



## What can Jane Goodall teach us about addiction?

Martin Frisher

To cite this article: Martin Frisher (2022): What can Jane Goodall teach us about addiction?, Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy, DOI: [10.1080/09687637.2022.2033164](https://doi.org/10.1080/09687637.2022.2033164)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687637.2022.2033164>



© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 07 Feb 2022.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 207



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

## What can Jane Goodall teach us about addiction?

Martin Frisher

School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University, Keele, UK

### ABSTRACT

In 2017, Jane Goodall, the well-known primatologist, wrote a letter to the United States Food and Drug Administration criticising the use of animals to test brain-based theories of addiction. She reasoned that we already know about addiction by observing humans. Several scientists countered that research with humans cannot answer important questions about understanding, preventing, and treating addiction. This commentary draws on epidemiology, psychology, psychodynamic models, learning theories and existentialism. It highlights effective prevention and treatment approaches that are not based on brain models of addiction. Jane Goodall's letter, has, perhaps unwittingly, provided a focal point for reconsidering what kind of research is required to further our understanding of addiction.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 9 June 2021  
Revised 13 January 2022  
Accepted 19 January 2022

### KEYWORDS

Addiction; psychology; epidemiology; brain science; treatment philosophy; language; existentialism

On 7 September 2017, Jane Goodall wrote to Scott Gottlieb, Commissioner of the United States Food and Drug Administration, urging him to end 'unnecessary nicotine addiction experiments on monkeys' (Goodall, 2017) because she argued that smoking habits can be studied directly in humans. Later that year, an open letter from scientists and leaders in the addiction research community (Rowlett et al., 2017) disputed Jane Goodall's views because 'research with humans cannot answer fundamentally important questions that are basic to progress in understanding, preventing, and treating addiction'. Although this debate centres on the ethics of animal experimentation, it prompts questions about what can be learned by studying addiction directly in humans. By focussing on the brain disease model of addiction (BDMA), it may be that less attention is paid to human experience in understanding addiction (Peale, 1998). Experiments have shown that neuro-scientific information is often appealing even when it is not relevant to psychological phenomena (Weisberg et al., 2008). This paper does not critically evaluate BDMA nor does it provide a comprehensive overview of human psychological research. The focus of this paper is on selected observations drawn from a range of sources including epidemiology, cognitive psychology, psychodynamic models, existentialism and learning theories. These observations highlight how potentially effective interventions can be studied in humans without recourse to animal research.

Even those who support the BDMA highlight that people evaluate their choices. A former heroin user writes that, 'It's an illness that doesn't go away. You put down the drugs and everyone thinks that you have stopped the problem but that's not the case'. The writer goes on to say, 'whatever the

situation, a relapse is always a conscious decision' (cited in Ram, 2014). This illustrates the contradiction in conceptualising addiction in terms of the disease model. The language used to discuss addiction frames perceptions not only about the nature of addiction but strategies to change addictive behaviour (Harland, 1999; de Wit et al., 2018). Davies (1997) employs psychological attribution theory to show how beliefs about addiction can influence people's ability to change their behaviour. A study of 80 people in inpatient detoxification found that higher levels of attribution to personally controllable factors were related to outcome measures including abstinence and lower rates of relapse (Bradley et al. 1992), while a study of 581 participants in methadone treatment, found that higher self-efficacy was associated with adherence to treatment (Yu et al. 2020). The source of these attributions, Davies argues, often derive from current views of addiction rather than scientific evidence.

Talking, thinking and reflecting about individual experience are often important for making behavioural changes (Harland, 1999) and nearly all therapies employ language (Field & Kersbergen, 2020; Schaler, 2000). A study of 254 participants in The Combined Pharmacotherapies and Behavioural Interventions for Alcohol Dependence (COMBINE) study investigated the extent to which drinkers with alcohol dependence verbalize commitment to change their drinking at the start of treatment. The study compared two styles of language characterised as 'change talk' and 'sustain talk'. In 'change talk' counsellors explored behavioural change while 'sustain talk' revolved more around the problematic behaviour. Treatment providers with higher 'change talk' at baseline were associated with lower drinking levels at one year follow up (Houck et al., 2018). A study of 295 treatment

providers found that treatment providers have a variety of views about addiction with some tending towards a free will explanation while other adhere to the BDMA model (Schaler, 2000). Treatment providers' beliefs about addiction may affect the degree to which treatments offered focus on self-efficacy (Russell et al., 2011) and self-efficacy is associated with higher rates of abstinence (Stuart et al., 1994).

