Abstract

- 2 Background
- 3 There is evidence to suggest that adherence with prescribed medication is lower amongst
- 4 adolescents and children than in adults (1). Medication adherence rates between 11% and 93% in
- 5 paediatric patients have been reported (2). More research needs to be carried out in order to
- 6 understand why medicines adherence is low and how adherence can be improved in children with
- 7 long-term conditions. Personal communication with paediatricians in secondary care has highlighted
- 8 that problems are most likely to be encountered by parents, carers, nurses and children themselves
- 9 when administering medicines for prevalent long-term childhood conditions.

10

1

11 Objective

- To explore problems with oral medicines prescribed to paediatric patients from the perspectives of
- medical practitioners, pharmacists and nurses.

14

- 15 Setting
- 16 Two NHS trusts in the West Midlands, UK

17

- 18 Method
- 19 Four focus groups (FG) were conducted. 5 nurses, 8 medical practitioners and 6 pharmacists
- 20 participated in focus groups.. The themes explored were problems experienced when prescribing,
- 21 dispensing and administering oral medicines for children. Ethical approval was granted by the South
- 22 Birmingham Research Ethics Committee (REC), UK.

23

- 24 Main outcome measure
- 25 Themes evolving from Healthcare professionals reports on problems with administering medicines
- 26 to paediatric patients.

27

- 28 Results
- 29 Two main themes: sensory and non-sensory emerged from the data. Included within these were
- 30 taste, texture, colour, smell, size, swallowing, quantity, volume and manipulation with food. Taste
- 31 was the most commonly reported barrier to medicines administration. Texture was reported to be a
- 32 significant problem for the learning disability population. Medicines manipulation techniques were
- revealed across the groups, yet there was limited knowledge regarding the evidence base for such
 - activity. Problems surrounding the supply of Specials medicines were discussed in-depth by the
- 35 pharmacists.

36 37

34

- Conclusion
- 38 Organoleptic and physical properties of medicines were identified as key barriers to medicines
- 39 administration. A robust scientific evidence-based approach is warranted to inform standardised
- 40 protocols guiding healthcare professionals to support safe and effective medicines manipulation
- 41 across all settings. Pharmacists' knowledge of Specials medicines needs to be recognised as a
- across an settings. Filannacists knowledge of specials medicines needs to be recognised as a
- valuable resource for doctors. Findings of this study should help to optimise paediatric prescribing
- 43 and direct future formulation work.

44 45

Keywords: pediatrics;healthcare professionals;medicines administration;medicines adherence;prescribing.

46 47 48

49

• A large number of issues are perceived by healthcare professionals to cause problems when administering oral medicines to children. Taste was highlighted as the most significant issue.

50

515253

• Nurses had the greatest knowledge of bedside issues, whilst pharmacists understood the consequences of certain manipulations; wider sharing of this knowledge can help to ensure appropriate medicines are prescribed to minimise issues with administration.

54 55

56

• The scientific evidence base for medicines manipulation requires further development; it is evident that medical practitioners require more information when prescribing medicines to ensure supply, clinical effectiveness and to maximise cost efficiency.

575859

 Addressing the education of healthcare professionals involved in prescribing, dispensing and administering oral medicines to children will be invaluable to improving the therapeutic treatment of paediatric patients.

61 62

60

Ethical Approval

64 Ethical approval was granted by the South Birmingham REC, UK (REC no: 10/H1207/47).

65

66

71

75

85

88

90

63

Introduction

67 There is evidence to suggest that adherence with prescribed medication is lower amongst

adolescents and children (0-17 years) than in adults (1). Medication adherence rates between 11%

and 93% in paediatric patients have been reported (2). A median rate of 58% medicine adherence in

youth has been estimated (3). As one in five children in the UK has a long standing illness or disability

(4) it is critical that more research needs to be carried out in order to understand why medicines

adherence is low and how adherence can be improved in children with long-term conditions.

73 Personal communication with paediatricians in secondary care has highlighted that problems are

74 most likely to be encountered by parents, carers, nurses and children themselves when having to

administer medication for prevalent long-term childhood conditions, notably: diabetes, Human

76 immunodeficiency virus (HIV), asthma, Tuberculosis (TB), rheumatic diseases amongst others. It is

77 predicted that issues experienced with medicines will be influenced by the child's age and disease

78 state.

79 Problems with children's medicines may be influenced by many factors. These include issues with

80 prescribing and the supply of medicines, the prevalence of unlicensed medicines and medicines

81 prescribed off-label (5,6), difficulties with administering medicines (including manipulation of

82 medicines – 7,8), behaviour around medicine taking (including influence of family, school and life

83 situation) (9), adverse effects of medicines and medicine adherence problems in specific patient

84 groups (i.e. age groups and chronic conditions). Particularly, research on juvenile diabetes,

haemophilia and rheumatoid arthritis has revealed the potential vulnerability of young people to

86 medication non-adherence (10).

87 Anecdotal parental reports suggest that healthcare professionals may not be aware of the specific

barriers and problems that patients and their parents and carers perceive and experience daily when

89 administering medicines to children. Medicines may be manipulated by parents, carers and young

people for which there is often a lack of robust scientific evidence. Parents, carers and young people

