outcome is achieved.

82

83 ISNCSCI diagnosis of a SCI can be delayed due to problems associated with poly-trauma
84 stabilisation or a lack of SCI expertise at the treating hospital. Therefore a diagnostic CSF or blood
85 test that can be used to assess the neurological state of these individuals may provide a quicker,
86 cheaper and more accurate method, which will empower clinicians to stratify patients to the most
87 suitable treatments for their needs. Additionally, as novel treatments to target the acute phase of SCI
88 develop, quick and accurate diagnoses of patients who will be appropriate to recruit to these clinical
89 trials will ensure studies are appropriately powered to assess efficacy. Despite prediction of
90 neurological improvement having been the focus of a majority of biomarker studies, there is also
91 value in the use of biomarkers to predict other long-term outcomes, such as neuropathic pain, for
92 which early intervention studies could be implemented to try and prevent the onset of these
93 conditions.

94

95 Currently, in both routine clinical care and in clinical trials, the neurological condition of individuals
96 is assessed by ISNCSCI grading and imaging modalities. Biomarkers that can easily be repeatedly
97 measured within the blood or CSF of these individuals' to determine progressive neurological
98 condition would be highly beneficial, as it would allow rapid determination as to whether the patient
99 was improving, worsening or showed sustained neurological stability in response to their current
100 treatment; thus providing a biological surrogate outcome measure. Further, such biomarkers might
101 indicate whether the patient has increased neurological plasticity in response to a treatment or

102 rehabilitation regime. Finally, biomarkers released into the CSF and or blood, may provide a plethora
103 of information as to the patients' biological response to SCI. As discussed below, different biological
104 responses to SCI may lead to specific molecules being released into the CSF or blood; these fluids
105 may contain a unique fingerprint that can be used by scientists and clinicians to elucidate the
106 mechanisms underlying an individuals' SCI. Again, this could allow for personalised treatments to
107 be provided to a patient that target their specific injury mechanisms and that can be used to assess
108 their specific mechanistic responses.

109

110 In recent years, scientists have started to take up the challenge of discovering and validating
111 biomarkers in the blood and CSF that have prognostic value in accurately diagnosing complete or
112 incomplete SCI and determining SCI progression. This review aims to present an overview of the
113 current state of play in this emerging field. We will explain how the biological process of SCI may
114 lead to the release of biomarkers of interest into the CSF and blood; the techniques that are
115 commonly used to find and validate these markers, and the pre-clinical and clinical studies that have
116 already begun to highlight biomarkers of interest.

117

118 **2. SCI and the release of biochemical biomarkers**

119 This section of the review aims to highlight some of the major processes that occur following a SCI,
120 which could lead to biomarker release. It is still unclear how biomarkers from the spinal cord are
121 released into the blood following injury; however, we suggest that their release is likely to be highly
122 influenced by the specific type of injury sustained and the biochemical properties of the biomarkers
123 in question. The majority of biomarkers which have already been studied in both pre-clinical and
124 clinical studies have been identified from targeted biomarker identification processes, i.e. looking for
125 markers that are likely released based on the known biological processes/mechanisms that occur
126 following SCI.

127

128 2.1. Spinal cord tissue damage

129 In both animal models of SCI and in the human situation, spinal cord traumas fall broadly into two
130 categories: transection injuries, where the spinal cord is penetrated with a sharp force; and the more
131 common contusion traumas, where the spinal cord is essentially crushed (7,8). Both types of injury
132 result in a breach of the blood brain barrier (BBB) and either immediate primary or secondary
133 damage to the neurons and glia of the spinal cord tracts. Rupture of these cell types results in the
134 release of biomarkers, largely cellular components, which are specific in the indication of nervous
135 tissue damage and include neurofilaments (NF) (9), Tau (10), neuron specific enolase (NSE) (11),
136 S100 calcium-binding protein β (S100 β) (11) and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (9). These
137 tissue specific biomarkers (discussed in greater detail below) hold great promise as they are typically
138 released into the CSF then taken up into the blood stream, allowing for their detection local to the
139 injury site and systemically. The quantity of these proteins in the CSF and blood might directly relate
140 to the extent of neuronal or glial damage that has occurred following SCI (12,13).

141

142 2.2 Inflammation

143 In brief, the breakdown of the BBB allows for an influx of inflammatory cells into spinal cord
144 tissues. Infiltrating leukocytes and resident microglia release proteolytic and oxidative enzymes,
145 reactive oxygen species and an array of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including, for example, tumour
146 necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) (14,15). This spike in acute phase pro-inflammatory molecules can be
147 measured in human blood in the first 24h following injury (16). Caution must be taken when
148 considering the blood at this stage however, as many of the abundant proteins that are seen acutely
149 after injury may be a result of the systemic response to trauma and not SCI *per se*; study of animal
150 models where matched 'sham' injuries can be performed allows for the opportunity to establish
151 which proteins are SCI specific. The pronounced acute pro-inflammatory response to injury induces

152 a reactive process of secondary damage in the tissues that surround the original injury site,
153 exacerbating neuronal damage and neurological dysfunction (14). This secondary damage cascade
154 can continue for several weeks following SCI, contributing to an expanding matrix of proteins
155 associated with neuronal and glial cell apoptosis, such as soluble CD95 ligand (sCD95L), an initiator
156 of the Fas apoptotic pathway (17).

157

158 2.3 Glial scarring

159 Glial cell activation and hypertrophy leads to the formation of a glial scar in the subacute and chronic
160 phases of SCI (18). Astrocytes become reactive and synthesise an extracellular matrix which is
161 effective in restoring the BBB, but that coincidentally inhibits axonal regrowth (18). The most potent
162 of these astrocyte associated nerve inhibitory molecules are the neural chondroitin sulphated
163 proteoglycans (CSPGs) (19,20). Myelin damage associated molecules represent the other major
164 nerve inhibitory molecules within the glial scar, these include myelin-associated glycoprotein
165 (MAG), Nogo-A and oligodendrocyte-myelin glycoprotein (OMgp) (21). There is a vast body of
166 literature which confirms that CSPGs, MAG, Nogo-A and OMgp can inhibit neurite outgrowth *in*
167 *vitro* and axonal regrowth *in vivo* (22–28) and that treatments which specifically target these
168 molecules promote functional recovery in SCI pre-clinical studies both individually (29,30) and in
169 combination (31). However, there is little research exploring the utility of these molecules as
170 prognostic biomarkers detectable in the CSF (32). Perhaps this is because we associate such
171 molecules with the subacute or chronic phases of injury, when a stable neurology is much more
172 likely. However, biomarkers, such as CPSGs that could be used to monitor any transition from the
173 sub-acute to chronic phase of injury might aid clinicians in decisions regarding rehabilitation.

174

175 3. Detection of biomarkers for SCI using unbiased approaches

176 Although it would be ideal, biomarkers of injury or disease are rarely either “detectable” or
177 “undetectable”. In most cases, biomarkers vary in expression levels under different conditions. It is
178 important, therefore, to have specific and sensitive methods to quantify these changes. Typically,
179 immunoassays have been the method of choice for studies that aimed to evaluate SCI biomarkers
180 within the blood or CSF. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is the most commonly
181 employed assay to date, and both homemade and commercial ELISA kits have been utilised.
182 Automated immunoassay systems are available for some potential biomarkers e.g. the Liaison
183 automatic analyser for S100 β and NSE (9,33), but it seems unlikely that the use of automated
184 systems will become widespread until such biomarkers have become fully validated for routine
185 clinical use.

186

187 The vast majority of studies aimed at finding new biomarkers for SCI have been based on a
188 hypothesis about a particular protein of interest. Shaw et al. (2005), for example, proposed that, due
189 to their high abundance in neurons, detection of NF proteins in CSF and/or serum is highly likely to
190 indicate neuronal damage (34). Of the three NF subunits (i.e. light (L), medium (M) and heavy (H)),
191 phosphorylated NF-H (pNF-H) was thought likely to be the most readily detectable in serum or CSF
192 following neurological injury because of its relative resistance to protease degradation (34). The
193 results from this hypothesis-driven study formed the basis of several further studies to evaluate the
194 prognostic potential of this biomarker following SCI (9,35).

195

196 Surprisingly very few studies, however, have employed higher-throughput techniques to identify new
197 biomarkers of SCI. A search of PubMed using the terms “proteomics AND spinal cord injury” and
198 “biomarkers AND spinal cord injury” identified just four publications in which the aim of the study
199 was to identify new peripherally accessible biomarkers of SCI (Table 1). Even more surprisingly,
200 given the popularity in other fields of biomedical research (recently reviewed by Crutchfield et al.

201 (2016) (36)), only two of these studies reported the use of unbiased quantitative proteomic techniques
202 to find novel biomarkers of SCI in the CSF or blood, while the remaining two studies employed
203 relatively low-throughput array technology. Notwithstanding the limitations of array technology-
204 based screening, several potential SCI biomarkers were identified in this way. Using a 34-cytokine
205 sandwich ELISA microarray, Light et al. (2012), identified increased levels of matrix
206 metalloproteinase-8 protein in CSF samples taken from adult rats at 12 days post-SCI (37), and
207 Hachisuka et al. (2014) found increased serum levels of the microRNAs miR-9, miR-219 and miR-
208 384-5 in mice at 12hrs after contusion SCI (n=8) compared to sham injury (n=8) using a low-density
209 microarray platform (Table 1) (38).