Language is also central in psychoanalytic therapy (Makari & Shapiro, 1993). This therapy pioneered by Sigmund Freud involves using language to explore events that trigger neurotic illnesses and he noted how a patient had referred to this process as the talking cure (Freud, 1909). Psychoanalysis involves exploration of unconscious defence mechanisms that protect the conscious mind. In relation to addiction, two such mechanisms are denial and regression. Denial involves unconscious blocking of events that have an unpleasant emotional impact while regression involves temporarily retreating to an earlier stage of life as a way of avoiding unpleasant events (Holland, 2019). A study of 79 patients at a drug dependence clinic found that participants exhibited elevated scores of self-deception, particularly in the domains of active denial (Martínez-González et al., 2016). Regression has been reported in a case of a heroin addict. Heroin was described as means of regressing to an earlier phase of development involving a close bond between the case and her mother in order to avoid current anxiety (Kaplan, 1977). Enabling patients to engage in self-reflection and identifying alternative ways to manage difficult emotions are part of the psychodynamic approach to addiction treatment (Weegman, 2002). While psychodynamic therapy may be as effective as behaviourally based treatments (Steinert et al., 2017), they are less frequently encountered in addiction therapy. This may be in part due to the holistic nature of psychodynamic therapy compared to other therapies that prioritise current behaviour over sub-conscious underlying issues (Ford, 2019). Evidence-based approaches to treatment often focus on behavioural approaches that lend themselves to experimental procedures (Kottler and Shepherd, 2015, p. 195).

Whereas psychodynamic therapies often require many sessions, measures such as self-help manuals and brief interventions that focus on motivation and barriers to change have been found to be effective ways of reducing addiction (Bien et al., 1993). In one study discussed by Bien and colleagues, 161 problem drinkers with no previous treatment received either a single counselling session or no counselling beyond routine medical care. At 1-year follow-up, the brief counselling group significantly improved both on life problems and on physical problem although the groups did not differ in reported alcohol consumption (Chick et al., 1985). In a study of 229 adults seeking assistance in abstaining from cannabis use, six sessions of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy [CBT] resulted in a range of better treatment outcomes compared to one session CBT or the delayed treatment control group (Copeland et al., 2001). A common feature of many therapies is talking about and evaluating the consequences of behaviour (Heyman, 2009). Alcoholics Anonymous [AA], for example, involves discussing the positive benefits of life without drinking (Kurti & Dallery, 2012) alongside development of social bonding, self-efficacy and promotion of alternative

behaviours (Moos, 2008). Reforming social relationships is one theme examined in study of 12 people who had attended Narcotics Anonymous (NA); one participant noted 'before recovering, "I was disrespected by my children and family, but after treatment, they respect me again because my behavior towards them has changed' (Jalali et al., 2019, p. 5). However, in a qualitative study of 10 people who had previously attended NA, disengagement occurred for a number of reasons; for example, one participant stated that 'For some, doing the fifth step with a sponsor can be liberating. For me it wasn't. It did not give me the freedom I hoped for' (Vederhus et al., 2020). Overall, participants in the study viewed the 12-step approach as a potentially valuable resource even if it does not suit everybody. Given the multifaceted nature of addiction, this is likely to apply to all therapies.

Language also features in a range of psychological studies that can help people to change long-standing habits (Gardner et al., 2012). In one randomised control study, the group who regularly read a leaflet about diet and exercise lost significantly more weight than the no-treatment waiting list control group (Lally et al., 2008). Another study found that brief simple advice about quitting smoking increased smoking cessation and its maintenance a year later (Stead et al., 2013). However, if addictive behaviour is portrayed as a brain disorder this may reduce self-efficacy and thus reduce motivation to change behaviour (Davies, 1997). The language used to discuss addiction frames perceptions not only about the nature of addiction but strategies to change addictive behaviour (Harland, 1999).

