Viewpoint

Low back pain: A call for action

Rachelle Buchbinder PhD (Corresponding Author)

NHMRC Senior Principal Research Fellow

Director, Monash Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Cabrini Institute Professor, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Monash University Suite 41 Cabrini Medical Centre, 183 Wattletree Rd, Malvern, Vic 3144, Australia Email rachelle.buchbinder@monash.edu

Maurits van Tulder PhD

Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Science, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Email maurits.van.tulder@vu.nl

Birgitta Öberg PhD

Professor of Physiotherapy, Department of Medical and Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Linköping university, SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden Email: birgitta.oberg@liu.se

Lucíola Menezes Costa PhD

Associate Professor, Masters and Doctoral Programs in Physical Therapy, Universidade Cidade de São Paulo

*Manuscript

2

Rua Cesário Galeno, 448 Tatuapé, São Paulo, Brazil

Email: luciolamenezes@gmail.com

Anthony Woolf, FRCP

Professor, Bone and Joint Research Group, Royal Cornwall Hospital and University of Exeter Medical School, Knowledge Spa, Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro TR1 3HD, UK Email: anthony.woolf@btopenworld.com

Mark Schoene, BS

Editor, The BackLetter

Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

25 Storey Ave, Suite 154, Newburyport MA 01950, USA

Email: BackLetterEditor@gmail.com

Peter Croft MD

Professor of Primary Care Epidemiology, Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre, Research Institute for Primary Care and Health Sciences, Keele University, Staffordshire, UK ST5 5BG

Email: p.r.croft@keele.ac.uk

for The Lancet Low Back Pain Series Working Group*

3

Key messages

 Use the concept of 'positive health' for non-specific low back pain - the ability to adapt and to self-manage, in the face of social, physical and emotional challenges.
Avoid harmful and useless treatments by adopting a similar framework to drug regulation - only include them in public reimbursement packages if proven safe, effective and cost-effective.

3. Address widespread population and health professional misconceptions about the causes and prognosis of low back pain and the effectiveness of different treatments, and fragmented and outdated models of care.

4. Policy, public health, health care practice, social services and workplaces must jointly tackle the 'low back pain paradox' in low- and middle-income countries where improving social and economic conditions could prevent or reduce low back pain incidence, but at the same time create expectations and demands for medical investigations and low-value health care that increase the risk of long-term backrelated disability.

Word count: 2,220 words 4

Low back pain is the leading worldwide cause of years lost to disability and its burden is growing in tandem with the increasing and ageing population.1 As these population shifts are more rapid in low- and middle- income countries, where adequate resources to address the problem may not exist, the impacts will likely be more extreme in these regions. Most low back pain is unrelated to specific identifiable spinal pathology, and our Viewpoint, the third paper in this *Lancet* series, 2,3 is a call for action on this global problem of non-specific low back pain.

The challenges

Panel 1 summarises the most pressing political, public health and health care challenges and identifies actions to meet them. Preventing the onset and persistence of disability associated with low back pain requires recognition that the disability is inseparable from the social and economic context of people's lives and is entwined with personal and cultural beliefs about back pain.⁴ Health and workplace policies and disability payment systems are often ineffective and wasteful and represent key targets for improvements. Socioeconomically disadvantaged people are overrepresented among those with disabling low back pain.⁵ In many settings they will be further disadvantaged by restricted access to

accurate information sources, health care approaches that provide appropriate support for self-management of uncomplicated low back pain, and to more specialised effective interventions, such as multidisciplinary rehabilitation, for more complex persistent low back pain.

While independent associations are uncertain, public health programmes that tackle lifestyle issues of obesity and low levels of physical activity may provide a model and 5

structure for reducing the impact of low back pain on daily life.6 Implementation of these programmes are especially pressing in some low- and middle-income countries where increasing obesity rates and rapid industrial growth and consequent reductions in physical activity are occurring in urban areas. Health system and societal initiatives addressing low back pain should synergise with the WHO European Region Action plan for the prevention and control of non-communicable diseases which recognises the need for comprehensive promotion of musculoskeletal health. As low back pain disability affects employability in many informal sectors, multi-sectorial integration between health, labour and social services should also be a key goal.

Disabling low back pain is partly iatrogenic. Studies in low-income countries and indigenous and acculturated populations in high-income countries report harmful consequences from exposure to health care *per se.*₇₋₉ Such negative effects reflect changes from traditional views (low back pain is a relatively benign part of daily life), to low back pain being a problem requiring medical attention. Increased use of ineffective and potentially harmful treatments has wasted limited health care resources and caused harm. The current epidemic of addiction and rising mortality resulting from increased opioid prescribing in the U.S. over the past 20 years provides a dramatic example of the disastrous effects of harmful medical intervention.10 In low- and middle-income countries, epidemiological evidence suggests that improving social and economic conditions could prevent or reduce low back pain incidence, but at the same time create expectations and demands for medical investigations and low-value health care that paradoxically increase the risk of long-term back-related disability (the 'low back pain paradox').