91 may decide to manipulate medicines of their own accord, unbeknown to the responsible medical 92 practitioner. Alternatively medicines manipulation may be performed following a recommendation 93 from a healthcare professional. There is a paucity of research investigating healthcare professionals' perceptions of issues with 94 95 medicines used to treat paediatric patients with chronic conditions. Studies that have been 96 conducted include an exploration of healthcare providers' views on HIV adherence in paediatric 97 patients (11), an investigation in to nurses' knowledge and practice of mixing medicines with foodstuffs (12) and those investigating unlicensed medicines use (13,14). The present study aimed 98 99 to have a more diverse approach, exploring the perspectives of allied healthcare professionals 100 (medical practitioners, nurses and pharmacists) with regard to problems with oral medicines 101 prescribed to children. Aim of the study 102 The objective of the focus groups was to explore and understand the problems experienced when 103 104 prescribing, dispensing and administering oral medicines to children from the perspectives of 105 medical practitioners, pharmacists and nurses. The aim of this was to identify common and unique 106 themes across healthcare professional groups regarding problems with oral medicines prescribed to 107 paediatric patients and furthermore to compare their views with those of parents, carers and 108 children in the second part of the larger FIND OUT study (REC no: 10/H1207/47). Method 109 110 Initial ideas generated by RV with the advice of the Professor of Clinical Pharmacy (JM) and a 111 Consultant Paediatrician (HS) were informed by healthcare professionals in pre-study hospital visits 112 and by study objectives. The different professional backgrounds of the individuals involved in study 113 design permitted the collaboration of a clinical and pharmaceutical input. Ideas were used to 114 develop a template plan of key topics for exploration in the focus groups. The same key topics surrounding problems with oral medicines in children were highlighted in each focus group to 115 provoke participant interaction and discussion in the groups. 116 117 Children suffering from chronic conditions often have regular appointments in the secondary care 118 setting to review their condition and medicines. In the hospital environment, different members of 119 the healthcare team are responsible for providing care for patients. This multidisciplinary healthcare 120 team includes medical practitioners, nurses and pharmacists of varying expertise and with different 121 specialist interests. 122 This study was conducted with healthcare professionals at University Hospitals Coventry and 123 Warwickshire (UHCW) and Birmingham Children's Hospital (BCH), UK. Healthcare professionals were

invited to join a focus group by posters mounted on walls at UHCW and also contacted via the

UHCW email system and invited to respond to register an interest to participate. In addition,

targeted emails were sent to paediatric pharmacists in the West Midlands region. An information

sheet designed using guidance from the National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) (15) was distributed

with the invitation email. General Practitioners (GPs) in Coventry and Warwickshire were informed

124

125

126

127

of the study via a study summary article in the clinical pharmacology e-newsletter, routinely disseminated to all GPs in Coventry and Warwickshire.

Four uniprofessional focus groups were conducted involving nurses, medical practitioners, pharmacists at UHCW, and a further group of pharmacists at BCH. The four focus groups were conducted between September 2010 and February 2011. The second pharmacist focus group was arranged for pharmacists at BCH. These pharmacists had generated interest in the study but were unable to attend the UHCW session for logistical reasons. The introduction for each focus group followed the same structure and included obtaining written consent from each participant to permit publication of anonymised quotations, followed by a reminder about confidentiality issues. The planning and conducting stages of the focus group sessions were carried out according to defined procedures (16). Focus group design was informed by anecdotal information from parents, children and healthcare professionals. The four focus groups were facilitated by RV and assisted by JM/HS. The groups were digitally audio-recorded and complementary notes were taken during the sessions.

RV transcribed each focus group. Complementary notes recorded during the sessions were used to optimise accuracy of the verbatim transcripts. The focus groups data was analysed using a framework analysis approach, following published guidance (17). The template plan of topics was used to explore and identify the themes revealed in each transcript. Following this, common themes revealed across the groups and those unique to each group were identified. The thematic analysis program QSR NVivo supported this analysis.

Results

Four focus groups were conducted with the following healthcare professionals:

- 1. Nurses (n=5: Neonatal nurse practitioners (n=2), a nurse with a specialist interest in CF, a community-based nurse and a nurse practice educator),
- 2. Medical practitioners (n=8: Paediatric consultants with specialist interests (n=6), a paediatric specialist registrar, and a GP with an interest in paediatrics)
- 3. Paediatric pharmacists (n=2)
- 4. Paediatric pharmacists (n=4).

Focus groups 1-3 were conducted at UHCW. Focus group 4 was conducted at BCH. The nurse and medical practitioner groups were conducted at lunchtime and the pharmacist groups took place in allocated study time. Groups lasted between 51 and 93 minutes.

Following the framework analysis, a structured thematic coding spine (see table 1) was created based on two main themes: sensory and non-sensory (social and situational). Ten sub-themes emerged from the focus groups. The coding spine includes themes revealed both independently and across the focus groups. The results of the analysis are discussed systematically, using the thematic headings and sub-headings listed in table 1.

Sensory themes 170 Oral formulation-related barriers to medicines administration 171 172 Commonly reported oral formulation-related barriers to the acceptance of medicines in children 173 across the four focus groups included taste, texture, size of solid dosage form, and volume. Taste 174 was the most prevalent oral formulation-related problem highlighted across the groups. 175 Flucloxacillin solution was perceived by all healthcare professional groups to be disliked by children 176 due to its taste. 177 178 Chloral hydrate solution, prednisolone soluble tablets and Movicol® (macrogol '3350') oral powder 179 were all highlighted with respect to taste in two of the focus groups. 180 181 Taste issues with specific formulations revealed in the focus groups in table 1. 182 183 All medicines reported with taste problems in the focus groups were perceived by participants to 184 cause problems with adherence. 185 186 All of the healthcare professional groups highlighted that children experience problems with the 187 textures of some medicines. The learning disability population were discussed as a problematic 188 patient population across the focus groups when regarding problems with texture. 189 All groups acknowledged that children taking antiretroviral tablets experience problems with their 190 size and also difficulties when swallowing them. In particular, Kaletra® (lopinavir/ritonavir) tablets 191 were mentioned to be problematic. Additionally, large dose volumes were perceived to be a barrier 192 to medicines administration and examples of formulations with large dose volumes were 193 volunteered across the groups. The nurses reported the widest variety of oral formulation-related barriers to medicines 194 195 administration including those that affect sensory perceptions (colour and smell). 196 Future medicines for children 197 The nurses and medical practitioners prioritised the improvement of a variety of poorly palatable medicines. However, the pharmacists perceived that an improvement to Specials medicines would 198 199 be ideal. In the UK, Healthcare professionals may consider it necessary to prescribe or advise the use 200 of an unlicensed medicine when no licensed, suitable alternative is available, and in which case the 201 medicine may be ordered from a Specials manufacturer (Specials medicine). Medicines legislation 202 (specifically, The Medicines for Human Use Marketing Authorisations Etc Regulations 1994/SI 3144) 203 states that medicinal products require a licence before they are marketed in the UK (19). 204 Pharmacists felt that providing Certificates of Analyses to assure the safety and stability of Specials 205 medicines and also licensing some medicines not commercially available in child appropriate 206 formulations should be considered in order to improve medicines for children. Improving Specials 207 medicines was not reported as an ideal medicine improvement by the nurses or medical 208 practitioners, suggesting that this problem is not such a concern for them. 209 Calpol® (paracetamol) suspension was presented as a favourite, well-liked medicine formulation in