The theme of addiction depriving individuals of choice is common in the addiction literature (Saaristo, 2006) despite research indicating that personal decision-making is often a key component of finding a route out of addiction. In a study of 201 ex drug addicts, Waldorf (1983) identified several patterns of recovery including, involving changes in personal motivation, encounters with particular events (e.g. religious/social causes) or changes in environments. In a study of 21 adults in a drug and alcohol therapeutic community, the authors investigate how participants' social identities and relationships change during treatment (Dingle et al., 2015). One participant noted that 'you couldn't have anything more healing than being able to talk to someone who knows exactly what you're talking about. From beginning to end... your losses, your gains... everything' (p. 6), while another noted that 'I think all of my self-esteem was just crushed that I had none left, but slowly bit by bit it is coming back' (p. 7). This study highlights the importance of narrative in understanding addiction and recovery. Waldorf recognised that particular experiences can lead to cessation and this was further explored in a study of epiphany (Woodruff, 2002). One participant stated, 'I heard this voice – I had no doubt it was God... I felt such acceptance and such love like I had never known. That changed my life forever... I got up the next day, and I haven't found it necessary to drink or use drugs ever since' (p. 148). These quotes illustrate ways in which people interpret, and create meaning from, their experiences (Peele, 1998). This is consistent with existentialism, a philosophy which argues that people have no

'essence' but rather define themselves through their thoughts and actions (Barash, 2000; Grech, 2021). The existentialist credo (Sartre, 1956) is employed in a qualitative study of nine people who had experienced drug abuse (Wiklund, 2008). One participant stated, "'Who am I?' Because when taking drugs I was somebody. But without them, I was nothing, I didn't exist' (p. 2428), while another noted 'I felt so bad, in my own world, in my own circle. Because I was so fixated on my own affairs... I could not put on the brake. It was like a treadmill and at the same time I wanted out' (p. 2430). Exploring dimensions such as meaning/meaninglessness and control/chaos can help health professionals reflect on the subjective aspects of addiction (Wiklund, 2008) and offer possible solutions to existential dilemmas which often feature in addiction narratives (Berry, 2011; Smith & Smith, 2021).

Human research also provides also evidence of non-addictive patterns of drug use; for example, a Dutch study found that 50% of a sample of community-based cocaine users never exceeded a low use level defined as less than 0.5g a week (Cohen & Sas, 1994). Intermittent tobacco smoking (ITS) accounts for 38% of adult smokers in the US. However, the dominant model of smoking behaviour centres on those who smoke daily to maintain nicotine levels in order to avoid withdrawal symptoms (Shiffman et al., 2015). For ITS, the motivation to smoke lies in the social context and psychological factors such as socialising with friends. Thus, while drugs affect neural mechanisms (Hyman et al., 2006), this does not translate into abolition of psychological self-control (Pickard, 2012) although it may modify conscious preferences and motivation (Heather, 2017). Providing information on the negative consequences of behaviour can also lead to behavioural change. Reports during the 1960s that smoking was the cause of lung cancer are thought to be responsible for significant reduction in smoking rates in the United States (Mendes, 2014). Smoking rates have also been influenced by health promotion campaigns (Lewis, 2005). A study of 4040 smokers found that exposure to a mass media campaign was associated with a strong intention to quit smoking (Duke et al., 2015). In addition, legislation and tax changes have also led to changes in smoking behaviour (Yuda, 2013). At an individual level, a study of 200 daily smokers found that the perceived ability to quit was related to implicit model that participant had of addiction (Sridharan et al., 2019). Those more likely to report quitting viewed addiction in terms of what the authors term a 'growth mindset' reflected in statement such as 'people can learn to control their addictions'. Such studies reinforce Jane Goodall's point about learning from studies of human behaviour.

Even those who view addiction as underpinned by neurological processes acknowledge that psychological factors are fundamental to recovery (Tommasello, 2004). This is somewhat paradoxical since where there is motivation to quit, treatment may be unnecessary, while for those lacking motivation, treatment may be less successful (Leavitt, 1995). One form of this paradox is the observation that the majority of people quit smoking through their own efforts with no form of treatment or intervention (Peele, 2004). However, where treatment is necessary, addressing motivation has been

found to be an important factor. In a study of 198 alcohol-dependent patients participating in a larger smoking study at an inner-city residential substance abuse treatment program, higher motivation to quit was associated with greater self-efficacy (Martin et al., 2006) while among 235 adults, self-efficacy about ability to quit predicted successful long-term abstinence among smokers in the general population (Garvey et al., 1992).