The global challenge is to prevent the introduction and use of practices that are harmful or wasteful while at the same time ensuring equitable access to effective and affordable health care for those who need it. High rates of advice to rest and use of ineffective treatment modalities are already a reality in low- and middle-income countries. While overmedicalisation disproportionately affects the wealthy minority, it also threatens to reduce or obscure availability of high-value health care services for the poorer majority and further widen health and social disparities. Contextual factors, such as lack of suitable available work duties, may also mean that what would be considered appropriate in high-income countries, such as encouragement to remain or return early to work for people with low back pain, may not always be appropriate (or even an option) in less affluent countries. Protection of the public from unproven or harmful approaches to managing low back pain

requires that governments and health care leaders tackle entrenched and counterproductive reimbursement strategies, vested interests and financial and professional incentives that maintain the status quo. Funders should only pay for high-value care, stop paying for ineffective or harmful tests and treatments, and commission research on those that are unproven. Similar to strict drug regulations in many countries, new tests and non-drug treatments should only be available in trials until their status is established. Some countries are testing these approaches. In Australia, a clinician-led taskforce is reviewing all government-subsidised tests and procedures with the aim of removing funding for those that are unnecessary, outdated or potentially unsafe. In the Netherlands, unproven interventions are conditionally included in the public health insurance package only if there is an evaluation to inform a final decision. Stakeholders, including patients, 7

agree in advance to design and eligibility criteria for the evaluation. This framework has already assessed radiofrequency denervation for patients with chronic low back pain.¹¹ As this treatment was not found to provide significant added benefit over a standardised exercise program alone, it is no longer being reimbursed.

A new approach to low back pain

The biopsychosocial model of low back pain has brought significant advances in understanding the prognostic significance of psychosocial factors in individual patients. It has had less success shifting practitioners away from managing patients within a biomedical framework. The importance of behavioural approaches to back pain management does not obscure the continuing need to investigate mechanisms and potential biological determinants of non-specific low back pain in phenotypically distinct subgroups. However, to move the field forward we propose adoption of the 'positive health' concept as the overarching strategic approach to the prevention of long-term disability from low back pain.12 Positive health, as proposed by Huber et al, is 'the ability to adapt and to selfmanage, in the face of social, physical and emotional challenges'. This term encompasses a much broader concept of health than simply 'absence of disease' and its emphasis on medicalisation and cure.

There is evidence that population levels of long-term disabling low back pain could be reduced by adopting this positive health approach. 13,14 For health professionals, positive health focuses on alternatives to 'treatment' and 'cure' that promote high-quality, meaningful lives for people with persistent low back pain. Public and patient expectations 8

will need to change, with people not expecting a diagnosis or complete cure for their low back pain. This requires initiatives to change widespread and inaccurate beliefs about back pain,13 helping the next generation to avoid counterproductive patterns of illness behaviour. For people with persistent low back pain, positive health entails learning how to cope with a long-term health problem through self-management activities, seeking health care only when needed. While passive approaches such as rest and medication are linked with worsening disability, active strategies such as exercise are associated with lower levels of disability and less reliance on formal health care. There are numerous behavioural and cognitive strategies that people with chronic pain in the community use, regardless of whether or not they seek care.15 In the occupational setting, interventions focusing on positive health, including peer support for the notion that low back pain is not an 'injury' in need of medical treatment,16 and redirecting problem-solving efforts away from seeking cures towards improved adaptation to the pain, yield beneficial outcomes.17 Improved training and support of primary care doctors and other professionals engaged in activity and lifestyle facilitation (e.g. physiotherapists, chiropractors, nurses, community workers) could minimise use of unnecessary medical care. System changes that integrate and support health professionals from diverse disciplines and care settings to provide patients with consistent messages about mechanisms, causes, prognosis and natural history of low back pain as well as the benefits of physical activity and exercise, are crucial to changing behavior and improving delivery of effective care. Traditional healers where integrated into the health care system, community health workers and family remain important providers of lower cost basic education and/or care in many low-income

countries for the majority of people with low back pain who do not require medical attention.18 In rural and remote regions 'tele-rehabilitation' blended with self-management may be an option where internet access is available.

What should be known about low back pain?

The success of a positive health approach will depend on whether relevant stakeholders share the same mission, vision and objectives and the success of strategies for knowledge transfer and exchange. Panels 2 and 3 list information that well-informed consumers, patients, clinicians and policy makers should know about low back pain and its global burden.

Policy-makers in all countries should look to local stakeholders to help decide what is best and appropriate for their individual setting. As in other fields of development, low- and middle-income countries should ensure that investment in musculoskeletal services is effective for patients and does not harm local health systems.¹⁹ Local participation and ownership, integration with existing priorities and policies, and coordination with national and regional systems and processes are crucial.

The research and evidence challenge

Funding for low back pain research is limited and uncoordinated. This particularly affects low- and middle-income countries where the effects of disabling low back pain remain under-recognised and research priorities and funding remain focused on infectious diseases. One way forward would be a global network of low back pain researchers from developed and developing countries, pooling experience and knowledge and building research capacity 10

where it is needed. Reducing research waste is a priority, achievable by ensuring that research studies focus on the most important stakeholder questions, are large and rigorous enough to provide definitive answers, have the potential to significantly improve outcomes, and test interventions that can be quickly and widely implemented into practice. Panel 4 lists major research priorities. These align with priorities previously identified by the international low back pain primary care research community.²⁰ Implementation research to determine how best to put existing knowledge and evidence to use through changes in patient and clinician behaviour and health system design is necessary in all countries. For low- and middle-income countries, priorities include identifying interventions that are optimal given the social, political, cultural and health resource context. While current evidence-based guidelines may be well suited for high-income countries and highly developed health care systems, they may need adaptation to assure feasibility and cultural appropriateness for low resource settings.