210

211 Despite some findings using array technology based screening, as expected, the unbiased quantitative
212 proteomic comparisons were more fruitful in terms of the numbers of potential biomarkers that were
213 identified. Using difference gel electrophoresis (DIGE) and mass spectrometry (MS) analysis to
214 compare CSF from patients at 1-8 days post SCI, Sengupta et al. (2014) identified eight proteins that
215 were differentially expressed between complete and incomplete injured patients (39) (Table 1). Using
216 a high-throughput label-free liquid chromatography-MS/MS quantitative proteomics technique,
217 Lubienicka et al. (2011) compared CSF taken from rats at 24hrs post-SCI and identified 42 putative
218 biomarkers; 10 of which are indicative of SCI severity (40) (Table 1). Moghieb et al. (2016) also
219 used MS to identify biomarkers of SCI, however, their approach was not to initially look for CSF or
220 blood biomarkers, instead they assessed protein changes within spinal cord tissue segments, of which
221 Transferrin, Triosephosphate Isomerase 1, Cathepsin D and Phosphoprotein Enriched In Astrocytes
222 15 (PEA-15) were confirmed as altered in human SCI CSF (41).

223

224 Despite proteomics providing a popular platform for novel biomarker identification in many fields of
225 study, other high-throughput techniques, such as lipidomics and metabolomics are also valuable in

226 biomarker identification (36). As is the case with proteomics, only a limited number of published
227 studies have utilised these approaches to elucidate biomarkers for SCI. Xu et al. (2015)
228 demonstrated, by assessment of lipidomic analysis of polyunsaturated fatty-acid containing
229 phosphatidylcholines within the spinal cord tissue, that spatiotemporal expression of one of these
230 phosphatidylcholines matched with reactive microglia and astrocyte activity (42). Although not
231 directly relevant to CSF or blood biomarkers, Xu et als' study indicates that lipidomic analysis of
232 these fluids may clarify the role of lipid metabolism and damage of the cell membrane following SCI
233 (42). There is also a need to further study the metabolome of CSF and/or blood of SCI patients, as
234 this represents the end-point of all gene, transcript and protein interactions (43). Peng et al. (2014)
235 published a comprehensive paper highlighting that metabolomic analysis of plasma from SCI rats led
236 to identification of a panel of metabolites that could be used to selectively determine injured
237 compared to sham injured animals, based on metabolite measurements alone (44). Analysis of these
238 metabolites within the plasma of human SCI patients' is required to see if these findings translate to
239 man and further similar metabolomic studies of human blood samples may also pinpoint other
240 biomarkers.

241

242 **4. Identifying biomarkers in the CSF and blood of pre-clinical models and human SCI patients**
243 **using 'targeted' approaches**

244 As discussed previously, the vast majority of studies that aimed to assess CSF or blood biomarkers of
245 SCI have done so based on 'targeted' proteins that are known to relate to the biological processes that
246 occur following a SCI. Many of these biomarkers have so far been assessed in pre-clinical models of
247 SCI. Pre-clinical models are highly controllable and provide the opportunity to measure differences
248 in the concentration of a biomarker in animals with a SCI and sham-injured animals (a comparison
249 not possible using human subjects). These models also allow for longitudinal analyses comparable to
250 acute, sub-acute and chronic timeframes post-SCI. It is, however, difficult to relate the phases of

251 injury in rodent models to that of the human situation, particularly as much depends on which of the
252 models of injury are used, and as such there is no published consensus of opinion.

253

254 Causes of human SCI are wide-ranging therefore several different animal models have been
255 generated in an attempt to account for this diversity, although it is extremely unlikely that any animal
256 model will ever be able to replicate the complexity of human injury. As discussed previously, the two
257 major categories of SCI are sharp force or “stab” lesions and contusive injuries. In rodent models,
258 contusion injuries are most commonly induced using blunt force impact devices (45), in which
259 calibrated weights are dropped onto an impounder which is rested on the surgically exposed spinal
260 cord (46,47). This technique allows for varying degrees of injury depending on the amount of force
261 used. Other methods of inducing an injury include the use of an aneurysm clip or calibrated forceps
262 to compress the cord for a set time-period (48,49). Contusion injuries are commonly used as models
263 of incomplete injury, whereas to study complete injury, complete transection of the spinal cord is
264 often carried out using either microscissors or a scalpel blade cutting all of the spinal cord tracts by
265 surgical incision and under visual control using suction to visually check for a complete injury
266 (50,51).

267

268 Both human and pre-clinical models have been utilised to identify potential biomarkers of SCI
269 progression. Tables 2 and 3 detail all of the studies (to our knowledge) that have assessed CSF and/or
270 blood biomarkers of SCI in pre-clinical and human models, respectively. Here we discuss the leading
271 candidate biomarkers of SCI severity and prognosis identified thus far, based on their known
272 relevance to the biological processes that result following SCI.

273

274 *4.1 Neurofilament proteins*

275 Neurofilament proteins (NF) are the most abundant proteins in the neuronal cytoskeleton (52). They
276 interact with other cytoskeletal proteins to regulate axonal transport and neuronal signalling (52). The
277 presence of extracellular NF proteins is an indication of axonal damage and NF accumulation is seen
278 in several neurological diseases (53) including multiple sclerosis (54–56), amyotrophic lateral
279 sclerosis (54,57) and traumatic brain injury (TBI) (58). NF proteins have long half-lives (3 weeks and
280 2.5 months for NF-L and pNF-H, respectively) (59,60) and pNF-H, in particular, is highly resistant
281 to breakdown by calpain and other systemic proteases (32). These proteins, therefore, provide
282 attractive candidate biomarkers for SCI as they are not broken down before detection would be
283 possible. The phosphorylated form of NF-H (pNF-H) (9,34) and NF-L (57,58) are the two subunits
284 which have been most widely considered as biomarkers for SCI and shall be discussed in more detail
285 below.

286 4.1.1 Neurofilament- heavy chain (NF-H)

287 SCI has been shown to result in increased levels of pNF-H in the CSF and blood of humans, rats and
288 canines (9,34,61,62), as assessed using ELISA. In rat serum for example, no pNF-H can be detected,
289 using ELISA, in uninjured and sham injured animals, however, severe experimental SCI results in
290 high levels of measurable pNF-H (34). A detailed study of serum pNF-H concentrations (again
291 assessed using ELISA) in rats with contusion (n=8) and spinal hemisection (n=13) injuries resulted in
292 biphasic pNF-H being detectable in the late acute, sub-acute and chronic phases of both injuries (34).
293 A sharp peak in pNF-H was observed at 16h post-SCI whilst maximal serum concentrations were
294 seen at 3 days post-SCI, returning to baseline levels at approximately 18 days (34).

295 Animal studies have also revealed that blood pNF-H levels can indicate disease severity and directly
296 relate to functional outcome. Nishida et al. (2012) demonstrated that in dogs with degenerative disc
297 disease (DDD; n=60), pNF-H levels rose incrementally with the grade of injury severity observed
298 (62). This study also demonstrated that those animals with the highest serum pNF-H levels at
299 veterinary presentation post-SCI were not able to regain the ability to walk following surgery (62).

300 Ueno et al. (2011) also demonstrated a negative correlation ($r = -0.78$) between rat plasma pNF-H
301 levels at 3 days post SCI and hindlimb function at 28 days post SCI (assessed using Basso, Beattie,
302 Breshnahan (BBB) score) (61).

303

304 A small cohort of human studies also indicates that there is a correlation between pNF-H and disease
305 state. In the CSF of SCI patients ($n=15$), pNF-H concentrations are higher at 6 to 48h post trauma
306 compared to that in uninjured individuals ($n=6$) (35). Further, Pouw et al. (2014), found that NF-H
307 concentrations in CSF were significantly greater in motor complete ($n=9$) patients compared to motor
308 incomplete patients ($n=7$) (9). In a recent, slightly larger study, pNF-H levels in the serum of SCI
309 trauma patients ($n=26$) were significantly greater compared to controls with spinal fracture but no
310 spinal cord trauma ($n=9$) at 24h and 48h post-injury (63). These studies indicate that the
311 measurement of pNF-H within the CSF and peripheral blood has potential as a prognostic biomarker
312 in the acute phase of SCI.