Despite the insights and practical strategies from psychological research, the dominant model of addiction focuses on brain mechanisms (Volkow et al., 2016). Heilig et al. (2021) argue for an 'intensified neuroscientific study of recovery' because the 'brain is the biological substrate from which both addiction and the capacity for behavior change arise' (p. 1715). Relapsing back into drug use has been explained in terms of changes in the brain as a result of prolonged drug use (Lynch et al., 2010). There have been calls for new research into medicines that could protect individuals from relapse, just as statins protect people from heart attacks (Nutt, 2014). This should be viewed alongside evidence that relapse risk can be treated using a range of psychotherapies (Hendershot et al., 2011). In a study of 38 heroin users, brief cognitive behavioural interventions improved confidence in ability to resist urges to use heroin compared to the control group receiving no intervention (Yen et al., 2004). Mindfulness-based relapse prevention (MBRP) was studied in 168 clients with alcohol or other drug use disorders and was found to be associated with significant reductions in self-reported craving during and following treatment (Witkiewitz et al., 2013). Combining psychosocial treatment with pharmacological interventions is associated with significantly improved treatment completion outcomes for opioid detoxification (Amato et al., 2011), but research in this field is weighted toward pharmacological treatments where dose and duration of medication can be standardized and evaluated in controlled trials (Veilleux et al., 2010).

The open letter criticising Jane Goodall concludes, 'addiction is a major public health issue worldwide, and requires and deserves close scientific scrutiny, some of which will require the use of animals'. The letter goes on to say that 'unfortunately, there is still a lot we do not know, including questions such as: Why are some individuals vulnerable to addiction and others not? Why does relapse after any kind of treatment occur at such phenomenally high rates? Why do drug abusers persist in seeking and taking substances that so clearly will lead to incarceration, poverty, even death?' (Rowlett et al., 2017). Rowlett and colleagues acknowledge Jane Goodall's expertise as a primatologist, based on her studies of primate behaviour, but do not accept her view that many aspects of addiction are already understood in humans. Jane Goodall may have, perhaps unwittingly, revealed that the answers to some of the questions posed by Rowlett and colleagues may already lie in human research.

## Acknowledgements

The author thank the reviewers for their helpful suggestions on earlier versions of the manuscript.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

## Funding

The author(s) reported there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