Monitoring and surveillance

An active ongoing monitoring and surveillance system is vital to evaluate the effects of new strategies on outcomes such as disability, ability to work and social participation. There is a pressing need for surveys and health care databases in different countries that use common metrics for measuring low back pain burden, use of active self-management strategies such as exercise, tests and treatments, and outcomes and costs of care. Panel 5 shows an indicator set for surveillance and monitoring. Uniform data collection would encourage benchmarking of health services within and across countries. Standardised low back pain definitions for prevalence studies have already been developed and incorporated into the **Comment [RB1]:** check

11

Global Alliance for Musculoskeletal Health Surveillance Taskforce survey module for musculoskeletal conditions.

Conclusion

Action is needed to address the growing burden of low back pain on many millions of people worldwide. Future social change, including ageing, urbanisation, sedentary lifestyles, and the development and promotion of new technologies, will likely exacerbate this problem. Examples of new technologies that may exacerbate the problem include the use and promotion of increasingly sensitive imaging techniques such as MRI that reveal 'abnormalities' that may be incorrectly inferred to be the cause of a patient's symptoms. Better recognition of the growing burden of low back pain is crucial to stimulate new, more effective strategies of prevention and care. The impact of disabling low back pain can be

reduced through social change that supports full participation in daily life. In low- to middleincome countries, the 'paradox of low back pain' needs to be addressed. Other barriers to optimal evidence-based management range from widespread population and health professional misconceptions about the causes and prognosis of low back pain and the effectiveness of different treatments, fragmented and outdated models of care, and the widespread use of ineffective and harmful care, particularly in countries considered to be models of high quality care.

We have described actions all countries can take to reduce the impact of disabling low back pain on their populations. Strong and coordinated political action from international and national policy makers, including the World Health Organisation and research funding 12

agencies is needed. Such action could significantly reduce disability and suffering and improve the effectiveness and efficiency of care for people with low back pain throughout the world.

13

Contributors

RB and MvT were part of the team that developed the original proposal for the series and RB co-ordinated the development and amendment of the paper. RB, MvT, BO, LC, AW, MS and PC all contributed to drafting and writing of this paper, and have edited it for key content. RB, LC and PC drafted and analysed the survey of the Lancet Low Back Pain Series Working Group that populated the draft version of the panels in this paper. RB, MvT, BO, LC, AW, PC participated in the authors' meeting and discussion during the drafting process. All other authors have read and provided substantive intellectual comments to the draft and approved the final version of the paper.

*The Lancet Low Back Pain Series Working Group

Steering Group: Rachelle Buchbinder (Chair), Jan Hartvigsen (Deputy Chair), Dan Cherkin, Nadine E Foster, Chris Maher, Martin Underwood, Maurits van Tulder. **Members:** Johannes R Anema, Roger Chou, Stephen P Cohen, Lucíola Menezes Costa, Peter Croft, Manuela Ferreira, Paulo Ferreira, Julie Fritz, Stéphane Genevay, Douglas P Gross, Mark Hancock, Damian Hoy, Jaro Karppinen, Bart Koes, Alice Kongsted, Quinette Louw, Birgitta Öberg, Wilco Peul, Glenn Pransky, Mark Schoene, Joachim Sieper, Rob Smeets, Judith A Turner, Anthony Woolf

Declarations of Interest

Johannes R. Anema is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current (personal) research grants from government research agencies in the Netherlands (eg, Netherlands Organisation for Health Research & Development) and Canada (eg, IRSST). His research has also received funding from philanthropy and quasi-governmental agencies 14

(Dutch Social Security Agency, Institute GAK) and charities linked to professional body membership (Dutch Foundation of Occupational Medicine). Prof Anema and his research team received a grant from Pfizer to write a report on depression and anxiety disorders based on a secondary analysis of data collected with funding from government research agencies. His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks and reviewing grants. Prof Anema was an invited co-opted member of the guideline development group for the Dutch Occupational Medicine guideline for low back pain and the Dutch national Insurance Medicine protocol for lumbosacral syndrome. He is President of the Work Disability Prevention and Integration Committee of the International Commission on Occupational Health (ICOH). He has published multiple papers on low back pain, some of which may be referenced in the series. He is editor of the International Handbook of Work Disability which is referenced in the series. Prof Anema is stockholder and senior consultant of Evalua Netherlands Ltd. His chair in Insurance Medicine is paid by the Dutch Social Security Agency. Rachelle Buchbinder is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies from Australia (eg, NHMRC, ARC), and overseas (eg, ZonMW in the Netherlands and PCORI in the USA). Her research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Arthritis Australia) and government agencies (eg, NSW WorkCover). She has been funded by research fellowships from NHMRC since

2005. She has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. She chaired the back pain expert group for the 2010 Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors (GBD) Study. She was appointed to the 15

Australian Medical Services Advisory Committee in May 2016. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. Dan Cherkin is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government-related research agencies in the USA (eg, NIH and PCORI). His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Dr. Cherkin has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Roger Chou has received funding from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to conduct systematic reviews on low back pain treatments, was an author on the 2016 CDC guideline, receives royalties from UpToDate as an author on low back pain topics, has had travel expenses covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and has received honoraria for talks (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies).

Stephen P. Cohen is Principal Investigator for multiple clinical trials evaluating interventions for low back pain funded by the U.S. Department of Defense (Congressionally Mandated Research Programs). He serves on the Advisory Boards of a company that make radiofrequency equipment (Halyard) and another one working with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to design a steroid approved for epidural injection (Semnur). He was the lead speaker on the effectiveness of epidural steroid injections at the FDA-convened panel on the procedure following the fungal meningitis outbreak. He serves on several 16

National Institutes of Health task forces, including one for pain education and another for research priorities for chronic pain. He is a member of the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine (ASRA) Board of Directors. He was a "stakeholder" for ASRA and the Dept. of Defense for the recent U.S. Drug Enforcement guidelines on opioids for chronic pain. He has published numerous studies on low back pain, some of which are referenced in this series.