313

314 4.1.2 Neurofilament- light chain (NF-L)

315 Levels of NF-L have been assessed in both the CSF and serum of SCI patients (64,65). Guez et al.
316 (2003) found there to be increased levels of NF-L in CSF following SCI compared to uninjured and
317 whiplash injured patients (64). This study also demonstrated that for a patient with complete injury
318 and complete tetraparesis with no long term neurological improvement, NF-L levels were 10-fold
319 higher than in a complete injured patient who improved to AIS-D by 15-months post-injury (64).
320 This indicates that NF-L also may have utility as a biomarker of a patients' prognosis. In the later,
321 larger study, NF-L correlation with SCI severity and neurological outcome was confirmed (65). NF-
322 L concentrations were found to be higher in the motor complete ($n=13$) patients (70 pg/mL) and
323 motor incomplete ($n=10$) patients compared to others with central cord syndrome ($n=4$; 6 pg/mL) and
324 uninjured controls ($n=67$; 5pg/mL). Unlike pNF-H, the potential of NF-L as a biomarker for SCI has

325 not been strengthened by pre-clinical studies. Despite this, NF-L is shown in preliminary human
326 studies to have potential value in the classification of patients with or without capacity for
327 neurological improvement.

328

329 4.2 Tau

330 Tau proteins are microtubule stabilising proteins that are highly abundant in neurons (66–68). Like
331 NFs, these proteins function to maintain axonal transport and neuronal transmission (69). Expression
332 of Tau proteins within the CSF or blood of animals and humans is likely indicative of neuronal
333 damage, as these proteins are not usually secreted (10). Although several investigations into the use
334 of Tau as a biomarker for neurodegenerative diseases, such as conversion from mild cognitive
335 impairment to Alzheimer’s disease (70), have been described, there are fewer studies examining
336 these proteins as putative biomarkers for SCI.

337

338 There are no publications of SCI research into Tau as a biomarker in typical laboratory animal model
339 of SCI, however, veterinary studies looking to use Tau as a marker of SCI in dogs following IVD
340 herniation (IVDH) suggest that an acute rise in Tau levels might indicate decreased capacity for
341 functional recovery (71). In a study of 51 dogs, CSF was collected immediately upon admission to
342 the veterinary hospital (71). As well as Tau levels increasing with injury severity (higher in
343 incomplete injured compared to healthy animals and in complete compared to incomplete injured
344 animals), the highest levels of CSF Tau protein corresponded with those dogs which took the longest
345 time to recover function (71).

346

347 In human studies, the consequence of SCI on Tau levels is not overly clear. Pouw et al. (2014)
348 assessed Tau levels in CSF collected between 3-24h post-injury in motor complete and motor
349 incomplete patients (with 7/16 patients having their CSF drawn before 15 hours post-injury) and

350 found no significant differences associated with the degree of SCI (9). In contrast, two studies from
351 Kwon et al. (2010 & 2016) found that in CSF collected from complete or incomplete patients 24h
352 post-injury, Tau concentrations were significantly elevated in a severity-dependent manner (72,73).
353 This discrepancy between the studies could be due to a difference in patient numbers (Pouw et al.
354 (2014), n=16; Kwon et al. (2010), n=27; Kwon et al. (2016), n=50) and possibly a difference in time
355 between injury and CSF analysis (9,72,73). In combination with other markers, Tau can predict
356 initial AIS grade and if its' baseline measurement is low it can predict an improvement in AIS grade
357 by 6 months post-injury (73).

358

359 Kwon et al. (2010) plotted Tau concentrations within the CSF from 8 to 120 hours following a SCI
360 (72). Interestingly, the concentration of Tau remained higher in AIS-A patients compared to AIS-B
361 and AIS-C graded patients through to 48h after injury however no difference in CSF concentrations
362 of Tau existed between 48 and 120h post-injury (72). This observation highlights the dynamic nature
363 of the biological processes that follow a SCI and the importance of assessing candidate biomarkers
364 over time to ensure the most appropriate time is selected for measurement of differences in
365 biomarkers.

366

367 4.3 Neuron Specific Enolase (NSE)

368 Neuron specific enolase (NSE) is the dimeric neuronal form of the glycolytic enzyme enolase. This
369 enzyme is a marker of ischemic brain damage (74) and although it only has a short biologic half-life
370 (≤ 24 h) (75), NSE holds promise as an acute indicator of neuronal damage.

371

372 NSE levels are elevated in the CSF, plasma (76) and serum (77) of rats in the acute phase of SCI.
373 Further, NSE levels continue to be elevated at 24h post-injury in the serum of SCI compared to sham
374 injured rats (77), however, assessment in CSF or plasma for time-periods greater than 24h post-SCI

375 has not been evaluated in rodent models. Again, in humans NSE has only been assessed in the acute
376 period post-injury (≤ 24 h) (9,78) and measurement outside of this timeframe may be inappropriate
377 with respect to the short half-life of this protein.

378

379 Nonetheless, NSE has been shown to have potential as an indicator of SCI severity. In rats with mild
380 (n=20), moderate (n=20) and severe (n=20) spinal cord contusion injuries, 6h measurements of CSF
381 and plasma showed significantly greater levels of NSE in moderately and severely injured rats (with
382 greater NSE levels in the severely vs. moderately injured) compared to mildly injured animals (77).
383 In humans, higher NSE concentrations were observed in the CSF of motor complete (n=9) compared
384 to motor incomplete patients (n=7)(9). Results from Wolf et al. (2014) however, suggest that
385 measurement of NSE in the serum of patients may be inappropriate to assess disease severity, as
386 serum NSE concentrations within 24h of injury were no different when compared to vertebral injured
387 patients with (n=12) or without (n=22) neurological deficit (78).

388

389 4.4 S100 calcium binding protein β (S100 β)

390 S100 β is a glial specific S100 protein that is released into blood and CSF during the acute phase of
391 brain injury (79). S100 β is involved in a diverse range of functions including calcium homeostasis,
392 enzyme activity and metabolism, cell proliferation and differentiation (80). Measurement of S100 β
393 has potential as an acute marker of SCI, as it is significantly increased in the blood (76,77,81) and
394 CSF (76) of rats at 6h after severe contusion injury compared to sham injury. In the human acute
395 setting (< 48 h), S100 β is also increased in the serum of patients with vertebral spine fractures
396 (mean=0.77 μ g/L; n=34) compared to uninjured patients (0.14 μ g/L; n=29) (78) and in the CSF of
397 AIS-A grade patients compared to those with an AIS-B or C ISNCSCI score (73). Further, Pouw et
398 al. (2014) showed there to be higher levels of detectable S100 β in the CSF at 24h in those patients
399 who did not show improvement in AIS score at 6 or 12 months post-injury (9). This finding is

400 corroborated by Kwon et al. (2016), who showed decreased S100 β concentrations within the CSF up
401 to 48h after injury in SCI patients who demonstrated an improvement in AIS grade by 6 months post-
402 injury (73). Therefore, early acute phase assessment of S100 β within the CSF could provide a
403 predictive biomarker of neurological improvement.

404

405 Assessment of serum and CSF S100 β concentrations outside of the acute setting has not yet been
406 studied. However, results from animal studies demonstrate that by 24h post-injury, S100 β levels are
407 unaltered in response to SCI (77), perhaps limiting the potential of this biomarker for clinical use to
408 the acute setting only. In addition, S100 β has been measured in conjunction with NSE in two animal
409 studies (76,77) which indicated that co-measurement, rather than singular measurement of these
410 markers in the acute stages of injury is a more robust prognostic indicator of SCI severity.

411

412 4.5 Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP)

413 The intermediate filament protein found in astroglia, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), is a
414 widely acknowledged biomarker of severe brain damage resulting from haemorrhage or serious
415 trauma, with both serum and CSF levels being higher in patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI)
416 compared to uninjured controls (82). Despite the fact that GFAP is an established marker of neural
417 injury in other fields, very few studies have investigated its potential as a biomarker of SCI. In a
418 small preliminary study, Yokobori et al. (2015), demonstrated higher GFAP levels in the CSF of rats
419 in the acute phase following contusion injury (n=4) compared to sham injured animals (n=4) (83).
420 Ahadi et al. (2015) (63) demonstrated that GFAP is also increased in the serum of human acute SCI
421 patients (n=26) compared to uninjured controls (n=9). Further, Pouw et al. (2014) and Kwon et al.
422 (2016) confirmed that CSF GFAP concentrations were higher in complete vs. incomplete SCI
423 patients and hence that GFAP concentrations appear to be associated with SCI severity (9,73).
424 Measurement of CSF GFAP within 48h of injury has also been used, in combination with other

425 inflammatory and structural markers, to predict which AIS-A patients would show an improvement
426 in AIS score by 6 months post-injury, with an 83% success rate (73). Therefore acute assessment of
427 CSF GFAP may provide a predictive biomarker of neurological improvement. Longitudinal analyses
428 by Yokobori et al (2015) (83) showed maximal GFAP levels in CSF in rats at 4h post SCI, with CSF
429 concentrations decreasing sequentially at 24h and 48h after injury (83); further studies are required to
430 ascertain GFAP levels in the chronic phase of SCI.