210

all of the groups.

211	Problems related to medicines administration
212	All groups discussed ad hoc manipulation techniques that had been reported to them by parents and
213	carers and also those that they recommend to parents and carers to facilitate the administration of
214	medicines to children (see figure 1).
215	
216	Manipulation techniques were discussed in detail by the nurses. The nurses provided examples of
217	medicines manipulation on wards and also highlighted how parents administer medicines at home.
218	Pharmacists showed most concern regarding pharmacokinetic effects. An example of this was their
219	unanimous appreciation of mixing medicines with food-stuffs (i.e. orange juice), and the potential
220	effects of altering pH on the drug. The nurse group also highlighted risks of altering drug stability
221	when mixing medicines with substrates prior to administration. The medical practitioners reported
222	more information on what parents actually do to the medicines.
223	Non-sensory themes
224	Frequent issues experienced when treating paediatric patients, the supply of
225	medicines and liquid measuring devices
226	"the things I dread are when we have all these weird and wonderful specials" (Pharmacist 1 UHCW)
227	The problems with Specials and unlicensed medicines were discussed in all groups and extensively
228	amongst the pharmacists. Issues with omeprazole liquid Special were identified across the groups,
229	these included problems regarding: obtaining, costing (between £100 and £120), GP prescribing
230	(with reference to Primary Care Trust (PCT) guidance described first-hand by the GP in the medical
231	practitioners group, in relation to budget), storage and short expiries. An alternative omeprazole
232	formulation is Losec® MUPS (omeprazole) tablets. Problems with Losec® MUPS (omeprazole)
233	blocking feeding tubes were highlighted in all groups.
234	Problems with Specials medicines were a main focus of the pharmacist groups. Pharmacists
235	demonstrated their increased knowledge, awareness and enthusiasm regarding Specials medicines.
236	They discussed a variety of problems surrounding Specials which they frequently experienced first-
237	hand (e.g. unpredictable bioequivalence between different Specials medicines) compared to the
238	medical practitioners and nurses. The medical practitioners seemed to have the least understanding
239	and knowledge of the depth of issues with Specials and tended to identify Specials medicines and
240	issues with supply. The medical practitioner group suggested that some pharmacists may not be
241	aware that certain medicines can be ordered and query whether pharmacists remind patients about
242	the shortened expiries of Specials medicines and the need to frequently re-order. The nurses
243	expressed their concern towards extemporaneously dispensed products and the inconvenience of
244	frequent journeys to hospital pharmacy.
245	Parental understanding of medicines
246	Parental influence on medicines adherence and also limited parental understanding of medicines
247	was reported across all healthcare professional groups. The nurses emphasised the need for
248	parental education, whilst the BCH pharmacist group acknowledged the limited time available to
249	counsel patients effectively, resulting in their reliance upon nursing staff. Incorporating appropriate
250	information in to clinic appointments for parents, carers and children could improve the safety and
251	effectiveness of medicines use and also reduce medicines non-adherence.

252253254	'Pill-swallowing training' for groups of patients with specific chronic conditions was discussed by the nurses and pharmacists. Mandatory 'Pill-swallowing training' for all children of a specific age was reported to be implemented in Australia (no reference was provided with these reports).
255 256	The UHCW pharmacist group highlighted the plethora of social issues that prevail in the domiciliary setting, implying that medicines adherence is not always prioritised in some social circumstances.
257	Medicines adherence
258	Pharmacists, medical practitioners and nurses reported that dosage form preference is influenced by
259	individual patient choice. The groups contended that parents can influence dosage form choice and
260	medicines adherence in paediatric patients. Reports of parents and carers influencing medicines
261	adherence in paediatric patients were frequently addressed in all groups. This included parents not
262263	allowing young people empowerment and also parents not supporting medicines adherence.
264	The value of rationalising medicines in children who are prescribed multiple medicines
265	(polypharmacy) was proposed by a medical practitioner and BCH pharmacist. On the topic of
266	extemporaneous medicines, the pharmacists reported their unanimous negative attitude towards
267	preparation within community pharmacies and compared this to the rigorous approach adopted
268	within hospital pharmacies. The nurses discussed problems with short expiries of extemporaneous
269270	preparations (sodium phosphate and sodium chloride solution), thus leading to inconveniences for parents owing to frequent hospital visits.
271	Adverse effects of medicines
272	The medical practitioners and nurses identified key adverse effects of medicines with which they
273	were familiar.
274	Concerns regarding the safety of excipients were at the forefront of the BCH pharmacist session,
275	with specific reference to propylene glycol, alcohol, sweeteners and sugars.
276	The supply of medicines and liquid measuring devices and medication errors
277	Difficulty in freely obtaining oral syringes on the NHS was highlighted by all of the healthcare
278	professional populations. Nurse reports included comments on the reluctance of supply by
279	pharmacists - inferring that this is a financial problem, parents having to purchase oral syringes and
280	the paucity of oral syringe sizes available in the Drug Tariff. A medical practitioner addressed the
281	disallowance of prescribing oral syringes on FP10 prescriptions and reported lack of knowledge
282	regarding whether pharmacists are allowed to freely provide oral syringes or if it is out of their own
283	goodwill.
284 285	In addition, the pharmacists highlighted the risks of using inaccurate measuring devices (reports included using a teaspoon).
286	The UHCW pharmacists perceived that the labelling of liquid medicines should be standardised, for
287	example, labelling all liquid medicines as the weight of drug in the same volume (i.e. Xmg in 1ml).
288	Both healthcare professionals and parents can become confused when different strengths are
289	printed on medicine labels and examples of this were provided by the UHCW pharmacists.
290	The risk of medication selection errors at GP practices was identified in the UHCW pharmacist focus

291

group.