## References

- Amato, L., Minozzi, S., Davoli, M., & Vecchi, S., Cochrane Drugs and Alcohol Group. (2011). Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments versus pharmacological treatments for opioid detoxification. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 9: CD005031. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005031.pub4>
- Barash, D. (2000). Evolutionary existentialism, sociobiology, and the meaning of life. *BioScience*, 50(11), 1012–1017. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2000\)050\[1012:EESATM\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[1012:EESATM]2.0.CO;2)
- Berry, M. (2011). Amy Winehouse, Addiction, and the search for meaning in life <https://www.saybrook.edu/blog/2011/07/29/07-29-11/>
- Bien, T. H., Miller, W. R., & Tonigan, J. S. (1993). Brief interventions for alcohol problems: A review. *Addiction*, 88(3), 315–335. . PMID: 8461850. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1993.tb00820.x>
- Bradley, B. P., Gossop, M., Brewin, C. R., Phillips, G., & Green, L. (1992). Attributions and relapse in opiate addicts. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 60(3), 470–472. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.60.3.470>
- Chick, J., Lloyd, G., & Crombie, E. (1985). Counselling problem drinkers in medical wards: a controlled study. *BMJ*, 290(6473), 965–967. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.290.6473.965>
- Cohen, P., & Sas, A. (1994). Cocaine use in Amsterdam in non-deviant subcultures. *Addiction Research*, 2(1), 71–94. <https://doi.org/10.3109/16066359409005547>
- Copeland, J., Swift, W., Roffman, R., & Stephens, R. (2001). A randomized controlled trial of brief cognitive-behavioral interventions for cannabis use disorder. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 21(2), 55–64. Discussion 65–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-5472\(01\)00179-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0740-5472(01)00179-9). PMID: 11551733.
- Davies, J. D. (1997). *The myth of addiction*. (2nd ed.). Harwood Academic Publishers.
- de Wit, H., Epstein, D. H., & Preston, K.,L. (2018). Does human language limit translatability of clinical and preclinical addiction research? *Neuropsychopharmacology : Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, 43(10), 1985–1988. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0095-8>
- Dingle, G. A., Cruwys, T., & Frings, D. (2015). Social identities as pathways into and out of addiction. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 1795. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01795>
- Duke, J. C., Davis, K. C., Alexander, R. L., MacMonegle, A. J., Frazee, J. L., Rodes, R. M., & Beistle, D. M. (2015). Impact of a U.S. antismoking national media campaign on beliefs, cognitions and quit intentions. *Health Education Research*, 30(3), 466–483. <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyv017>
- Field, M., & Kersbergen, I. (2020). Are animal models of addiction useful? *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, 115(1), 6–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14764>
- Ford, D. (2019). What's the difference between psychoanalysis and CBT? <https://www.quora.com/What-s-the-difference-between-psychoanalysis-and-CBT>
- Freud, S. (1909). Five lectures on psychoanalysis. In *The Standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud*. Hogarth Press. Reprinted in (1995) *Five Lectures on Psycho-Analysis*. Penguin Books, London.
- Gardner, B., Lally, P., & Wardle, J. (2012). Making health habitual: the psychology of 'habit-formation' and general practice. *British Journal of General Practice*, 62(605), 664–666. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp12X659466>
- Garvey, A. J., Bliss, R. E., Hitchcock, J. L., Heinold, J. W., & Rosner, B. (1992). Predictors of smoking relapse among self-quitters: A report from the Normative Aging Study. *Addictive Behaviors*, 17(4), 367–377. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603\(92\)90042-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603(92)90042-T)