431 4.6 Pro-inflammatory cytokines

432 Unsurprisingly, SCI can lead to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines across the BBB.
433 Therefore, several researchers have investigated whether concentrations of these cytokines in the
434 blood of SCI patients relate to neurological outcome. TNF- α is a cytokine involved in the acute phase
435 of pro-inflammatory signalling and is increased in the serum of SCI patients (n=56) compared to
436 uninjured controls (n=35) in the sub-acute phase (2-52 weeks) (84). This pattern of increased serum
437 TNF- α concentrations following SCI (n=6) compared to sham injury is maintained in rats (85).
438 Moreover, SCI patients who show improved neurological function, had lower TNF- α at 9h,
439 compared to SCI patients who failed to improve neurologically (16). Interleukin 1 beta (IL-1 β) is a
440 key moderator of proliferation and inflammation that is thought to be vital for the formation of the
441 glial scar (86). Ischaemia/ reperfusion SCI in rats (n=6) resulted in increased serum IL-1 β levels at
442 both 24 and 48 hrs after injury when compared to sham injured rats (n=6) (85). Despite human CSF
443 or blood measurements of IL-1 β not having been compared between SCI and uninjured individuals,
444 baseline assessment (4 hrs after hospital admission) of this cytokine in serum showed no difference
445 between patients who did or did not show an improvement in AIS score (16). Between weeks 1 and 4
446 after injury, however, serum IL-1 β concentrations decreased significantly, only in patients who did
447 not show an improvement in AIS score (16), indicating that maintenance of higher serum IL-1 β
448 concentrations may lead to improved neurological outcome. Previously, a pre-clinical model has also
449 indicated that Interleukin 6 (IL-6) may be a suitable blood biomarker to diagnose SCI, as at both 24

450 and 48 hrs after SCI serum concentrations of IL-6 were greater when compared to sham injured
451 rodents (85). More recently, Kwon et al (2016) have demonstrated CSF concentrations of pro-
452 inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and Interleukin 8 (IL-8) can be assessed in the acute phase of human
453 injury (≤ 48 h) to both determine injury severity and to predict neurological improvement from an
454 AIS-A to either AIS-B or C grade by 6 months post-injury (73).

455

456 4.7 Soluble CD95 ligand (sCD95L)

457 During the acute and subacute phase of SCI, neuronal damage via apoptosis is prolific. The Fas
458 ligand receptor system is key in driving this apoptotic response (87). Soluble CD95 ligand
459 (sCD95L/Fas-L) is a cleavage product of the type II transmembrane protein CD95L (17), which
460 when activated and bound to CD95 (Fas) can initiate the Fas apoptotic pathway. sCD95L induces
461 neutrophil secretion of pro-inflammatory chemokines (88). Although blocking the CD95 pathway in
462 SCI rats improved functional outcome, assessment of human blood sCD95L via ELISA, showed no
463 difference in concentration when comparing complete vs. incomplete injured patients at 4h and 12
464 weeks post injury (89,90). It is of note, however, that in these human studies no uninjured control
465 group was included; as such it is difficult to determine whether sCD95L concentration alters at all in
466 response to SCI.

467

468 **5. Discussion**

469 This review has aimed to evaluate biomarkers in the CSF and/or blood that are currently under
470 assessment as potential indicators of SCI diagnosis, severity and likely neurological outcome in
471 preclinical and clinical studies. These studies have aimed to establish whether biomarker detection in
472 CSF and blood is possible, to determine the longevity and stability of these biomarkers in each body
473 fluid, and their value in predicting neurological outcome, as assessed by ISNCSCI score. All of the
474 studies described are either in the pre-clinical stages of biomarker validation or have been undertaken

475 only in a small number of human patients. Pre-clinical models provide an invaluable tool in which
476 biomarker characteristics can be studied without the added complexity of clinical human-to-human
477 SCI variability. Importantly, the use of sham-injured animals for comparison ensures that biomarkers
478 that are specific to SCI are identified, as sham-injury can account for systemic responses, such as
479 systemic inflammation, that may occur in relation to the ‘trauma’ of sham injury. In human studies
480 that have compared biomarkers between SCI and healthy ‘controls’ (91), such healthy individuals are
481 unlikely to demonstrate any of the systemic biological responses that may exist, therefore some of
482 the protein differences observed between the injured and control groups are likely to be non-specific
483 to SCI. Access to appropriate human ‘sham injury controls’, where the same level and type of trauma
484 is observed along with matched patient demographics but without any injury to the spinal cord tissue
485 is impossible to obtain. Guez et al. (2003), however, have assessed the utility of comparing SCI
486 patients to individuals who had severe whiplash as a form of human ‘sham’ injured control. The
487 majority of candidate biomarkers in the described literature represent neural structural proteins which
488 are likely to be damaged following SCI and released into the CSF and blood following disruption of
489 the BBB. A cautionary aspect to consider for these SCI biomarkers is that some are known to
490 increase in the CSF and blood of individuals with brain injury or nervous system disease
491 (58,74,79,82); these confounding factors should be taken into consideration when exploring their
492 utility in the clinic, especially in incidences of polytrauma. Further, some of the biomarkers that have
493 indicated potential in SCI biomarker development have a short half-life (e.g. NSE), therefore
494 accurate measurement of these may need to be carried out immediately after injury. Unfortunately,
495 the assessment of SCI biomarkers in the acute setting (<24h) might not always be possible,
496 particularly in complex polytrauma cases where patient stabilisation is the priority.

497

498 Several of the studies included in this review have assessed biomarkers solely within the CSF. It is
499 intuitive to think that body fluids local to the injury site will contain the highest concentration of SCI

500 specific molecules, metabolites or proteins. This has been confirmed by studies that have directly
501 compared human biomarker concentrations in matched CSF and blood samples, which have
502 demonstrated that acutely after injury (≤ 48 h) concentrations of IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, Tau, S100 β and
503 GFAP were at least 10 fold higher in the CSF compared to the blood (72); much higher CSF
504 concentrations of biomarkers, including GFAP, were also demonstrated by Yokobori et al. (2015)
505 (83). The collection of CSF from SCI patients however, increases their risk of infection of the
506 meninges and has cost implications for the health service provider (92). Alternatively, if biomarkers
507 can be identified systemically, the collection and analysis of peripheral blood would represent a less
508 risky and more cost-effective approach. Therefore, there is benefit in pursuing techniques that are
509 sensitive enough to detect differences in biomarker concentrations in blood, however, initial
510 assessment of potential biomarkers may best be carried out in CSF where more apparent changes are
511 likely to be noted.

512

513 The majority of published studies that have assessed blood or CSF biomarkers in human SCI patients
514 have assessed the effectiveness of a biomarker based on its ability to predict or correspond to
515 ISNCSCI score. However, it may be that other measures of progression, such as improvements in
516 hand grasping, medical imaging or electrophysiology provide more subtle improvements, which
517 could more easily be unpicked by a difference in biomarkers.

518

519 The use of unbiased approaches to screen for putative biomarkers of SCI progression in CSF and
520 blood, for example quantitative proteomic approaches, have so far been largely overlooked, but are
521 likely to yield the greatest number of novel biomarker targets. The limited proteomic analyses of
522 CSF from SCI patients that exists provides a benchmark for the number of novel candidates that can
523 be identified (41), however, there is currently a lack of any essential follow-on validation via
524 quantitative western blot or ELISA. An alternative approach to identifying novel biomarkers using a

525 high-throughput approach, may be to assess protein changes within the spinal cord tissue and then
526 evaluate whether these changes are reflected in the CSF or bloods, as could be demonstrated by
527 Moghieb et al. (2016) (41). Alternatively, as bioinformatic approaches aimed at interpreting large
528 proteomic datasets improve, initial *in silico* validation of the candidate biomarkers might be possible
529 as an interim step before completing costly quantitative validation; an approach which has been
530 effective in Alzheimer's disease (93).

531

532 In this review, we have evaluated the current state-of-play in the CSF and/or blood biomarkers of
533 SCI research landscape, this review highlights some of the potential pitfalls which need to be
534 overcome to ensure the clinical utility of biomarker candidates, such as accounting for polytrauma
535 and delayed SCI diagnoses. In addition, it is clear that further investigation is required, to include
536 much larger cohorts of human participants with a diverse range of injuries in order to confirm the
537 clinical validity of the preliminary biomarker findings described. The need to identify and validate
538 novel prognostic biomarkers that can be measured within the blood or CSF, for the assessment of
539 SCI progression using unbiased approaches has also been discussed.

540

541 It is highly unlikely that a single biomarker measurement will ever be used on its own to accurately
542 predict SCI recovery in the clinic. We suggest that demographic and injury associated risk factors as
543 well as the evaluation of 'dry' biomarkers i.e. radiological imaging modalities and
544 electrophysiological measurements in combination with the quantitation of several validated CSF
545 and/or blood biomarkers will ultimately be used to provide a 'risk of SCI progression' index. Such a
546 prognostic risk index would greatly advance the clinical management of SCI patients, reducing
547 uncertainty for both patients and health care providers in the acute SCI setting and providing
548 confidence in neurological stability prior to the recruitment of SCI patients into clinical trials.