Problems with medicines at school

Problems with medicines at school were reported across the groups. Unanimous reports suggested

that medicines should be prescribed to be administered outside of the school day (where this is

295 possible).

Discussion

Sensory characteristics: Taste, texture, size of solid dosage form, and volume were common themes discussed in all of the focus groups. Problems with taste were reported most often. Oral flucloxacillin was highlighted across all groups to be poorly accepted owing to palatability. One study similarly reported that oral flucloxacillin is often considered unpalatable by children and suggested conducting a taste-test with an individual child prior to prescribing flucloxacillin solution (20). Chloral hydrate solution, prednisolone soluble tablets and Movicol® oral powder were all highlighted with respect to taste in two of the focus groups. Similar findings were reported in other studies; oral chloral hydrate (21), and taste of prednisolone oral solution superior to crushed tablets (22).

All healthcare professional groups reported texture as a barrier to medicines administration; particularly amongst children with learning disabilities. Field and co-workers (2003) defined five feeding problems (including one related to the texture of foodstuffs) and explored pre-disposing factors to these problems. Over one quarter of children suffering from Down's syndrome, autism or cerebral palsy refused to eat food textures that were considered to be developmentally appropriate (23). Feeding problems (including those related to texture) should be considered carefully by prescribers prior to making prescribing decisions.

The size of solid dosage forms was a common theme highlighted across the groups. Several studies investigating children suffering from HIV support these findings and have reported the negative attitudes of children regarding the size of antiretroviral tablets (24-30).

The nurses shared an in-depth knowledge on oral formulation-related barriers to medicines administration that the medical practitioners and pharmacists did not discuss, highlighting the importance of conducting focus groups with different healthcare professionals. This plethora of knowledge mirrors the 'hands-on' experience that nurses have on administering medicines to children. See table 1 for reports on the variety of formulation-related issues discussed across the groups.

The pharmacists perceived that an improvement to Specials medicines would be ideal. Pharmacists felt that providing Certificates of Analyses to assure the safety and stability of Specials medicines and also licensing some medicines not commercially available in child appropriate formulations should be considered in order to improve medicines for children. Improving Specials medicines was not reported as an ideal medicine improvement by the nurses or medical practitioners, suggesting that this problem is not such a concern for them. Supporting these findings, one study investigated the opinions of GPs regarding off-label prescribing and found that less than 15% of GPs admitted to specific concerns when prescribing off-label, including the risk of adverse effects and unevaluated efficacy (31). Calpol® suspension was described positively across all groups; this is supported by previous documentation stating that fruity, sweet formulations are preferred and in general citrus

and red berry flavours are favoured across Europe (32). Pharmaceutical companies should be fully aware of medicines that are generally well-accepted by the paediatric population.

Study findings indicate that paediatric pharmacists' knowledge on risks of medicines manipulation was better than that of the allied healthcare professionals in this study. This suggests that the knowledge of paediatric pharmacists should be used to guide and educate healthcare professionals when prescribing or administering medicines in paediatric patients. Communication is crucial and each healthcare professional group should be utilised for the wealth of their knowledge, for example it may be beneficial for medical practitioners and nurses to seek advice from hospital pharmacists on drug-foodstuff incompatibilities. To support this, the knowledge and education of paediatric pharmacists should be addressed to ensure that they have optimal understanding of key scientific properties that may affect the dissolution and disintegration of a dosage form, properties including the fat and dairy-protein content, pH and solubility of foodstuffs (12) Such factors, in addition to food affecting gastric emptying rate, risk altering the bioavailability of a drug (33).

A plethora of medicines manipulation techniques were discussed in this study; similar practices have been reported in previous studies (34,35). Findings of the focus groups indicate that the participating healthcare professionals were unaware of the level of evidence supporting various *ad hoc* manipulation techniques. Similar to the present study, one study observed that the majority of nurses were unaware of potential drug stability and degradation issues when performing *ad hoc* administration techniques and additionally some nurses were not conscious of a possible impact upon clinical outcome (12). Further laboratory work is warranted to provide a robust scientific evidence base to support safe and effective medicines manipulation; it should be recognised that existing resources currently exist which provide guidance on medicines manipulation (36,37).

The risks of medicines interacting (through binding) with nutritional feeds and the potential effects on drug absorption were discussed by the pharmacists and nurses. Examples provided were phenobarbital, phenytoin and ciprofloxacin. Nurses declared their uncertainty regarding how and when to administer the calcium binding drug ciprofloxacin, highlighting that advice is needed when administering medicines and nutritional feeds to optimise therapy. More scientific evidence, based on laboratory work investigating interactions between medicines and nutritional feeds (i.e. identifying potential insoluble complex formation) should be used to direct and standardise pharmaceutical advice in addition to sources that are already available, e.g. (38). It would be useful to translate this scientific evidence in to appropriate sources for nurses and parents and carers.