- Goodall, J. (2017). FDA Monkey Experiments Are "Taxpayer-Funded Torture" <https://blog.whitecoatwaste.org/2017/09/07/dr-jane-goodall-fda-monkey-experiments-are-torture/>
- Grech, G. (2021). An existential model of addiction. *European Psychiatry*, 64(S1), S495–S495. <https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.1323>
- Harland, P. (1999). Possession and desire: A deconstructivist approach to understanding and working with addictions. <https://www.cleanlanguage.co.uk/articles/97/1/Possession-and-Desire/Page1.html>
- Heather, N. (2017). Is the concept of compulsion useful in the explanation or description of addictive behaviour and experience? *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 6, 15–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2017.05.002>
- Heilig, M., MacKillop, J., Martinez, D., Rehm, J., Leggio, L., & Vanderschuren, L. J. M. J. (2021). Addiction as a brain disease revised: Why it still matters, and the need for consilience. *Neuropsychopharmacology : Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, 46(10), 1715–1723. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-020-00950-y>
- Hendershot, C. S., Witkiewitz, K., George, W. H., & Marlatt, G. A. (2011). Relapse prevention for addictive behaviors. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 6, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1747-597X-6-17>
- Heyman, G. M. (2009). *Addiction: A disorder of choice*. Harvard University Press.
- Holland, K. (2019). Ten defense mechanisms: what are they and how they help us cope <https://www.healthline.com/health/mental-health/defense-mechanisms#defense-mechanisms>
- Houck, J. M., Manuel, J. K., & Moyer, S. T. B. (2018). Short- and long-term effects of within-session client speech on drinking outcomes in the COMBINE study. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 79(2), 217–222. <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2018.79.217>
- Hyman, S. E., Malenka, R. C., & Nestler, E. J. (2006). Neural mechanisms of addiction: The role of reward-related learning and memory. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 29, 565–598. . PMID: 16776597. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.113009>
- Jalali, R., Moradi, A., Dehghan, F., Merzai, S., & Alikhani, M. (2019). The exploration of factors related to treatment retention in Narcotics Anonymous members: A qualitative study. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 14(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-019-0205-6>
- Kaplan, E. (1977). Implications of psychodynamics for therapy in heroin use: A borderline case 1977. In D. Jack Blaine & A. Demetrios Julius(Ed.), *Psychodynamics of drug dependence*. Division of Research National Institute on Drug Abuse. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration.
- Kottler, J., & Shepherd, D. (2015). *Introduction to counseling: Voices from the field: Eighth edition*. Cengage Learning.
- Kurti, A., & Dallery, J. (2012). Review of Heyman's addiction: A disorder of choice. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 45(1), 229–240. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2012.45-229>
- Lally, P., Chipperfield, A., & Wardle, J. (2008). Healthy habits: Efficacy of simple advice on weight control based on a habit-formation model. *International Journal of Obesity (Lond)*, 32(4), 700–707. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0803771>
- Leavitt, F. (1995). *Drugs and behaviour, 3rd ed*. Sage Publications.
- Lewis, S. (2005). Public health measures to reduce smoking prevalence in the UK: how many lives could be saved? *Tobacco Control*, 14(4), 251–254. <https://doi.org/10.1136/tc.2005.011064>
- Lynch, W. J., Nicholson, K. L., Dance, M. E., Morgan, R. W., & Foley, P. L. (2010). Animal models of substance abuse and addiction: Implications for science, animal welfare, and society. *Comparative Medicine*, 60(3), 177–188.
- Makari, G., & Shapiro, T. (1993). On psychoanalytic listening: Language and unconscious communication. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, 41(4), 991–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000306519304100404>