Luciola Menez Costa is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies FAPESP and CNPq from Brazil. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Peter Croft has been chief investigator or co-investigator on multiple previous research grants for musculoskeletal pain research from UK government agencies (including National Institute for Health Research and the Medical Research Council) and UK charitable organisations (Arthritis Research UK and the Wellcome Trust), but none from industry. His travel expenses have been covered by the organising professional organisations (including rheumatology, pain specialists, physical therapy, primary care) when he has been an invited speaker at conferences. He has received honoraria for reviewing grant proposals from government organisations in Canada, Norway and Sweden. PC's department has received payment for two reports to the UK Committee on Advertising Practice. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. 17

Manuela Ferreira holds a Sydney Medical Foundation Fellowship/Sydney Medical School and is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in Australia (NHMRC) and Brazil (eg, CAPES/CNPQ), philanthropy (eg, Arthritis Australia), industry (eg, Medibank Research Fund) and institutional research funds (eg, International Research and Research Training Fund/The University of Melbourne). Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be cited in this series.

Paulo Ferreira is chief investigator, or associate investigator on multiple previous & current

research grants from government research agencies from Australia (eg, NHMRC, Arthritis Australia), USA (eg, MDT Research Foundation), Spain (e.g. MAPFRE foundation), and Brazil (eg, CNPQ, FAPESP). The University of Sydney funds his salary. His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences or through his research funding (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). He has received industry related funding from competitive peer-reviewed schemes (eg, Medibank Private Research Foundation – Australia) for a trial investigating the effects of physical activity for recurrent low back pain (IMPACT). IMPACT is currently in its pilot stages. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. Nadine E. Foster is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in the UK (eg, NIHR), USA (e.g. PCORI) and Australia (eg, NMHRC). For 10 years her salary has been covered by research 18

fellowships from the UK's National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Her research has also received funding from philanthropy (e.g Arthritis Research UK, Medical Research Council) and charities linked to professional body membership (eg, Chartered Society of Physiotherapy's Charitable Trust). Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been an invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Prof Foster was an invited co-opted member of the guideline development group for the UK's National Clinical Guideline on low back pain and sciatica. She is the President of the Society of Back Pain Research in the UK and has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Julie Fritz is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in the United States including NIH, AHRQ, DOD and PCORI. Her research has also received funding from Foundations in the USA including the Foundation for Physical Therapy and National Athletic Trainers Association Research Foundation. Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been an invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks and reviewing grants (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Dr. Fritz has received payment as a journal editor (Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy). She is an author or co-author on multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Stéphane Genevay is principal investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from Swiss research foundation (e.g. HUG). His research has 19

also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Rheumasearch, Centre de Recherches Médicales Carlos & Elsie de Reuter, Eugenio Litta). He has received funding from pharmaceutical companies (Abbvie, MSD, Pfizer) for investigator-initiated trials. He has received travel expenses and honorariums for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He has papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Douglas P. Gross is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in Canada (eg, Canadian Institutes for Health Research, Alberta Innovates Health Solutions) and the Netherlands (eg, TechForFuture Centre of Expertise HTSM Oost). His research has also received funding from philanthropy and quasi-governmental agencies (eg, Workers' Compensation Board of Alberta, Workers' Compensation Board of Manitoba, WorkSafeBC, Institute for Health Economics, Canadian Hemophilia Society) and charities linked to professional body membership (eg, Physiotherapy Foundation of Canada, Canadian Occupational Therapy Foundation). He has received funding from pharmaceutical companies (Bayer Hemophilia Awards Program) to undertake investigator-initiated research. His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Prof Gross was an invited co-opted member of the guideline development group for the Ontario Ministry of Government Services Community Research Award, "Development of a Minor Injury Treatment Protocol". He has published

multiple papers on low back pain, some of which may be referenced in the series. 20

Mark Hancock is chief investigator, or associate investigator on previous and current research grants from government agencies (e.g. NHMRC and WorkCover) and from philanthropy (e.g., Arthritis NSW and International Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy Research Foundation). His travel expenses have been covered when he has been an invited speaker at conferences. He is chief investigator on two investigator-initiated NHMRC-funded trials that have received supplementary industry funding. The first trial, PACE, was published in Lancet in 2014 and had co-funding from GSK. PACE demonstrated that paracetamol was ineffective for acute low back pain. The second NHMRC-funded trial, PRECISE, is evaluating pregabalin for sciatica. Pfizer provided the study medicine at no cost but provided no other funding. PRECISE is currently under review. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Jan Hartvigsen is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in Denmark (e.g. the Danish Ministry of Science and Innovation), and the USA (e.g. Health Resources and Service Administration). He holds tenured positions at the University of Southern Denmark and the Nordic Institute of Chiropractic and Clinical Biomechanics, which cover his full salary. His research has also received funding from philanthropy (e.g. Danish League against Rheumatism) and charities linked to professional bodies (e.g. the Danish Chiropractors Research Fund). His travel expenses have been covered when he has been invited speaker at conferences and he has received honoraria for talks, reviewing grants and theses. He has received honoraria for speaking from one pharmaceutical company (Nycomed 2002) but no device companies. Prof Hartvigsen was invited member of the expert groups that in 2014-16 developed Danish National Guidelines commissioned by the Danish Health and Medicines Authority for the 21