The pharmacists had greater knowledge and understanding of Specials medicines compared to the nurses and medical practitioners. Similarly, several studies have identified differences in the knowledge on Specials, off-label and unlicensed medicines between allied healthcare professionals (13,14,39). Further investigation is necessary to address the education and support that is needed to improve knowledge of unlicensed medicines, Specials and off-label prescribing amongst healthcare professionals. Educating doctors and allied healthcare professionals on the appropriate use of Specials medicines at degree or equivalent level is fundamental to improving their understanding of unlicensed medicines and optimising safe and cost-effective prescribing practices.

Improving time organisation to permit pharmacists to counsel patients effectively could help to minimise problems that result from poor parental understanding as reported in the focus groups. Pharmacists identified that staff shortages were a barrier to counselling patients. Government funding bodies need to consider this when calculating financial budgets available to the NHS. Several studies investigating solid dosage form training have found improvements in swallowing abilities amongst children diagnosed with HIV (24,25). This may help to minimise difficulties with tablet size and also support the use of novel formulations, e.g. mini-tabs (40,41) in paediatric patients who would not generally be prescribed a tablet until they are older.

This study identified that family-related factors (including 'social circumstances') can influence medicines adherence in children, this is supported by other studies (42-45). The impact of polypharmacy on medicines adherence requires further investigation. The importance of medicines adherence should be thoroughly explained to parents and children especially in circumstances where an improvement of a chronic condition is not apparent. This may help to discourage parents, carers and young people from discontinuing medicines without consulting healthcare professionals and through this improve medicines adherence.

Study findings suggest that future work should investigate whether incorporating education for parents, carers and young people within clinic sessions, on common and minor adverse effects of medicines to support patients and manage their expectations of medicines has the potential to improve adherence. In addition, concerns regarding the safety of excipients need to be addressed. The draft guideline on pharmaceutical development of medicines for paediatric use (46) reinforced the requirement for pharmaceutical companies to carefully select excipients when formulating medicines for children. The final decision to include an excipient should be evaluated using a benefit to risk ratio of the end pharmaceutical product (47). It should be recognised that some studies have reviewed stability evidence and provide information regarding formulations and excipients (48,49).

Issues revealed with the supply of oral syringes on the NHS suggest that the Government should address NHS funding in this area. Concern regarding the use of innacurate measuring devices needs to be addressed. Household teaspoons can vary between 2ml and 10ml and thus using a teaspoon could result in a significant underdose or overdose (50). Pharmacists should be ensuring that the correct dosing instrument is supplied to all patients and that counselling is provided to parents and carers to assure accurate measurement of a dose.

Standardising the labelling of liquid medicines was reported as a potential method to minimise some dosing errors. Studies are required to investigate if standardising the labelling of liquid medicines could significantly improve patient safety. It is prudent that medicines labelling guidance provided to pharmaceutical companies when applying for a Marketing Authorisation is addressed imminently. Reports that medication selection errors are a problem at GP practices, suggest that safeguarding measures and staff training need to be addressed.

Reports across the groups of some schools refusing to accept responsibility for medicines suggests that medicines policies are not adopted uniformly across schools. Medicines adherence during school hours may be sub-optimal if schools do not support medicines administration. Omitting doses of medicines during the school day could have a significant impact on clinical outcome, therefore it is

424 critical that medicines administration is addressed correctly in schools. This is especially important 425 for paediatric patients suffering with chronic conditions and those requiring vital acute medicines. 426 Study limitations 427 428 Logistics created the greatest problem when recruiting participants. Time constraints resulting from 429 staff shortages restricted the availability of healthcare professionals and affected study recruitment. 430 A limitation of the focus group study was the low recruitment rate in the UHCW pharmacist group, 431 as this represented more a nominal group. It is acknowledged that group interaction is inevitably 432 minimised with low numbers of participants in a focus group. However, the information gathered 433 from the UHCW pharmacist focus group in collaboration with the BCH pharmacist group widened 434 the scope of pharmacist views in this study. 435 436 Although some healthcare professionals in the same setting were known to each other, the nature 437 of this focus group study was not perceived to be threatening; therefore it is unlikely that 438 participants would have contributed in a manner deemed to be more socially acceptable. 439 440 The study was conducted at two sites in the West Midlands, UK, therefore it cannot be generalised 441 and viewed as a nationwide perspective. Further investigation is required. 442 **Conclusion** 443 444 In summary, this study has identified a large number of issues perceived by healthcare professionals 445 to cause problems when administering oral medicines to children, however, these issues are not 446 always considered when using medicines in children. Taste was highlighted as the most significant 447 issue with specific examples, e.g. flucloxacillin solution, being reported across all groups. 448 449 Collaboration between doctors, nurses and pharmacists is essential to optimise patient care. Nurses 450 had the greatest knowledge of bedside issues, whilst pharmacists understood the consequences of certain manipulations; wider sharing of this knowledge can help to ensure appropriate medicines are 451 452 prescribed to minimise issues with administration. Communication is crucial and each healthcare 453 professional group should be utilised for the wealth of their knowledge. 454 455 Findings of this study suggest that a paucity of scientific evidence is available to support the many ad 456 hoc manipulation techniques regularly used. Review of medicines manipulation data available in 457 literature confirmed that a robust scientific evidence base requires further development; this needs 458 to be addressed as it is evident that medical practitioners require more information when 459 prescribing medicines to ensure supply, clinical effectiveness and to maximise cost efficiency. 460 461 Addressing the education of healthcare professionals involved in prescribing, dispensing and 462 administering oral medicines to children and additionally counselling provided to parents, carers and

paediatric patients will be invaluable to improving the therapeutic treatment of paediatric patients.

Protocols detailing best practice guidance need to be developed. Further studies exploring the views

of healthcare professionals in different settings would complement this research.