- Martin, R. A., Rohsenow, D. J., MacKinnon, S. V., Abrams, D. B., & Monti, P. M. (2006). Correlates of motivation to quit smoking among alcohol dependent patients in residential treatment. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 83(1), 73–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2005.10.013>
- Martínez-González, J. M., Vilar López, R., Becoña Iglesias, E., & Verdejo-García, A. (2016). Self-deception as a mechanism for the maintenance of drug addiction. *Psicothema*, 28(1), 13–19. . PMID: 26820418.) <https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2015.139>
- Mendes, E. (2014). The study that helped spur the US Stop-smoking movement. <https://www.cancer.org/latest-news/the-study-that-helped-spur-the-us-stop-smoking-movement.html>
- Moos, R. H. (2008). Active ingredients of substance use-focused self-help groups. *Addiction*, 103(3), 387–396. . PMID: 18269361 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2007.02111.x>
- Nutt, D. (2014). Philip Seymour Hoffman and the truth about curing addiction <https://www.theguardian.com/society/2014/feb/04/philip-seymour-hoffman-curing-addiction-david-nutt>
- Peele, S. (1998). *Meaning of addiction*. Jossey-Bass.
- Peele, S. (2004). The surprising truth about Addiction. *Psychology Today*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/articles/200405/the-surprising-truth-about-addiction>
- Pickard, H. (2012). The purpose in chronic addiction. *AJOB Neuroscience*, 3(2), 40–49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21507740.2012.663058>
- Ram, E. (2014). What makes someone relapse into addiction after rehabilitation and recovery? <https://12step.treatment.blogspot.com/2014/02/what-makes-someone-relapse-into.html>
- Rowlett, J., Henningfield, J., Picciotto, M., Thompson, T., France, C., Nader, M., Eissenberg, T., Ator, N., Spealman, R., Grant, K., Budney, A., Kalivas, P., Carroll, M., Stockmeier, C., Neisewander, J., Cain, M., Yao, W.-D., McMahon, L., . . . Jones, C. (2017). Jane Goodall and White Coat Waste are wrong about nicotine addiction research. <https://speakingofresearch.com/2017/09/22/jane-goodall-and-white-coat-waste-are-wrong-about-nicotine-addiction-research/>
- Russell, C., Davies, J. B., & Hunter, S. C. (2011). Predictors of addiction treatment providers' beliefs in the disease and choice models of addiction. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 40(2), 150–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2010.09.006>
- Saaristo, K. (2006). Addiction as a disease, identity and normality. In V. Kalitzkus & P. L. Twohig (Eds.), *The tapestry of health, illness and disease* (pp. 177–191). Brill Rodopi.
- Sartre, J.-P. (1956). *Being and nothingness* (Barnes H, Trans.). Philosophical Library.
- Schaler, J. (2000). *Addiction is a choice*. Open Court Publishers.
- Shiffman, S., Li, X., Dunbar, M. S., Ferguson, S. G., Tindle, H. A., & Scholl, S. M. (2015). Social smoking among intermittent smokers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 154, 184–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.06.027>
- Smith, M., & Smith, C. (2021). The Joshi Project. <https://joshiproject.org/>
- Sridharan, V., Shoda, Y., Heffner, J. L., & Bricker, J. (2019). Addiction Mindsets and Psychological Processes of Quitting Smoking. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 54(7), 1086–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2018.1555259>
- Stead, L. F., Buitrago, D., Preciado, N., Sanchez, G., Hartmann-Boyce, J., & Lancaster, T, Cochrane Tobacco Addiction Group. (2013). Physician advice for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000165.pub4>
- Steinert, C., Munder, T., Rabung, S., Hoyer, J., & Leichsenring, F. (2017). Psychodynamic therapy: As efficacious as other empirically supported treatments? A meta-analysis testing equivalence of outcomes. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 174(10), 943–953. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17010057>
- Stuart, K., Borland, R., & McMurray, N. (1994). Self-efficacy, health locus of control, and smoking cessation. *Addictive Behaviors*, 19(1), 1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603\(94\)90046-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4603(94)90046-9)
- Tommasello, A. C. (2004). Substance abuse and pharmacy practice: What the community pharmacist needs to know about drug abuse and dependence. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 1(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7517-1-3>
- Vederhus, J. K., Høie, M., & Birkeland, B. (2020). One size doesn't fit all: A thematic analysis of interviews with people who have stopped participating in Narcotics Anonymous in Norway. *Addiction Science & Clinical Practice*, 15(1), 18. Published 2020 May 24. . (p6) <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13722-020-00191-w>
- Veilleux, J. C., Colvin, P. J., Anderson, J., York, C., & Heinz, A. J. (2010). A review of opioid dependence treatment: Pharmacological and psychosocial interventions to treat opioid addiction. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30(2), 155–166. . Epub 2009 Oct 30. PMID: 19926374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.10.006>
- Volkow, N. D., Koob, G. F., & McLellan, A. T. (2016). Neurobiologic advances from the brain disease model of addiction. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 374(4), 363–371. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr1511480>
- Waldorf, D. (1983). Natural recovery from opiate addiction: Some social-psychological processes of untreated recovery. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 13(2), 237–280. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002204268301300205>
- Weegman, M. (2002). *Cohen psychodynamics of addiction*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Weisberg, D. S., Keil, F. C., Goodstein, J., Rawson, E., & Gray, J. R. (2008). The seductive allure of neuroscience explanations. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 20(3), 470–477. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2008.20040>
- Wiklund, L. (2008). Existential aspects of living with addiction – Part I: Meeting challenges. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 17(18), 2426–2434. . PMID: 18705722. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02356.x>
- Witkiewitz, K., Bowen, S., Douglas, H., & Hsu, S. H. (2013). Mindfulness-based relapse prevention for substance craving. *Addictive Behaviors*, 38(2), 1563–1571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.04.001>
- Woodruff, K. L. (2002). A Qualitative Analysis of the Epiphany Experiences of Chemically Dependent Women in Recovery. <https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/1067>
- Yen, C. F., Wu, H. Y., Yen, J. Y., & Ko, C. H. (2004). Effects of brief cognitive-behavioral interventions on confidence to resist the urges to use heroin and methamphetamine in relapse-related situations. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 192(11), 788–791. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.nmd.0000144699.80765.7f>
- Yu, B., Zhou, J., Gong, Y., Han, J., Dong, P., Yang, S., Liao, R., Guan, ., & Yang, S. (2020). Self-efficacy mediates perceived benefits and barriers of adherence of heroin-dependent patients to methadone for addiction treatment: A health belief model study. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 14(4), e110–e117. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000000640>
- Yuda, M. (2013). The impacts of recent smoking control policies on individual smoking choice: the case of Japan. *Health Economics Review*, 3(1)<https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-1991-3-4>