management of low back pain, lumbar radiculopathy, and cervical radiculopathy. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be cited in this series. Damian Hoy is the principal epidemiologist for the Pacific Community. This work involves dealing with all conditions in the Pacific that cause burden. It is funded by multiple donors, including the Governments of Australia, New Zealand, and France. It has included funding to travel to one research conference on surveillance. He is a member of the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors (GBD) Study Musculoskeletal Expert Group, and is codeputy chair of the Global Alliance for Musculoskeletal Diseases Surveillance Taskforce. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Jaro Karppinen is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from Finnish government research agency (Finnish Academy). He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He was nominated as a member of the European Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine in 2012. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Bart Koes is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies from the Netherlands (eg ZonMW, NWO) and overseas (eg, NHMRC, Australia). His research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Dutch Arthritis Foundation). He has received travel expenses for speaking 22

at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. Alice Kongsted's position at University of Southern Denmark is financially supported by The Foundation for Advancement of Chiropractic Research and Postgraduate Education, Denmark. She was the content area expert in the development of Danish national clinical guidelines for treatment of neck pain for which she received a fee. She has received funding from The Foundation for Advancement of Chiropractic Research and Postgraduate Education and "IMK Almene Fond" to conduct observational cohort studies in Danish general practice and chiropractic practice. Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been invited as a speaker at conferences. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Quinette Louw is the principal or associate investigator on grants from South African government research agencies, including the Medical Research Council and National Research Foundation. She has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. She received travel expenses when she was an invited speaker at conferences or workshops and has received honoraria for talks and theses (no honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). Prof Louw was invited to assist with the South African Physiotherapy Society's low back pain clinical practice guidelines.

Chris Maher is chief investigator, or associate investigator on multiple previous & current research grants from government research agencies from Australia (eg, NHMRC), Brazil (eg, 23

FAPESP) and the Netherlands (eg, ZonMW). For the past 10 years his salary has been covered by research fellowships from Australia's National Health and Medical Research Council and The Australian Research Council. His research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Arthritis Australia) and government agencies (eg, NSW WorkCover). He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional associations hosting the conferences, and has received honoraria for talks from professional associations and industry hosting the talks, honoraria for reviewing grants from government grant agencies and honoraria for marking theses from the relevant university. Prof Maher has received supplementary industry funding for two investigator-initiated NHMRC-funded trials. The first trial had co-funding from GlaxoSmithKline. Pfizer provided the study medicine for the second trial, PRECISE, at no cost, but provided no other funding. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. Birgitta Öberg is head of research at the division and responsible for research previous and ongoing research funded by government research agencies in Sweden. She has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. She chaired the Scientific Council of Medicine and Health 2013-2016 and been a member 2010-2012.

Wilco Peul has no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Glenn Pransky is chief investigator or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research studies, primarily funded by his employer, the Liberty Mutual Research Institute. He has also collaborated on studies funded by the US National Institute of Health, the 24

National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, the Canadian Institute of Health Research, and others. He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organizations hosting the conferences, and support for conference travel from his employer. He chaired the Work Disability Prevention and Integration section of the International Commission on Occupational Health from 2010- 2015. He has published multiple papers on low back pain and work disability, some of which are referenced in this series.

Mark Schoene receives the majority of his funding from the publishing company Wolters Kluwer for writing/editing an international newsletter on spine/back pain research (The BackLetter). He authors all the articles and shares editorial control with the executive editor (a researcher, academic spine surgeon, and Chairman, Dept of Orthopaedics at Georgetown University Medical Center). Neither has any conflicts of interest with drug or device companies. MS has co-authored several editorials for journals owned by publishers (The Spine Journal, Spine—owned respectively by Elsevier and Wolters Kluwer). The editorials concerned the inadequacy of the evidence base for regulated surgical devices or drugs/biologics. He received nothing of value for those editorials. The remainder of his funding comes from the non-profit Sports Health and Safety Institute at the University of Washington for research, writing, and editing in the concussion area. He was previously a paid consultant for the non-profit Informed Medical Decisions Foundation in Boston, involved in the preparation of Decision Aids and Shared Decision Making materials. He occasionally receives travel funding from professional societies to take part in symposia sponsored by those societies. MS has been an unpaid editorial board member and Consumer Representative at the Cochrane Collaboration Back and Neck Group since 1999.

25

Joachim Sieper has no conflict of interested as related to these manuscripts. Outside the submitted manuscripts he reports grants and personal fees from Abbvie, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants from Eli-Lilly, personal fees from Galapagos, grants and personal fees from Janssen, grants and personal fees from Merck, personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from UCB.