463 464

466	Conflicts of interest
467	The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.
468	Acknowledgements
469	We would like to thank all of the healthcare professionals for their participation in the focus groups.
470	Funding
471	We would like to also acknowledge the West Midlands Medicines for Children Research Network
472	(WM-MCRN) for funding this research.
473	
474	

References

- 476 (1) Staples B, Bravender T. Drug compliance in adolescents: assessing and managing modifiable risk
- 477 factors. Pediatric Drugs. 2002;4(8): 503-513.
- 478 (2) Winnick S, Lucas DO, Hartman AL, Toll D. How do you improve compliance? Pediatr
- 479 2005;115(6):e718-24.
- 480 (3) Burkhart P, Dunbar-Jacob J. "Adherence research in the pediatric and adolescent populations: A
- decade in review". In Hayman, L.; Mahon, M. & Turner, J. (Eds.) Chronic illness in children: An
- evidence-based approach. 1st ed. New York: Springer 199-229;2002.
- 483 (4) Ernest TB, Elder DP, Martini LG, Roberts M, Ford JL. Developing paediatric medicines: identifying
- the needs and recognizing the challenges. J Pharm Pharmacol 2007;59(8):1043-1055.
- 485 (5) Conroy S, Choonara I, Impicciatore P, Mohn A, Arnell H, Rane A. et al. Survey of unlicensed and
- off label drug use in paediatric wards in European countries. BMJ 2000;320(7227):79-82.
- 487 (6) Nunn, A. Making medicines that children can take. Arch Dis Child 2003;88(5):369-371.
- 488 (7) Skwierczynski C, Conroy S. How long does it take to administer oral medicines to children?
- 489 Paediatr Perinat Drug Ther 2008;8(4):145-149.
- 490 (8) Richey R, Donnell C, Shah U, Barker CE, Craig JV, Ford JL et al. An investigation of drug
- 491 manipulation for dose accuracy in paediatric practice: the modric study. Arch Dis Child
- 492 2011;96(4):e1-e1.
- 493 (9) Michaud P, Suris J and Viner R. The adolescent with a chronic condition. Part II: healthcare
- 494 provision. Arch Dis Child 2004;89(10):943-949.
- 495 (10) WHO. Adherence to long term therapies: Evidence for action. [online].
- 496 http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2003/9241545992.pdf [Accessed 14/05/2010].
- 497 (11) Brackis-Cott E, Mellins CA, Abrams E, Reval T, Dolezal C. Pediatric HIV medication adherence:
- 498 the views of medical providers from two primary care programs. J Pediatr Health Care
- 499 2003;17(5):252-260.
- 500 (12) Akram G, Mullen AB. Paediatric nurses' knowledge and practice of mixing medication into
- 501 foodstuff. IJPP 2012;20(3):191-198.
- 502 (13) Mukattash T, Hawwa AF, Trew K, McElnay JC. Healthcare professional experiences and attitudes
- on unlicensed/off-label paediatric prescribing and paediatric clinical trials. Eur J Clin Pharmacol
- 504 2011;67(5):449-461.
- 505 (14) Mukattash TL, Wazaify M, Khuri-Boulos N, Jarab A, Hawwa AF, McElnay JC. Perceptions and
- attitudes of Jordanian paediatricians towards off-label paediatric prescribing. IJCP 2011;33(6):964-
- 507 973.
- 508 (15) NPSA. Information Sheets & Consent Forms. Guidance for Researchers and Reviewers. Version
- 3.5 May 2009. Available at: http://www.nres.npsa.nhs.uk/applications/guidance/consent-guidance-
- and-forms/?esctl1417026_entryid62=67013. Accessed 13/05/2010.
- 511 (16) Krueger RA, Casey MA. Focus Groups: A practical guide for applied research. 3rd ed. London:
- 512 Sage; 2000.
- 513 (17) Gale, N. K., Heath, G., Cameron, E., Rashid, S., & Redwood, S. Using the framework method for
- the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. BMC Med Res Methodol
- 515 2013;13(1):117.
- 516 (18) BNF for Children. London: Pharmaceutical Press; (2011-2012).
- 517 (19) MHRA Medicines that do not need a licence (Exemptions from licensing): The manufacture and
- 518 supply of unlicensed relevant medicinal products for individual patients ('specials') [online].
- 519 http://www.mhra.gov.uk/Howweregulate/Medicines/Doesmyproductneedalicence/Medicinesthatd
- onotneedalicence/index.htm [Accessed 15/02/2012].
- 521 (20)Baguley D, Lim E, Bevan A, Pallet A, Faust SN. Prescribing for children taste and palatability
- affect adherence to antibiotics: a review. Arch Dis Child 2012;97(3):293-297.
- 523 (21) Chung T, Hoffer FA, Connor L. Zurakowski D, Burrows PE. The use of oral pentobarbital sodium
- 524 (Nembutal) versus oral chloral hydrate in infants undergoing CT and MR imaging—a pilot study.
- 525 Pediatr Radiol 2000;30(5):332-335.