549

550 Finally, this review highlights the fact that very few studies have been published to identify
551 biomarkers for other uses in the SCI field. Undoubtedly, biomarkers that could be used in clinical
552 trials that aim to target specific disease mechanisms, such as remyelination, would be invaluable for
553 assessing efficacy of a particular treatment and the mechanism of interest. Further, biomarkers that
554 could be used to identify patients who will develop other long-term problems, such as neuropathic
555 pain would also be advantageous for the stratification of patients to particular treatment.

Reference	Injury Type	Sample numbers	Species	Sample	Time of sampling (after SCI)	Method of Biomarker screening	Candidate Biomarkers	
<i>Light et al., 2012 (37)</i>	Contusion Sham	n=4 n=4	Rat	CSF	12 days	Cytokine ELISA microarray	Matrix Metalloprotease-8 Thymus Chemokine-1	
<i>Hachisuka et al., 2014 (38)</i>	Contusion (mild) Contusion (severe) Sham Untreated	n=8 n=8 n=8 n=8	Mouse	Serum	12h	Taq-man low density array	miR-219 miR-384-5p miR-9	
<i>Sengupta et al., 2014 (39)</i>	Complete Incomplete Complete Incomplete	n=7 n=8 n=3 n=3	Human	CSF	1-8 days (acute) 15-60 days (sub-acute)	Difference gel electrophoresis (DIGE) and matrix assisted laser desorption/ ionisation-mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS)	GTF3C5 HP IGHG2 IGHG4	ALB TF AZGP1 APOH
<i>Lubienicka et al., 2011 (40)</i>	Contusion (moderate) Contusion (severe) Sham	n= 9 n= 9 n= 9	Rat	CSF	24h	Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)	YWHAG ORM1 A1M A2M APOA1 APOH B2M CA1 CA2 C3 C1 CRP FAM3C GPX3 ITIH4 ITIH3 LASMP F11R KNG1	LDHA IGKC NBL1 SCG5 PRDX2 PZP ZMYND8 S100A8 F2 SCG3 SERPINC1 CDH13 MAP1 YWHAZ

Table 1 Candidate blood and/or CSF biomarkers for SCI identified from high-throughput techniques

Reference	Biomarker	Injury type	Sample numbers	Species	Sample	Time of sampling (after SCI)	Findings
<i>Ueno et al., 2011 (61)</i>	pNF-H	Moderate contusion	n=4	Rat	Plasma	1, 2, 3, 4 days	Investigated if minocycline treatment could improve recovery following SCI by looking at pNF-H as a potential biomarker. pNF-H was detectable from 1 day post SCI, with levels peaking at 3 days. pNF-H levels were lower in rats which had improved hindlimb function (BBB score). A negative correlation between pNF-H level at 3 days post SCI and BBB score at 28 days post injury existed.
<i>Nishida et al., 2012 (62)</i>	NF-H	Paraplegia with IVDH	n=60 control: n=6	Dog	Serum	1-3 days	pNF-H was higher in animals with worse paraplegia (grade 5 vs grade 4). Eight dogs with the highest pNF-H levels were unable to walk following surgery.
<i>Shaw et al., 2005 (34)</i>	pNF-H	Contusion Spinal hemisection	n=8 n=13	Rat	Serum	5, 2, 8, 16, 24h 2-21 days	Increased pNF-H in SCI (contusion and spinal hemisection) injured vs. sham injured. pNF-H increased in the first few hours of injury and peaked at 16h post SCI. pNF-H levels had a second high peak observed at 3 day post SCI before returning to baseline levels at 18 days post SCI.
<i>Roerig et al., 2013 (71)</i>	Tau	IVDH	n=51	Dog	CSF	At time of veterinary admission	Tau levels were increased in dogs with motor complete injury compared to healthy or motor incomplete injured dogs. Dogs which improved at least one neurological grade within a week had lower tau concentrations than those that took longer to recover.
<i>Loy et al., 2005 (77)</i>	NSE; S 100 β	Moderate contusion Severe contusion	n=12 n=10	Rat	Serum	6, 24h	Significantly higher serum NSE levels were noted at 6h and 24h following SCI compared to sham injured animals. Significantly higher serum S100 β levels at 6h in severely injured rats. S100 β levels were not significantly different when comparing SCI and sham injured rats at 24h.

<i>Cao et al., 2008 (76)</i>	NSE; S100 β	Mild contusion Moderate contusion Severe contusion	n=20 n=20 n=20	Rat	CSF; Serum	30 mins 2,6,12,24h	Significant increase in NSE and S100 β levels in both serum and CSF from 2h post SCI compared to sham injury. At 6h post SCI, CSF and plasma NSE and S100 β were significantly higher in moderate and severely injured rats compared to mildly injured rats and were significantly higher in severely injured rats compared to moderately injured rats.
<i>Ma et al., 2001 (81)</i>	S100	Spinal compression	n=40 control: n=24	Rat	Serum	2, 6, 13, 24h 3, 6, 10 days	Serum S100 increased within 3h after injury in the SCI rats. Levels of serum S100 peaked at 3h, 12h and 3 days after SCI and was significantly higher than levels in serum of sham injured rats at all three time points tested.
<i>Yokobori et al., 2015 (83)</i>	GFAP; SBDP120; SPDP145	Contusion	n=4	Rat	CSF	4, 24, 48h	GFAP and UCH-L1 levels in the CSF were increased at 4h, 24h and 48h post SCI compared to sham injury. CSF GFAP levels were highest at 4h post injury, then decreased at 24h and 48h. UCH-L1 was increased at 4h but not 24h or 48h after SCI when compared to sham injured animals.
<i>Hasturk et al., 2009 (85)</i>	TNF- α IL-1 β IL-6	Spinal ischemia/ reperfusion	n=6	Rat	Serum	24, 48h	Serum TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 was elevated following ischemia/reperfusion injury compared to sham injury at 24 and 48 hrs. None of the cytokines showed altered abundance at 24 compared to 4 hr in injured rats.
<i>Hachisuka et al., 2014 (38)</i>	miRNA	Mild contusion Moderate contusion	n=8 n=8	Mice	Serum	3, 12, 24h 3, 5, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42 days	miR9 and miR384-5p were significantly higher in mouse serum at 3h, 12h, 24h and 72h following SCI compared to sham injured mice. miR219 was significantly higher in mouse serum at 3h, 12h and 24h following SCI compared to sham injury.

Table 2 Biomarkers of SCI identified and/or validated using animal models

Abbreviations: BBB, Basso, Beattie, Bresnahan score; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid, IVDH, intervertebral disc herniation; NF-H, neurofilament heavy chain; NSE, neuron specific enolase; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; S100 β , S100 calcium binding protein β ; SCI, spinal cord injury

562

563

564

Reference	Biomarker	Patient groups	Sample numbers	Spinal Level (n)	AIS Grade (n)	Age [y] Mean (Range) M/F ratio	Sample/ Assay Type	Time of sampling (post-injury)	Findings
<i>Ahadi et al., 2015 (63)</i>	GFAP; pNF-H; NSE	Traumatic SCI Control (Spinal fracture, no trauma)	n=26 n=9	C (8) T (8) L (10)	A (10) B (7) C&D (9)	All (n=35) 37 (16-64) 30/5	Serum/ ELISA	24h; 48h; 72h	GFAP sig. increased in trauma SCI vs controls at all time points. GFAP related to SCI severity. pNF-H & NSE sig. increased in trauma SCI vs controls at 24 & 48h after injury.
<i>Biglari et al., 2013 (89)</i>	sCD95L	Traumatic SCI	n=8	C (5) T (3)	A (2) B (1) C (3) D (2)	48 (18-86) 5/3	Serum/ Immuno-assay	24h; At day 3, 7, 14, 28 & 90	No difference detected between patients, but levels decreased during the 1 st week, increased during the 2 nd week, were highest in the 4 th week and levels plateaued at 12 weeks.
<i>Biglari et al., 2015a (90)</i>	sCD95L	Traumatic SCI	n=23	C (8) T (9) L (6)	A (15) B (6) C (2)	43 (18-85) 16/7	Serum/ Immuno-assay	On admittance; 4, 9, 12 & 24h; 3 & 7 days; 2, 4, 8 & 12 weeks post-admission	sCD95L was significantly reduced during the first 24h, but was significantly higher c.f. admission levels

