Research

Open Access

Water quality and immatures of the M and S forms of Anopheles gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis in a Malian village

Frances E Edillo^{*1,2}, Frederic Tripét^{3,4}, Yeya T Touré^{5,6}, Gregory C Lanzaro³, Guimogo Dolo⁵ and Charles E Taylor¹

Address: ¹Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California at Los Angeles, CA 90095-1606, USA, ²Harvard School of Public Health, Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Boston, MA 02115, USA, ³Vector Genetics Laboratory, Department of Entomology and Center for Vectorborne Diseases, University of California at Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA, ⁴Center for Applied Entomology and Parasitology, School of Life Sciences, Keele University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK, ⁵Malaria Research and Training Center, Département d'Epidémiologie des Affections Parasitaires, Faculté de Médecine de Pharmacie et d'Odonto-Stomatologie, Bamako, B.P. 1805, Mali and ⁶Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR), WHO, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland

Email: Frances E Edillo^{*} - fedillo@hsph.harvard.edu; Frederic Tripét - f.tripet@biol.keele.ac.uk; Yeya T Touré - tourey@who.int; Gregory C Lanzaro - gclanzaro@ucdavis.edu; Guimogo Dolo - dolo@mrtcbko.org; Charles E Taylor - taylor@biology.ucla.edu * Corresponding author

Published: 29 April 2006

Malaria Journal 2006, 5:35 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-5-35

This article is available from: http://www.malariajournal.com/content/5/1/35

© 2006 Edillo et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 26 December 2005

Accepted: 29 April 2006

Abstract

Introduction: The associations between the immatures of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. (Diptera: Culicidae), its M and S forms, and *Anopheles arabiensis* among and within larval breeding habitats in Banambani, Mali were investigated under varying conditions of water quality and rainfall. The intent was to elucidate on niche partitioning of these taxa.

Methods: Immatures of *An. arabiensis, An. gambiae* s.s., and its M and S forms were sampled every alternate day for a month in mid-rainy season from three sampling sites in each of the larval breeding habitats (rock pools, swamp, and puddles). Water quality was characterized by alkalinity, conductivity, dissolved oxygen (D.O.), nitrate, orthophosphate, pH, temperature, total dissolved solids (TDS), and turbidity. A type 3 analysis of the GENMOD model was used to examine the associations between the proportional frequencies of young (first and second instar larvae) and old (third and fourth instar larvae and pupae) or total immatures of species or forms among sampling sites within and among larval breeding habitats during a category of rainfall as influenced by water quality.

Results: Of the 4,174 immatures sampled, 1,300 were molecularly identified to species and forms. Significant association between the proportional frequencies of young larvae of *An. arabiensis, An. gambiae* s.s., its M and S forms was found among sampling sites within habitats but not among larval breeding habitats. The proportional frequencies of young larvae of M and S forms varied daily perhaps due to recruitment, mortality, and dispersal within habitats. Conductivity and TDS had significant effects when the proportional frequencies of young larvae of M and S forms among sampling sites within habitats were significantly associated. Alkalinity, D.O., orthophosphate, pH, nitrate, temperature and turbidity had no effects on niche partitioning of species and forms among sampling sites within habitats. Rainfall did not affect the frequencies of these immatures.

Conclusion: Conductivity and TDS have significant effects on niche partitioning of young larvae of M and S forms among sampling sites within habitats in Banambani, Mali.

Background

The genetic structure of the Anopheles gambiae s.l. complex is thought to have enabled members of this complex species to occupy different habitats [1-5]. The two principal vectors of malaria in this group, An. gambiae s.s. and Anopheles. arabiensis, are broadly sympatric but there are areas where only one or the other may be found [6]. Macrogeographic studies of habitat usage across sub-Saharan Africa generally find that An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis differ principally along axes of warm-cool and dry-wet, though there is more to differences than just climate [7-11]. Less is known about macrogeographic differences across sub-Saharan Africa in habitat usage among chromosomal or molecular forms of An. gambiae s.s. though Touré et al. [12] reported that they exist. The S form is distributed from 13°W to 50°E and is the only form recorded mainly in the east of Great Rift Valley, whereas the M form seemingly shows a greater distribution from 16°N to 16°S and is the only form found in savannah areas of northern Senegal and in the desert borders of southern Angola [13]. In west Africa, the M form seems able to exploit man-made habitats that are otherwise available only to An. arabiensis in dry areas [5].

Much less is known about microgeographic differences in habitat usage by An. arabiensis, An. gambiae s.s., and its M and S forms. The location of larvae in a habitat is due to selection of oviposition site by gravid females, and numbers available for sampling depend upon larval dispersal and survival. In Mali, An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis often coexist together, and each occupies a variety of habitats. Charlwood and Edoh [10] observed differences between the larval distribution of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis based on distance from adult feeding sources in Tanzania. Minakawa et al. [11] observed that the distance to the nearest house and types of soil substrate were significantly associated with the relative larval abundance of An. gambiae in Kenya, but were unable to detect any significant association between their occurrence and larval habitat variables. Gimnig et al. [14] reported that An. gambiae s.l. was associated with turbid water, algae, the absence of emergent vegetation, and small habitats. In laboratory studies, McCrae [15] observed that An. gambiae s.l. laid more eggs in petri dishes with turbid water from a natural site than in distilled or tap water. Gimnig et al. [16] found that adequate food such as algae, bacterial composition and nitrogen were important regulators of larval growth of An. gambiae. These studies were directed at understanding species-level differences in habitat usage within the An. gambiae complex, and did not attempt to distinguish the habitat usage of the chromosomal or molecular forms within An. gambiae s.s.