- 526 (22) Lucas-Bouwman ME, Roorda RJ, Jansman FGA, Brand PLP. Crushed prednisolone tablets or oral
- solution for acute asthma? Arch Dis Child 2001;84(4):347-348.
- 528 (23) Field D, Garland M, Williams K. Correlates of specific childhood feeding problems. J Paediatr
- 529 Child Health 2003;39(4):299-304.
- 530 (24)Czyzewski D, Calles N, Runyan R, Lopez M. Teaching and maintaining pill swallowing in HIV-
- infected children. AIDS READER-NEW YORK 2000;10(2):88-95.
- 532 (25) Garvie PA, Lensing S, Rai SN. Efficacy of a pill-swallowing training intervention to improve
- antiretroviral medication adherence in pediatric patients with HIV/AIDS. Pediatr 2007;119(4):e893-9.
- 534 (26) Gibb DM, Goodall RL, Giacomet V, Mcgee L, Compagnucci A, Lyall H, et al. Adherence to
- 535 prescribed antiretroviral therapy in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children in the PENTA 5
- 536 trial. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2003;22(1):56-62.
- 537 (27) Marhefka S, Farley J, Rodrigue J, Sandrik L, Sleasman J, Tepper V. Clinical assessment of
- 538 medication adherence among HIV-infected children: examination of the Treatment Interview
- 539 Protocol (TIP). AIDS Care 2004;16(3):323-337.
- 540 (28) Paranthaman K, Kumarasamy N, Bella D, Webster P. Factors influencing adherence to anti-
- retroviral treatment in children with human immunodeficiency virus in South India–a qualitative
- 542 study. AIDS Care 2009;21(8):1025-1031.
- 543 (29) Reddington C, Cohen J, Baldillo A, Toye M, Smith D, Kneut C, et al. Adherence to medication
- regimens among children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J
- 545 2000;19(12):1148-1153.
- 546 (30) Roberts KJ. Barriers to antiretroviral medication adherence in young HIV-infected children.
- 547 Youth Soc 2005;37(2):230-245.
- 548 (31) Ekins-Daukes S, Helms PJ, Taylor MW, McLay JS. Off-label prescribing to children: attitudes and
- experience of general practitioners. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2005;60(2):145-149.
- 550 (32) EMEA Reflection paper: formulations of choice for the paediatric population
- 551 EMEA/CHMP/PEG/194810/2005 2006 [online].
- 552 http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en GB/document library/Scientific guideline/2009/09/WC50000
- 553 <u>3782.pdf</u> London [Accessed 01/10/2011].
- 554 (33) Bowles A, Keane J, Ernest T, Clapham D, Tuleu C. Specific aspects of gastro-intestinal transit in
- children for drug delivery design. Int J Pharm 2010;395(1):37-43.
- 556 (34) Nguyen, T. M. U., Lau, E. T., Steadman, K. J., Cichero, J. A., Dingle, K., & Nissen, L.
- 557 Pharmacist, general practitioner, and nurse perceptions, experiences, and knowledge of
- medication dosage form modification. Integr Pharm Res Prac 2014;3:1-9.
- 559 (35) Nissen, L. M., Haywood, A., & Steadman, K. J. Solid medication dosage form modification at the
- bedside and in the pharmacy of Queensland hospitals. Journal of Pharmacy Practice and Research
- 561 2009;39(2):129-134.
- 562 (36) Burridge, N., & Deidun, D. Australian don't rush to crush handbook. Therapeutic options for
- 563 people unable to swallow solid oral medicines. The Society of Hospital Pharmacists of Australia,
- 564 Collingwood, Australia. 1st ed. Collingwood. 2011.
- 565 (37) Smyth, J. NEWT guidelines 2012 [online].
- 566 http://www.newtguidelines.com/AdminOfTablets.html Betsi Cadwaladr [Accessed 01/01/2013].
- 567 (38) Manrique-Torres, Y. J., Lee, D. J., Islam, F., Nissen, L. M., Cichero, J. A., Stokes, J. R., & Steadman,
- 568 K. J. Crushed tablets: does the administration of food vehicles and thickened fluids to aid medication
- swallowing alter drug release?. J Pharm Pharm Sci 2014;17(2):207-219.
- 570 (39) Venables R, Stirling H, Marriott J. Poster 0073 Healthcare professionals' understanding of
- 571 children's medicines. IJPP 2012;20(Supplement 2):68-69.
- 572 (40) Spomer N, Klingmann V, Stoltenberg I, Lerch C, Meissner T, Breitkreutz J. Acceptance of
- uncoated mini-tablets in young children: results from a prospective exploratory cross-over study.
- 574 Arch Dis Child 2012;97(3):283-286.
- 575 (41) Thomson SA, Tuleu C, Wong IC, Keady S, Pitt KG, Sutcliffe AG. Minitablets: new modality to
- deliver medicines to preschool-aged children. Pediatr 2009;123(2):e235-8.

(42) Gau SS, Shen H, Chou M, Tang C, Chiu Y, Gau C. Determinants of adherence to methylphenidate and the impact of poor adherence on maternal and family measures. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 2006;16(3):286-297. (43) Mackner LM, Crandall WV. Oral medication adherence in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2005;11(11):1006-1012. (44) Mellins CA, Brackis-Cott E, Dolezal C, Abrams EJ. The role of psychosocial and family factors in adherence to antiretroviral treatment in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2004;23(11):1035-1041. (45) Shah CA. Adherence to high activity antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in pediatric patients infected with HIV: issues and interventions. Indian J Pediatr 2007;74(1):55-60. (46) EMA. 2013; Available at: http://nvkfb.nl/download/2013/Guideline%20on%20pharmaceutical%20development%20of%20me dicines%20for%20paediatric%20use.pdf. Accessed 11/03, 2014. (47) GRIP Webinar (online conference) GRIP Work Package 5 "Meet the Expert" on paediatric formulations 2013. (48) Glass, B. D., & Haywood, A. Stability considerations in liquid dosage forms extemporaneously prepared from commercially available products. J Pharm Pharmaceut Sci (www. cspsCanada.org) 2015;9(3):398-426. (49) Haywood, A., & Glass, B. D. Liquid dosage forms extemporaneously prepared from commercially available products-considering new evidence on stability. J Pharm Pharm Sci 2013;16(3):441-455. (50) McKenzie M. Administration of oral medications to infants and young children. US Pharmacist 1981;6:55-67.