Rob Smeets is chief investigator or associate investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies from the Netherlands (ZonMW), and overseas (eg, NHMRC in Australia and Swedish Research Council). His research has also received funding from philanthropy (eg, Eurospine, Revalidatiefonds, Stichting Annadal) and health care insurance companies (eg, CZ and VGZ) and government agencies (eg, Province of Limburg). He has received travel expenses for speaking at conferences from the professional organisations hosting the conferences. He chaired the IASP Special Interest Group Pain, Mind and Movement and was member of the governmental working group protocol lumbosacral radicular syndrome for insurance medicine (Gezondheidsraad) and is member of the project group Dutch Quality Care Standard Chronic pain. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. Judith A. Turner is co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from US government agencies, including NIH, AHRQ, and PCORI. She is President of the International Association for the Study of Pain. Her travel expenses have been covered when she has been an invited speaker at conferences and she has received honoraria for talks (no 26

honoraria or travel expenses from pharmaceutical or device companies). She is an author on multiple articles on low back pain, some of which may be referenced in this series. She receives royalties from PAR, Inc. for questionnaires not referenced in this series. Martin Underwood was Chair of the NICE accreditation advisory committee until March 2017 for which he received a fee. He was chair of the guideline development group that produced the 2009 NICE back pain guidelines. He is chief investigator or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from the UK National Institute for Health Research, Arthritis Research UK and is a co-investigator on grants funded by Arthritis Australia and Australian NHMRC. He has completed trials of manual therapy, group exercise, and a cognitive behavioural approach as treatments for low back pain. He has received travel and subsistence to attend meeting by the EU Joint Research Centre. He is a director and shareholder of Clinvivo Ltd that provides electronic data collection for health services research. He is an editor of the NIHR journal series for which he receives a fee. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series. Maurits van Tulder is chief investigator, or co-investigator on multiple previous and current research grants from government research agencies in the Netherlands (ZONMW; the Dutch Health Insurance Council) and Australia (NMHRC). His research has also received funding from professional organisations (eg, the Royal Dutch Association for Physiotherapy; the Netherlands National Chiropractic Association and the European Chiropractic Union). His travel expenses have been covered by the organizing professional organizations when he has been an invited speaker at conferences. He has received honoraria for reviewing grant proposals from the Swedish Medical Research Council and VINNOVA (Sweden's innovation 27

agency). He has not received any honoraria or travel expenses from the industry. Prof van Tulder was chairman of the Netherlands National Multidisciplinary Guideline on Low Back Pain. He has published multiple papers on low back pain some of which may be referenced in the series.

Anthony Woolf has been chief investigator or co-investigator on projects to identify burden of musculoskeletal conditions and to develop strategies for their control. He has been an expert advisor to the World Health Organisation (WHO). He is chair of the Global Alliance for Musculoskeletal Health. The European Community, professional bodies and research agencies have supported his work. Professional bodies or organisers of scientific meetings have supported his travel expenses. He has not received any funding from the private sector.

Acknowledgements

There were no sources of funding for this paper. RB is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Senior Principal Research Fellowship. 28

References

1 Vos T, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016; **388**: 1545-602.

2 Hartvingsen J, Hoy D, Smeets R, Ferreira M, Louw Q, Pransky G, Kongsted A, Hancock M, Karppinen J, Genevay S, Sieper J, Underwood M for the Lancet Low Back Pain Series Working Group. Low back pain: Time to start paying attention? *Lancet* 201x; xxx: xxxx-xxx.

3 Foster NE, Koes B, Chou R, Peul W, Turner JA, Cohen SP, Cherkin D, Anema JR, Gross DP, Fritz J, Ferreira P, Maher C, for the Lancet Low Back Pain Series Working Group. Best evidence management of low back pain and the evidence-practice gap. *Lancet* 201x; xxx: xxxx-xxx.

4 MacNeela P, Doyle C, O'Gorman D, Ruane N, McGuire BE. Experiences of chronic low back pain: a meta-ethnography of qualitative research. *Health Psychol Rev* 2015; **9**: 63–82.

5 Schofield DJ, Callander EJ, Shrestha RN, Percival R, Kelly SJ, Passey ME. Labor force participation and the influence of having back problems on income poverty in Australia. *Spine* 2012; **37**: 1156–63.

6 Steffens D, Maher CG, Pereira LS, et al. Prevention of low back pain: A systematic Review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016; **176**: 199–208.

7 Igwesi-Chidobe CN, Kitchen S, Sorinola IO, Godfrey EL. "A life of living death": the experiences of people living with chronic low back pain in rural Nigeria. *Disabil Rehabil* 2016; **39** :779–790.

29

8 Lin IB, O'Sullivan PB, Coffin JA, Mak DB, Toussaint S, Straker LM. Disabling chronic low back pain as an iatrogenic disorder: a qualitative study in Aboriginal Australians. *BMJ Open* 2013; **3**; e002654.

9 Bui Q, Doescher M, Takeuchi D, Taylor V. Immigration, acculturation and chronic back and neck problems among Latino-Americans. *J Immigr Minor Health* 2011; **13**: 194–201.

10 Case A, Deaton A. Rising morbidity and mortality in midlife among white non-Hispanic Americans in the 21st century. Proc Nat Acad Sci 2015; 112: 15078–83.

11 Juch JNS, Maas ET, Ostelo RWJG, Groeneweg JG, Kallewaard JW, Koes BW, Verhagen AP, van Dongen JM, Huygen FJPM, van Tulder MW. Effect of radiofrequency denervation on pain intensity among patients with chronic low back pain: the MINT randomized clinical trials. *JAMA* 2017; **318**: 68-81.

12 Huber M, van Vliet M, Giezenberg M, et al. Towards a 'patient-centred' operationalisation of the new dynamic concept of health: a mixed methods study. *BMJ Open* 2016; **5**: e010091.

13 Buchbinder R, Jolley D, Wyatt M. Population based intervention to change back pain beliefs and disability: three part evaluation. *BMJ* 2001; **322**: 1516–20.

14 Loisel P, Lemaire J, Poitras S, et al. Cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis of a disability prevention model for back pain management: a six year follow up study. *Occup Environ Med* 2002; **59**: 807–15.