<i>Biglari et al., 2015b</i> (16)	IL-1 β ; TNF- α	Traumatic SCI	n=23	C (8) T (9) L (6)	A (15) B (6) C (2)	43 (18-85) 16/7	Serum/ Immuno-assay	On admittance; 4, 9, 12 & 24h; 3 & 7 days; 2, 4, 8 & 12 weeks post- admission	at 8 weeks. Improvers were found to have lower TNF- α at 9h c.f. non- improvers. IL-1 β declined in all patients between 2 & 12 weeks.
<i>Davies et al., 2007</i> (84)	IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α , IL-4, IL-10, IL-2, IL- 1RA, myelin- associated glycoprotein, GM ₁ ganglioside IgG (G & M)	Traumatic SCI Control	n=56 n=35	Between C4 & T12	A (14) B (13) C (22) D (7)	41 42/14 35 (18-65) 18/17	Serum/ ELISA	1 st visit at rehab 22 (2-52 wk post-injury) 34 (>52 wk)	Excluded patients with communicable diseases, cancer diagnosis or on anti-inflammatory medication also with nontraumatic aetiologies such as epidural abscess, aneurysm etc. IL-6, TNF- α , IL- 1RA & anti-GM was increased in SCI patients c.f. controls. These levels are increased further in SCI patients presenting with neuropathic pain, UTIs & pressure ulcers.
<i>Guez et al., 2003</i> (64)	GFAP; NF-L	Cervical fracture dislocation with neurological deficit Severe whiplash with neurological deficit Control (no	n=6 n=17 n=24	C (6)	A (3) B (1) D (2)	48 (40-69) 5/1 39 (26-56) 11/6 31 (23-56) 12/12	CSF/ ELISA	1-21 days	Exclusions included patients with head injury or unconsciousness. GFAP & NF-L increased in cervical fracture dislocation group. NF-L was

		neurology)							increased in 3 patients with whiplash indicating axonal injury.
<i>Kuhle et al., 2015 (65)</i>	NF-L	Motor-complete SCI CCS Motor-incomplete SCI Healthy controls (no neurological Deficit)	n=13 n=4 n=10 n=67	C (11) T (2) C (4) C (9) T (1)	A (12) & B (1) C (2) & D (2) C (7) & D (3)	32 (22-45) 8/5 49 (39-62) 3/1 33 (22-43) 7/3 35 (28-42) 29/38	Serum/ In-house immuno-assay	12h & every 12h subsequently up to 7days	NF-L correlated with severity & neurological outcome.
<i>Kwon et al., 2010 (72)</i>	25-plex cytokine array plus IL-16 & growth factors; Tau; S100 β ; GFAP	Complete SCI Incomplete SCI Controls (undergoing operations for hip, knee or spine)	n =14 n=13 n=12	C (11) T (3) C (10) T (3)	A (14) B (7) & C (6)	All (n=27) 48 (20-66) 19/8	CSF & Serum/ ELISA & Multiplex array system	\leq 72h	Exclusions – concomitant head injuries, major trauma to chest, pelvis or extremities requiring intervention or if too sedated or intoxicated to assess neurology. Produced a biochemical model using a combination of S100 β , GFAP & IL-8 from CSF to reliably (89% of patients) predict injury severity (AIS- A, B or C) at 24h post-injury. These markers also predicted segmental motor recovery at 6 months.

<i>Kwon et al., (2016) (73)</i>	Tau, S100β GFAP IL-6 IL-8 MCP-1	Traumatic SCI	n=50	C (32) L (3) T (15)	A (29) B (12) C (9)	41.9 4/1	CSF/ ELISA	≤48h	GFAP, IL-6, S100β and Tau were significantly different between AIS- A, B and C grade individuals. A discriminant function analysis model showed 83% success rate at predicting baseline AIS grade based on CSF concentrations of all of these biomarkers together. Baseline concentrations of IL-6, IL-8 MCP-1, Tau, S100β and GFAP were different between those who showed neurological improvement (conversion of AIS grade 6 months) compared to those with the same AIS grade at 6 months.
<i>Pouw et al., 2014 (9)</i>	GFAP; NSE; S100β; Tau; NFH	Motor-complete SCI Motor-incomplete SCI	n=9 n=7	C (6) T (3) C (5) T (2)	A (7) B (2) C (4) D (3)	All (n=16) 46 (18-84) 10/6	CSF/ ELISA	≤24h	Patients requiring interventions for major trauma to chest, pelvis and/or extremities or with pre-existent neurodegenerative disorders were

									excluded. NSE, S-100 β & NFH were increased in motor-complete c.f. motor-incomplete patients.
<i>Ungureanu et al., 2014 (35)</i>	pNF-H	Complete SCI Incomplete SCI Normals	n=8 n=7 n=6	C (6) T (2) C (4) T (3)	A (8) B,C, D (7) E (6)	35 (21-53) 6/2 45 (33-59) 5/2	CSF/ ELISA	6-12h, then daily discharge until or death	Patients presenting with TBI & chronic CNS pathologies were excluded. pNF-H was detectable in all SCI patients, but was more elevated in complete SCI.
<i>Wolf et al., 2014 (11)</i>	NSE; S100 β	Vertebral spine fractures with neurology deficit Vertebral spine fractures with no neurology deficit Control (acute fractured femur)	n=12 n=22 n=29		Complete (5) Incomplete (6) Parasthesia (1)	Spinal fracture (n=34) 53 (16-94) 20/14 77 (22-94) 8/21	Serum/ Immuno-assay	\leq 24h	Patients excluded were those with TBI, requiring intubation or unstable, open fractures, pregnancy, polytrauma or severe penetrating injuries. S100 β was increased in patients with vertebral fractures and was significantly highest in patients with neurology deficit.
<i>Yokobori et al., 2015 (83)</i>	UCH-L1; SBDPs; MBP; GFAP	Moderate-severe SCI Non-SCI (with hydrocephalus or unruptured	n=7 n=15		A, B & C (7)		CSF & serum/ ELISA	\leq 24h	Preliminary data suggesting that the structural proteins UCH-L1 & SBDPs may be

		aneurysm)								biomarker candidates for SCI .
--	--	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--------------------------------

565

566 **Table 3 Biomarkers used in traumatic human SCI**

567 Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; NF-H, neurofilament heavy chain; NSE, neuron specific enolase; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; S100 β , S100
568 calcium binding protein β ; SCI, spinal cord injury; TBI, traumatic brain injury.

References

1. Medicine Consortium for Spinal Cord. Early acute management in adults with spinal cord injury: a clinical practice guideline for health-care providers. *J Spinal Cord Med.* 2008;31(4):404–79.
2. Masri E. Management of Traumatic Spinal Cord Injuries: current standard of care revisited. *Adv Clin Neurosci Rehabil.* 2010;10(1):37–40.
3. Tuszynski MH, Steeves JD, Fawcett JW, Lammertse D, Kalichman M, Rask C, et al. Guidelines for the conduct of clinical trials for spinal cord injury as developed by the ICCP Panel: clinical trial inclusion/exclusion criteria and ethics. *Spinal Cord.* 2007;45(3):222–31.
4. Fehlings MG, Vaccaro A, Wilson JR, Singh A, Cadotte DW, Harrop JS, et al. Early versus delayed decompression for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: Results of the surgical timing in acute spinal cord injury study (STASCIS). *PLoS One.* 2012;7(2).
5. Fawcett JW, Curt A, Steeves JD, Coleman WP, Tuszynski MH, Lammertse D, et al. Guidelines for the conduct of clinical trials for spinal cord injury as developed by the ICCP panel: spontaneous recovery after spinal cord injury and statistical power needed for therapeutic clinical trials. *Spinal Cord.* 2007;45(3):190–205.
6. El Masri WS, Kumar N. Traumatic spinal cord injuries. *Lancet.* 2011;377(9770):972–4.
7. Bunge RP, Puckett WR, Becerra JL, Marcillo A, Quencer RM. Observations on the pathology of human spinal cord injury. A review and classification of 22 new cases with details from a case of chronic cord compression with extensive focal demyelination. *Adv Neurol.* 1993;59:75–89.
8. Bunge RP, Puckett WR, Hiester ED. Observations on the pathology of several types of human spinal cord injury, with emphasis on the astrocyte response to penetrating injuries. *Adv Neurol.* 1997;72:305–15.
9. Pouw MH, Kwon BK, Verbeek MM, Vos PE, van KA, Fisher CG, et al. Structural biomarkers in the cerebrospinal fluid within 24h after a traumatic spinal cord injury: a descriptive analysis of 16 subjects. *Spinal Cord.* 2014;52(6):428–33.
10. Mori H, Hosoda K, Matsubara E, Nakamoto T, Furiya Y, Endoh R, et al. Tau in cerebrospinal fluids: establishment of the sandwich ELISA with antibody specific to the repeat sequence of tau. *Neurosci*