Thematic headings	Thematic sub-headings 1	Thematic sub-headings 2
Sensory themes		
Oral formulation-related	Taste-related problems	
barriers to medicines	*Gastrografin® (sodium diatrizoate/meglumine diatrizoate)	
administration	solution – Nurse 4. Oramorph® (morphine sulfate) solution –	
	Nurse 1. Septrin® (trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole)	
	paediatric suspension – Pharmacist 2 UHCW. Rifampicin	
	suspension – Pharmacist 1 UHCW. Kaletra® (lopinavir/ritonavir)	
	solution – MP 7 describes burning sensation. Nitrofuranotin	
	Special suspension – MP 5. Clarithromycin suspension – MP 2.	
	Generic paracetamol suspension – Nurse 2.	
	Problems with texture	
	*Ciclosporin solution (pharmacist 1 UHCW described as oily).	
	Lactulose solution (MP 1 described as oily). Topiramate sprinkle	
	capsules (MP 1). Calcichew® (calcium carbonate) tablets (MP 2).	
	Creon® (pancreatin) Micro gastro-resistant granules –	
	inconvenience of transporting apple puree to mask texture (nurse	
	4).	
	Problems with colour and smell	
	*Rifampicin liquid – red colour described as "off-putting" (nurse	
	1). Klean-prep® (macrogol '3350') liquid – "smell makes you	
	wretch, it's horrible" (nurse 4). Abidec® vitamin drops – bad	
	smell and the colour stains bibs, yet tolerated (nurse 4).	
	'Horrendous feeds' and a smell that "pervades everything" (nurse	
	1).	
	Problems with size and swallowing	
	*Ethambutol tablets (pharmacist 2 described that often multiple	
	small tablets are preferred). Slow sodium® (sodium chloride)	
	tablets -"Like an old paracetamol tablet, they're quite sticky to	
	swallow down" (nurse 4). Temozolomide tablets - "I think	
	they're quite big drugs" (nurse 6). Antiretroviral tablets (all	
	groups).	
	 Problems with quantity and volume 	
	*Chloral hydrate solution** described as having a "huge volume"	
	(nurse 1 and pharmacist 1 UHCW). Movicol® (macrogol '3350')	
	oral powder*** (Pharmacists agree large volume). Soluble	
	prednisolone tablets (nurse 3). Antiretroviral liquids - "liquid	
	volumes are so high" (MP 7). Administering considerable	
	volumes of medicines in addition to feeds in neonates, voiced by	
	nurse 3.	
Future medicines for children	Ideal improvements to medicines	
	Well-accepted medicines	
Problems related to medicines	Administration problems with specific medicines	(The knowledge of healthcare
administration	Interactions with foodstuffs and sub-optimal drug	professionals regarding
adililiistration	·	physicochemical effects of
	absorption	medicines manipulation,
	Medicines manipulation	
		Evidence base for medicines manipulation)
Non-sensory themes	+	manipulationj
	Chariele modicines unlineared and distance and off	
Frequent issues experienced	Specials medicines, unlicensed medicines and off- Industrial advisions and off-	
when treating paediatric	label administration	/O
patients	Omeprazole formulations	(Omeprazole liquid, Losec®
	 The knowledge and understanding of medical 	MUPS- licensed omeprazole
	practitioners, pharmacists and parents regarding	formulation)
	unlicensed medicine	
	 Extemporaneous dispensing 	
Parental understanding of	Educating parents and children about medicines	
medicines	 Social problems and language barriers 	
Medicines adherence	The relationship between age of child and dosage	
	form preference	
	Parental influence on dosage form choice and	
	medicines adherence	
	status, diagnosis, miscellaneous variants and	
	medicines adherence	
	Polypharmacy	
Adverse effects of medicines	Excipients	

	•	Adverse effects associated with specific medicines	
The supply of medicines and liquid measuring devices	•	Problems with the supply of oral syringes and the accuracy of measuring liquid medicines	
Medication errors in pharmacies and GP practices	•	Standardising the labelling of liquid medicines Medicine selection errors at GP practices	
Problems with medicines at school	•	Problems with medicines at school	

616

*Problems with specific medicines or groups of medicines, as reported by the healthcare professionals indicated.

.

** Choral hydrate - dose for 1-12 year olds approximately 5-20mls 'well diluted with water' (18).
*** Movicol® (macrogol '3350') oral powder - each sachet added to 125mls of water (18).

619

620

621

Medical Practitoners

- Epilim® (sodium valproate) granules on jam on toast
- Mix in blackcurrant/ orange juice/milk, crush it in to jam or yoghurt
- Give a sweet after flucloxacillin
- Prednisolone soluble tablets mixed with neat ribena
- Methotrexate and mercaptopurine tablets "I'm sure they must get crushed up those"
- Melatonin "I'll always say yoghurt, the advice I would normally give, put it in yoghurt and do that immediately before you go to bed because it denatures before... and don't use it in hot food"

Nurses

- Yoghurt with crushed medicines
- Use strong flavours to maskgastrografin® (sodium diatrizoate/meglumine diatrizoate); coke or ribena
- Soluble prednisolone in minimal water
- Creon ® (pancreatin) Micro gastroresistant granules in apple puree to mask texture
- "The Movicol ® (macrogol '3350') rep says you can put it into jellies and things"
- •In reference to Movicol® (macrogol '3350'): "People hide it in their dinners.. their mash potato.."
- Warm water to dissolve Losec® (omeprazole) MUPS
- 'Not x goes with y, just try whatever the child likes'

Pharmacists

- Creon® (pancreatin) Micro gastroresistant granules with a spoonful of breast milk, apple puree or baby rice
- "Ciclosporin you can mix with stuff"
- Grinding tablets and mixing with yoghurt or dissolving in water (mercaptopurine)
- Liquid paraffin and ice-cream recommended as a technique to numb taste buds
- "Stick in a bit of yogh- put it in a bit of banana or something like that you can A slip things down if you've got something that's a bit harder to take"
- 'Get down them in any way'
- Losec® (omeprazole) MUPS with squash and juice
- Topamax® (topiramate) sprinkle on foodstuffs
- Before dispersible tablets were available, recommended opening capsules of melatonin or dispersing them in yoghurt
- Movicol® (macrogol '3350') oral powder advice.. "in apple puree and stuff."
 Mixing advice is provided in drug information sheets, but is not referenced.

622623

Figure 1: Examples of ad hoc administration techniques reported by the indicated healthcare professional groups.