15 Blyth FM, March LM, Nicholas MK, Cousins MJ. Self-management of chronic pain: a population-based study. *Pain* 2005; **113**: 285–93.

16 Werner EL, Lærum E, Wormgoor MEA, Lindh E, Indahl A. Peer support in an occupational setting preventing LBP-related sick leave. *Occup Med* 2007; **57**: 590–95. 30

17 Linton SJ, Boersman K, Traczyk M, Shaw W, Nicholas M. Early workplace communication and problem solving to prevent back disability: Results of a randomized controlled trial among high-risk workers and their supervisors. *J Occup Rehabil* 2016; **26**: 150–9.

18 Birhan W, Giday M, Teklehaymanot T. The contribution of traditional healers' clinics to public health care system in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed* 2011; **7**: 39.

19 Hoy D, Geere JA, Davatchi F, Meggitt B, Barrero LH. A time for action: Opportunities for preventing the growing burden and disability from musculoskeletal conditions in low- and middle-income countries. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2014; **28**: 377-93. 20 da Cunha Menezes Costa L, Koes BW, Pransky G, Borkan J, Maher CM, Smeets RJ. Primary care research priorities in low back pain: an update. *Spine* 2013; **38**: 148-56. 31

Panel 1: Call for actions for meeting the political, public health, health care and research challenges to preventing disabling low back pain

Actions to meet the political challenge of a lack of recognition of the impact and burden of back pain by international and

national policy makers

• Call on the World Health Organisation to put disabling low back pain on the target list for all nations and increase attention on

(i) the burden it causes (ii) the need to avoid excessively medical solutions and (iii) the need to integrate low back pain into all

chronic disease initiatives

• Call on international and national political, medical and social policy leaders to adequately fund public health strategies

focused on preventing low back pain from interfering with life, ensuring inclusion of disadvantaged and culturally diverse

populations

• Call on national and international funding agencies to make low back pain research a global health priority in recognition of its

impact on people's lives in low-, middle-, and high-income countries

Actions to meet the public health challenge of preventing the onset and persistence of the disability associated with low back

32 **pain**

• Change the priorities:

• Prioritise low back pain, together with other musculoskeletal conditions, as a public health problem

 $\circ\;$ Develop and implement positive strategies for primary prevention of disabling low back pain that are integrated with

strategies for preventing other chronic conditions (physical activity, maintenance of healthy weight, mental health)

• Develop and implement strategies to address modifiable risk factors for disabling low back pain at all levels (society,

workplace, health professionals, individuals)

• Change systems and change practice

 $\circ~$ Integrate back pain care with public health initiatives providing credible advice that people who develop low back pain

should stay active and remain working and people with low back pain should be supported in early return to work

 Develop and implement strategies to ensure early identification and adequate education of low back pain patients at

risk for persistence and disability

Promote a healthy lifestyle and address common comorbidities, in patients with persistent low back pain.
Tackle social

determinants of disability. Incentivise work through change and adaptation of the workplace and the job, and change

33

worker disability policies which do not improve/promote/support return to work

 $\circ~$ Consider provision of financial incentives to resume appropriate work without risk of loss of benefits for people who

are off work due to low back pain

• Promote active multidisciplinary rehabilitation to support return-to-work

Actions to meet the health care challenge of continued emphasis on a biomedical and fragmented model of care

• Change culture

 $\circ~$ Develop interventions to address misconceptions about low back pain among health professionals, patients, the media

and the general public

 Promote 'living well' with low back pain: person-centred care focusing on self-management and healthy lifestyles as a

means of restoring and maintaining function and optimising participation

 $\circ~$ Investigate the effectiveness and place of traditional practices for reducing low back pain disability in low-and middleincome

countries

• Change clinician behaviour

34

 Invest in implementation research to address evidence-practice gaps across all relevant health care providers

 Identify and implement effective behaviour change and training interventions to improve and integrate care

 $\circ~$ Deliver a workforce fit-for-purpose which includes targeted training of health care professionals and others with the

right competencies and resolve to deliver evidence-based care

 $\circ~$ Build consensus across clinical disciplines, patient groups and journal editors for shared guidelines of care that are

straightforward and non-denominational

• Change systems

• Develop clear care pathways, referral, funding and information technology systems to enable people to see the right

person for delivery of the right treatment at the right time, while precluding use of alternative inappropriate pathways

 $\circ~$ Develop consistent evidence-based clinical care standards and key indicators integrated across healthcare systems and

settings

 $\circ\;$ Develop and implement cost-effective strategies that provide access to effective care in low- and middle-income

countries for all

Tackle vested interests

35

 $\circ~$ Government, insurers and commissioners should consider tackling conflicts of interest through regulation and

contracts, including not paying for inappropriate tests and for unnecessary, ineffective and harmful treatments • Existing and new tests and procedures for low back pain should be regulated in the same way as drugs; there should

be evidence that they are safe, effective and cost-effective before they get reimbursed within public health care

systems

 $\circ~$ Introduce incentives for effective and efficient care and disincentives for continued use of ineffective and potentially

harmful approaches

36

Panel 2: What should well-informed consumers, patients and clinicians know about low back pain?