- Lett. 1995;186(2-3):181–3.
11. Wolf H, Krall C, Pajenda G, Leitgeb J, Bukaty AJ, Hajdu S, et al. Alterations of the biomarker S-100B and NSE in patients with acute vertebral spine fractures. *Spine J.* 2014;14(1):2918–22.
 12. Zetterberg H, Smith DH, Blennow K. Biomarkers of mild traumatic brain injury in cerebrospinal fluid and blood. *Nat Rev Neurol.* Nature Publishing Group; 2013;9(4):201–10.
 13. Csuka E, Morganti-Kossmann MC, Lenzlinger PM, Joller H, Trentz O, Kossmann T. IL-10 levels in cerebrospinal fluid and serum of patients with severe traumatic brain injury: Relationship to IL-6, TNF-alpha, TGF-beta1 and blood-brain barrier function. *J Neuroimmunol.* 1999;101(2):211–21.
 14. Carlson SL, Parrish ME, Springer JE, Doty K, Dossett L. Acute inflammatory response in spinal cord following impact injury. *Exp Neurol.* 1998;151(1):77–88.
 15. Trivedi A, Olivas AD, Noble-Haeusslein LJ. Inflammation and spinal cord injury: Infiltrating leukocytes as determinants of injury and repair processes. *Clin Neurosci Res.* 2006;6(5):283–92.
 16. Biglari B, Swing T, Child C, Buchler A, Westhauser F, Bruckner T, et al. A pilot study on temporal changes in IL-1 β and TNF- α serum levels after spinal cord injury: the serum level of TNF- α in acute SCI patients as a possible marker for neurological remission. *Spinal Cord.* 2015;53(7):510–4.
 17. Janssen O, Qian J, Linkermann A, Kabelitz D. CD95 ligand--death factor and costimulatory molecule? *Cell Death Differ.* 2003;10(11):1215–25.
 18. Silver J, Miller JH. Regeneration beyond the glial scar. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2004;5(2):146–56.
 19. Cole GJ, McCabe CF. Identification of a developmentally regulated keratan sulfate proteoglycan that inhibits cell adhesion and neurite outgrowth. *Neuron.* 1991;7(6):1007–18.
 20. Katoh-Semba R, Matsuda M, Kato K, Oohira A. Chondroitin sulphate proteoglycans in the rat brain: candidates for axon barriers of sensory neurons and the possible modification by laminin of their actions. *Eur J Neurosci.* 1995;7(4):613–21.
 21. Kastin AJ, Pan W. Targeting neurite growth inhibitors to induce CNS regeneration. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2005;11(10):1247–53.
 22. McKerracher L, David S, Jackson DL, Kottis V, Dunn RJ, Braun PE. Identification of myelin-associated glycoprotein as a major myelin-derived inhibitor of neurite growth. *Neuron.*

- 1994;13(4):805–11.
23. Chen MS, Huber AB, van der Haar ME, Frank M, Schnell L, Spillmann AA, et al. Nogo-A is a myelin-associated neurite outgrowth inhibitor and an antigen for monoclonal antibody IN-1. *Nature*. 2000;403:434–9.
 24. Fournier AE, GrandPre T, Strittmatter SM. Identification of a receptor mediating Nogo-66 inhibition of axonal regeneration. *Nature*. 2001;409(6818):341–6.
 25. Wang KC, Koprivica V, Kim JA, Sivasankaran R, Guo Y, Neve RL, et al. Oligodendrocyte-myelin glycoprotein is a Nogo receptor ligand that inhibits neurite outgrowth. *Nature*. 2002;417(6892):941–4.
 26. Oertle T, van der Haar ME, Bandtlow CE, Robeva A, Burfeind P, Buss A, et al. Nogo-A inhibits neurite outgrowth and cell spreading with three discrete regions. *J Neurosci*. 2003;23(13):5393–406.
 27. Wright KT, Masri W El, Osman A, Roberts S, Chamberlain G, Ashton BA, et al. Bone marrow stromal cells stimulate neurite outgrowth over neural proteoglycans (CSPG), myelin associated glycoprotein and Nogo-A. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2007;354(2):559–66.
 28. Wright KT, Uchida K, Bara JJ, Roberts S, Masri W El, Johnson WEB. Spinal motor neurite outgrowth over glial scar inhibitors is enhanced by coculture with bone marrow stromal cells. *Spine J*. 2014;14(8):1722–33.
 29. Bradbury EJ, Moon LDF, Popat RJ, King VR, Bennett GS, Patel PN, et al. Chondroitinase ABC promotes functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *Nature*. 2002;416(6881):636–40.
 30. Freund P, Wannier T, Schmidlin E, Bloch J, Mir A, Schwab ME, et al. Anti-Nogo-A antibody treatment enhances sprouting of corticospinal axons rostral to a unilateral cervical spinal cord lesion in adult macaque monkey. *J Comp Neurol*. 2007;502(4):644–59.
 31. Zhao RR, Andrews MR, Wang D, Warren P, Gullo M, Schnell L, et al. Combination treatment with anti-Nogo-A and chondroitinase ABC is more effective than single treatments at enhancing functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2013;38(6):2946–61.
 32. Hayes KC, Hull TCL, Delaney GA, Potter PJ, Sequeira KAJ, Campbell K, et al. Elevated serum titers of proinflammatory cytokines and CNS autoantibodies in patients with chronic spinal cord injury. *J*

- Neurotrauma. 2002;19(6):753–61.
33. Marquardt G, Setzer M, Theisen A, Tews D-S, Seifert V. Experimental subacute spinal cord compression: correlation of serial S100B and NSE serum measurements, histopathological changes, and outcome. *Neurol Res.* 2011;33(4):421–6.
 34. Shaw G, Yang C, Ellis R, Anderson K, Mickle JP, Scheff S, et al. Hyperphosphorylated neurofilament NF-H is a serum biomarker of axonal injury. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2005;336(4):1268–77.
 35. Ungureanu D, M IS, Dimitriu C, Iencean AS, Tascu A. Determination of the phosphorylated neurofilament subunit NF-H (pNF-H) in cerebro-spinal fluid as biomarker in acute traumatic spinal cord injuries. *Rev Rom Med Lab.* 2014;22(3):377–87.
 36. Crutchfield CA, Thomas SN, Sokoll LJ, Chan DW. Advances in mass spectrometry-based clinical biomarker discovery. *Clin Proteomics.* 2016;13(1).
 37. Light M, Minor KH, DeWitt P, Jasper KH, Davies SJ. Multiplex array proteomics detects increased MMP-8 in CSF after spinal cord injury. *J Neuroinflammation.* 2012;9(1):122.
 38. Hachisuka S, Kamei N, Ujigo S, Miyaki S, Yasunaga Y, Ochi M. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers for evaluating the severity of acute spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2014;52(8):596–600.
 39. Sengupta MB, Basu M, Iswarari S, Mukhopadhyay KK, Sardar KP, Acharyya B, et al. CSF Proteomics of Secondary Phase Spinal Cord Injury in Human Subjects: Perturbed Molecular Pathways Post Injury. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(10):e110885.
 40. Lubieniecka JM, Streijger F, Lee JHT, Stoyanov N, Liu J, Mottus R, et al. Biomarkers for Severity of Spinal Cord Injury in the Cerebrospinal Fluid of Rats. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(4):e19247.
 41. Moghieb A, Bramlett H, Das J, Yang Z, Selig T, Yost R, et al. Differential neuroproteomic and systems biology analysis of spinal cord injury. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2016;15(7):2379–95.
 42. Xu D, Omura T, Masaki N, Arima H, Banno T, Okamoto A, et al. Increased arachidonic acid-containing phosphatidylcholine is associated with reactive microglia and astrocytes in the spinal cord after peripheral nerve injury. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:26427.
 43. Dunn WB. Mass spectrometry in systems biology an introduction. *Methods Enzymol.*

2011;500(1):15–35.

44. Peng J, Zeng J, Cai B, Yang H, Cohen M, Chen W, et al. Establishment of quantitative severity evaluation model for spinal cord injury by metabolomic fingerprinting. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4):93736.
45. Vijayaprakash KM, Sridharan N. An experimental spinal cord injury rat model using customized impact device: A cost-effective approach. *J Pharmacol Pharmacother*. 2013;4(3):211–3.
46. Koozekanani SH, Vise WM, Hashemi RM, McGhee RB. Possible mechanisms for observed pathophysiological variability in experimental spinal cord injury by the method of Allen. *J Neurosurg*. 1976;44(1):429–34.
47. Zhang N, Fang M, Chen H, Gou F, Ding M. Evaluation of spinal cord injury animal models. *Neural Regen Res*. 2014;9(22):2008–12.
48. Gruner JA, Yee AK, Blight AR. Histological and functional evaluation of experimental spinal cord injury: Evidence of a stepwise response to graded compression. *Brain Res*. 1996;729(1):90–101.
49. Onifer SM, Rabchevsky AG, Scheff SW. Rat models of traumatic spinal cord injury to assess motor recovery. *ILAR J*. 2007;48(4):385–95.
50. Xu XM, Chen A, Guénard V, Kleitman N, Bunge MB. Bridging Schwann cell transplants promote axonal regeneration from both the rostral and caudal stumps of transected adult rat spinal cord. *J Neurocytol*. 1997;26(1):1–16.
51. Fouad K, Schnell L, Bunge MB, Schwab ME, Liebscher T, Pearse DD. Combining Schwann Cell Bridges and Olfactory-Ensheathing Glia Grafts with Chondroitinase Promotes Locomotor Recovery after Complete Transection of the Spinal Cord. 2005;25(5):1169–78.
52. Miller CCJ, Ackerley S, Brownlees J, Grierson AJ, Jacobsen NJO, Thornhill P. Axonal transport of neurofilaments in normal and disease states. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*. 2002. p. 323–30.
53. Al-Chalabi A, Miller CCJ. Neurofilaments and neurological disease. *BioEssays*. 2003. p. 346–55.
54. Gaiottino J, Norgren N, Dobson R, Topping J, Nissim A, Malaspina A, et al. Increased Neurofilament Light Chain Blood Levels in Neurodegenerative Neurological Diseases. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(9).
55. Kuhle J, Malmestrom C, Axelsson M, Plattner K, Yaldizli O, Derfuss T, et al. Neurofilament light and heavy subunits compared as therapeutic biomarkers in multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurol Scand*.