• Bed rest can delay recovery from back pain

• Early return to normal activity and work speeds recovery

- Pain does not always equal injury, especially long-term pain
- It isn't necessary to be pain-free to have a healthy, productive life; usually it is best to

continue or resume activity before the pain is gone

• For those who find it difficult to resume activity, there are people and programmes of care in health centres and workplaces to help

• Only a small number of people will have an identifiable cause for their back pain that calls for use of a specific treatment

• Many people should be encouraged to self-manage—and avoid unnecessary engagement with health care, including diagnosis and treatment

• Because the experience of pain has effects on both body and mind, treatments targeted at both have greater potential for reducing pain and disability than medical care alone 37

Panel 3: What should well-informed policy-makers know about low back pain?

• Back pain and related disability are exorbitantly expensive problems that are difficult to solve; they haven't received adequate attention from policy makers

• Governments can play a key role in resolving some aspects of low back pain related problems by altering policies that incentivise work absence, inactivity and work disability, and that support ineffective care

• Ineffective, low-value care should be eliminated

• Increased investment in implementation research could uncover why evidence is not being taken up in practice and identify and test strategies to ensure rapid uptake of evidence into clinical care

• Investment in promoting a healthy lifestyle will reduce low back pain disability and costs

• Research that leads to improved management and prevention of low back pain across low-, middle- and high-income countries is an urgent priority

• To identify optimal approaches for the majority of the world's population, there is a need to test suitably considered strategies for the local context in low- and middleincome societies

38

Panel 4: Major evidence gaps about low back pain and how to address them Evidence gap Addressing the gap Population burden

Low back pain in older age

groups

Low back pain in low- and

- middle-income countries
- Population surveys and registries
- Combine datasets for new knowledge without additional cost

• Develop informed perspective on low back pain impact in low and middle income countries

Population monitoring

Lack of standard low back pain

definition

- Lack of universal patientrelevant
- outcome measures in

• Agree on and apply a standard definition and new 'back health' measure for inclusion in national surveys and health care databases that can be compared across countries.

• Develop indicators and population surveillance to monitor impact of population strategies

39

routine health care

• Health care use (e.g. opioids,

imaging)

Occupational data

Identification of effective and cost-effective treatments for low back pain

Mechanisms and phenotypes

of persistent disabling low back

pain to drive new interventions

• Paucity of highly effective and cost-effective interventions for low back pain

• Lack of trials of complex interventions and including complex and older patients

• Commission research on these topics

 Pathophysiology and prognosis of different low back pain phenotypes including trajectories of low back pain from childhood through the life course

o Mechanisms of persistent low back pain

 Development of theories to guide research integrating pain mechanisms, patient perceptions and behaviours, and social determinants of persistent low back pain

 \bullet Realignment of the research agenda to restrict and focus research funding to 40

• Need for new technologies to

deliver interventions and to

collect patient data

important questions that will change practice and/or improve patient-relevant outcomes

• Align and combine research questions, priorities and funding, with other health conditions to avoid duplication, increase efficiency, and improve the low back pain share of research funding; fund more innovations that exist entirely outside of health care

• Investigate innovative approaches that combine primary care with occupational rehabilitation and multidisciplinary interventions, such as placing musculoskeletal therapists as the gatekeepers for all care of low back pain patients and providing systems-level support to ensure safe and successful implementation

• Improve the evidence base for individualised and stratified care for people with low back pain

• Develop or improve the evidence base for effective prevention of low back pain

• Develop the evidence base for effective management of low back pain in older

41

adults and children

Implementation of evidence into practice

• Paucity of knowledge about

how best to improve the

uptake of low back pain

evidence into practice

• Realignment of the research agenda to restrict and focus research funding to

investigate better strategies for implementing what is already known into practiceFund evidence syntheses and policy research

• Develop or improve the evidence base for effective prevention of low back pain, dysfunction of prolonged disability due to low back pain, and seeking of ineffective care

• Develop the evidence base for effective management of low back pain outside of health care

Identification of effective and cost-effective population-based strategies to reduce low back pain burden

• Gap in research on how to shift • Develop and test strategies designed to address popular misconceptions about low

42

cultural beliefs about common low back pain

• Lack of integrated research programme into prevention of long-term disabling conditions

• Lack of trials investigating population-level social and psychological interventions to prevent disability

• How to avoid mistakes of highincome countries in low- and middle-income countries back pain

• Realign the research agenda to focus research funding on important questions that will tackle the rising prevalence and burden of disabling low back pain

• Develop or improve the evidence base for low back pain population-based social strategies to reduce disability

• Develop or improve the evidence base for promotion of wellbeing despite chronic low back pain

• Determine an agenda for research as a priority in low and middle income countries

• Foster links between low-, middle- and high-income countries among policy-makers and researchers to allow all countries to benefit from the successes and failures of attempts to tackle the burden of low back pain in different settings 43

Panel 5: Indicators that could be used globally for surveillance and monitoring to determine whether or not this call to action is yielding positive results

• Number of people with disabling low back pain and their characteristics

• Number of people unable to do activities of daily living because of low back pain

• Number of people not working (whether paid or unpaid) because of chronic low back pain

• Number of people unable to participate in usual sport and leisure activities because of chronic low back pain

• Number and characteristics of people with low back pain who don't have any of the above problems

• Health care utilization among people with chronic low back pain

 $\circ\;$ Number of people undergoing imaging for acute and persisting low back pain and the imaging that they receive

 $\circ\;$ Number of people with chronic low back pain prescribed/taking opioid medication and the duration of use

 $\circ\;$ Number of people undergoing spinal injections, the indications for these injections, and the injections that they receive

 Number of people undergoing spinal surgery and other invasive interventions, the indications for these interventions, and the specific interventions that they receive

• Regular national surveys to determine whether there is a change in population knowledge and behaviour over time