2013;128(6):e33–6.

56. Trentini A, Comabella M, Tinotore M, Koel-Simmelink, M J Killestein J, Roos B, Rovira A, et al. N-acetylaspartate and neurofilaments as biomarkers of axonal damage in patients with progressive forms of multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol.* 2014;261(12):2338–43.
57. Weydt P, Oeckl P, Huss A, Müller K, Volk AE, Kuhle J, et al. Neurofilament levels as biomarkers in asymptomatic and symptomatic familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann Neurol.* John Wiley and Sons Inc.; 2016;79(1):152–8.
58. Cai JY, Lu C, Chen MH, Ba HJ, Chen XD, Lin JH, et al. Predictive value of phosphorylated axonal neurofilament subunit H for clinical outcome in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2013;424:182–6.
59. Millecamps S, Gowing G, Corti O, Mallet J, Julien JP. Conditional NF-L transgene expression in mice for in vivo analysis of turnover and transport rate of neurofilaments. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27(1):4947–56.
60. Yuan A, Sasaki T, Rao M V, Kumar A, Kanumuri V, Dunlop DS, et al. Neurofilaments form a highly stable stationary cytoskeleton after reaching a critical level in axons. *J Neurosci.* 2009;29(36):11316–29.
61. Ueno T, Ohori Y, Ito J, Hoshikawa S, Yamamoto S, Nakamura K, et al. Hyperphosphorylated neurofilament NF-H as a biomarker of the efficacy of minocycline therapy for spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* Nature Publishing Group; 2011;49(3):333–6.
62. Nishida H, Nakayama M, Tanaka H, Kamishina H, Izawa T, Hatoya S, et al. Evaluation of serum phosphorylated neurofilament subunit NF-H as a prognostic biomarker in dogs with thoracolumbar intervertebral disc herniation. *Vet Surg.* Blackwell Publishing Inc.; 2014;43(3):289–93.
63. Ahadi R, Khodagholi F, Daneshi A, Vafaei A, Mafi AA, Jorjani M. Diagnostic Value of Serum Levels of GFAP, pNF-H, and NSE Compared With Clinical Findings in Severity Assessment of Human Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2015;40(14):E823–30.
64. Guéz M, Hildingsson C, Rosengren L, Karlsson K, Toolanen G. Nervous tissue damage markers in cerebrospinal fluid after cervical spine injuries and whiplash trauma. *J Neurotrauma.* 2003;20(9):853–

- 8.
65. Kuhle J, Gaiottino J, Leppert D, Petzold A, Bestwick JP, Malaspina A, et al. Serum neurofilament light chain is a biomarker of human spinal cord injury severity and outcome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2015;86:273–9.
66. Brandt R, Lee G. The balance between tau protein's microtubule growth and nucleation activities: implications for the formation of axonal microtubules. *J Neurochem*. 1993;61:997–1005.
67. Wang JZ, Liu F. Microtubule-associated protein tau in development, degeneration and protection of neurons. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2008;85(2):148–75.
68. Weingarten MD, Lockwood AH, Hwo SY, Kirschner MW. A protein factor essential for microtubule assembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1975;72(5):1858–62.
69. Drubin DG, Kirschner MW. Tau protein function in living cells. *J Cell Biol*. 1986;103(6):2739–46.
70. K B. CSF biomarkers for mild cognitive impairment. *J Intern Med*. 2004;256:224–34.
71. Roerig A, Carlson R, Tipold A, Stein VM. Cerebrospinal fluid tau protein as a biomarker for severity of spinal cord injury in dogs with intervertebral disc herniation. *Vet J*. 2013;197(2):253–8.
72. Kwon BK, Stammers AMT, Belanger LM, Bernardo A, Chan D, Bishop CM, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid inflammatory cytokines and biomarkers of injury severity in acute human spinal cord injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2010;27(4):669–82.
73. Kwon BK, Streijger F, Fallah N, Noonan VK, Belanger LM, Ritchie L, et al. Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers To Stratify Injury Severity and Predict Outcome in Human Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2016;33:1–14.
74. Rech TH, Vieira SR, Nagel F, Brauner JS, Scalco R. Serum neuron-specific enolase as early predictor of outcome after in-hospital cardiac arrest: a cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2006;10(5):R133.
75. Tiainen M, Roine RO, Pettilä V, Takkunen O. Serum neuron-specific enolase and S-100B protein in cardiac arrest patients treated with hypothermia. *Stroke*. 2003;34(12):2881–6.
76. Cao F, Yang X, Liu W, Hu W, Li G, Zheng X, et al. Elevation of neuron-specific enolase and S-100beta protein level in experimental acute spinal cord injury. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2008;15(5):541–4.
77. Loy DN, Sroufe AE, Pelt JL, Burke DA, Cao QL, Talbott JF, et al. Serum biomarkers for

- experimental acute spinal cord injury: rapid elevation of neuron-specific enolase and S-100beta. *Neurosurgery*. 2005;56(2):391–7.
78. Wolf H, Krall C, Pajenda G, Leitgeb J, Bukaty AJ, Hajdu S, et al. Alterations of the biomarker S-100B and NSE in patients with acute vertebral spine fractures. *Spine J*. 2014;14:2918–22.
79. Marchi N, Rasmussen P, Kapural M, Fazio V, Kight K, Mayberg MR, et al. Peripheral markers of brain damage and blood-brain barrier dysfunction. *Restor Neurol Neurosci*. 2003;21(3-4):109–21.
80. Donato R, Sorci G, Riuzzi F, Arcuri C, Bianchi R, Brozzi F, et al. S100B's double life: Intracellular regulator and extracellular signal. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Cell Res*. 2009;1793(6):1008–22.
81. Ma J, Novikov LN, Karlsson K, Kellerth JO, Wiberg M. Plexus avulsion and spinal cord injury increase the serum concentration of S-100 protein: an experimental study in rats. *ScandJPlastReconstrSurgHand Surg*. 2001;35:355–9.
82. Diaz-Arrastia R, Wang KKW, Papa L, Sorani MD, Yue JK, Puccio AM, et al. Acute Biomarkers of Traumatic Brain Injury: Relationship between Plasma Levels of Ubiquitin C-Terminal Hydrolase-L1 and Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein. *J Neurotrauma*. 2014;31(1):19–25.
83. Yokobori S, Zhang Z, Moghieb A, Mondello S, Gajavelli S, Dietrich WD, et al. Acute Diagnostic Biomarkers for Spinal Cord Injury: Review of the Literature and Preliminary Research Report. *World Neurosurg*. 2015;83:867–78.
84. Davies AL, Hayes KC, Dekaban GA. Clinical Correlates of Elevated Serum Concentrations of Cytokines and Autoantibodies in Patients With Spinal Cord Injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2007;88(11):1384–93.
85. Hasturk A, Atalay B, Calisaneller T, Ozdemir O, Oruckaptan H, Altinors N. Analysis of serum pro-inflammatory cytokine levels after rat spinal cord ischemia/reperfusion injury and correlation with tissue damage. *Turk Neurosurg*. 2009;19(4):353–9.
86. Wang CX, Olschowka JA, Wrathall JR. Increase of interleukin-1beta mRNA and protein in the spinal cord following experimental traumatic injury in the rat. *Brain Res*. 1997;759(2):190–6.
87. Zhang N, Yin Y, Xu SJ, Wu YP, Chen WS. Inflammation & apoptosis in spinal cord injury. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*. 2012. p. 287–96.

88. Shudo K, Kinoshita K, Imamura R, Fan H, Hasumoto K, Tanaka M, et al. The membrane-bound but not soluble form of human Fas ligand is responsible for its inflammatory activity. *Eur J Immunol.* 2001;31(8):2504–11.
89. Biglari B, Buchler A, Swing T, Biehl E, Roth HJ, Bruckner T, et al. Increase in soluble CD95L during subacute phases after human spinal cord injury: a potential therapeutic target. *Spinal Cord.* 2013;51(1):183–7.
90. Biglari B, Buchler A, Swing T, Child C, Biehl E, Reitzel T, et al. Serum sCD95L concentration in patients with spinal cord injury. *J Int Med Res.* 2015;43(2):250–6.
91. Kuhle J, Gaiottino J, Leppert D, Petzold A, Bestwick JP, Malaspina A, et al. Serum neurofilament light chain is a biomarker of human spinal cord injury severity and outcome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2015;86(3):273–9.
92. Eng RH, Seligman SJ. Lumbar puncture-induced meningitis. *JAMA.* 1981;245(14):1456–9.
93. Greco I, Day N, Riddoch-Contreras J, Reed J, Soininen H, Kłoszewska I, et al. Alzheimer's disease biomarker discovery using in silico literature mining and clinical validation. *J Transl Med.* 2012;10(1):217.