In an earlier study [17], habitat differences between species (An. arabiensis vs. An. gambiae s.s.) and between

molecular forms (M vs. S) of An. gambiae s.s. were explored in Banambani, Mali. Differences were greater between species than between the M and S forms among the larval breeding habitats (rock pools, the swamp, and puddles). The larval abundance of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis differed significantly among habitats. Differences between the immatures of the M and S forms were found among habitats in Banambani, Mali in 1999 when rainfall was high, but not during the two drier sampling periods in 1997–1998. In Burkina Faso, the M form larvae occurred at a higher relative frequency than the S form in man-made or more permanent habitats (e.g. irrigated areas), whereas the S form larvae were more prevalent than the M form in more natural sites (e.g. rain-dependent pools) [5,13,18,19]. Spatial segregation between the M and S forms was not influenced by their differences in exploiting the physicochemical conditions of their larval breeding sites [20]. Ayala and Coluzzi [21] predict that there must be specialization of the different forms to separate niches prior to complete reproductive isolation.

In the current study, the strength of associations between the proportional frequencies of the immatures of An. arabiensis and An. gambiae s.s., or its M and S forms among the larval breeding habitats (rock pools, the swamp, and puddles), or among sampling sites within a habitat in Banambani, Mali was examined under varying conditions of water quality, and rainfall during a mid-rainy season. The strength of associations between the young (first and second instar larvae) and old (third and fourth instar larvae and pupae) or total immatures of either species or forms within and among habitats was also determined as influenced by each of the physicochemical variables of water. This was motivated, in part, by differential mortality among life stages of An. gambiae s.l. in different aquatic habitats [22]. The intent was to shed further light on ecological niche partitioning that had been observed earlier.

Materials and methods

Larval breeding habitats and sampling sites within habitats Banambani village, the study site, is about 20 km northeast of Bamako, in the Northern Sudan Savanna of Mali, West Africa (12° 48' N and 8° 03' W). For a more detailed description and pictures, see Edillo et al. [17,23]; Touré et al. [12,24]; Touré [25]. An updated map of Banambani village, indicating locations of the larval breeding habitats and sampling sites within each habitat, is shown in Figure 1. Larval breeding habitats around Banambani have been monitored since 1997 [17,23]. For this study, three sampling sites were established within each habitat for regular collection of immatures and monitoring of water quality. The fieldwork was conducted during the rainy season, 28 July – 25 August, 2000. The weather data during this period were: 237.2 mm of total rainfall in 15.8 h and $27.3 \degree$ C ± 0.65 mean monthly temperature \pm S.E.



Figure I

An updated map of Banambani village, Mali during the rainy season of 2000. Encircled numbers are the three sampling sites in each of the larval breeding habitats (rock pools, swamp, and puddles). Shaded circles are the compounds where villagers live in huts.

Sampling of immatures

Larvae and pupae of *An. gambiae* s.l. were sampled every alternate day from 28 July to 25 August, 2000 whenever weather permitted. A sampling consisted of 60 dips (350 ml) made using a standard aquatic larval dipper from near the edges of each sampling site within each habitat. Immatures were stored in plastic bags containing water from the sampling site and brought to the laboratory in Bamako on the same day they were collected, where they were individually placed dry into 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes and were stored at -80°C until processed for DNA extraction.

PCR

An. gambiae s.s. was distinguished from An. arabiensis by the polymerase chain reaction-based diagnostic of Scott *et al.* [26]. Larvae and pupae samples were randomly chosen from each sampling site within each habitat on each sampling day. DNA was extracted following a procedure modified from that of Lanzaro *et al.* [27] and described in Edillo *et al.* [17]. DNA templates were amplified with primers specific for each sibling species as described by Scott *et al.* [26]. Aliquots of randomly selected individuals identified as An. gambiae s.s. were used to separate M from S molecular forms following the PCR-based diagnostic of Favia *et al.* [28].

Water quality within each habitat

The physicochemical properties of water samples from which immatures of An. gambiae s.l. were sampled from the edge of each sampling site within each habitat were measured between 0900-1200 h with a LaMotte water chemistry kit (LaMotte Company, Chestertown, MD) following procedures described in The Monitor's Handbook [29]. Measurements of conductivity, dissolved oxygen (D.O.), nitrate (NO₃), orthophosphate, pH, total alkalinity, total dissolved solids (TDS), turbidity, and water surface temperature are described in Edillo et al. [23]. Concentrations of D.O. (ppm), nitrate (ppm), orthophosphate (ppm), and total alkalinity (ppm) were measured weekly; turbidity expressed in Joules turbidity unit (JTU) was monitored biweekly; conductivity (umhos/cm) and TDS (ppm) were determined every other sampling day during the second and fourth week of the fieldwork. Water temperatures (°C) and pH at the edge of each sampling site were determined at each sampling day. The mean ± S.E. of all repeated measurements of each physicochemical property of water in each larval breeding habitat or sampling sites within each habitat were calculated.

Rainfall

Prior studies had indicated that rainfall seems to be a critical feature of habitat differences, especially between the molecular forms of *An. gambiae* s.s. [17]. Heavy rainfalls



Figure 2

Distribution of the M forms and An. arabiensis (young and old immatures) sampled from three sampling sites in rock pools (Rp), swamp (Sw), and puddles (Pd) in Banambani village, Mali.

over a short period may create new pools and flush larvae out of some sites, moderate rains distributed over the wet season probably prolong the life of temporary pools and facilitate more eggs and larvae to mature [30]. Thus, the count data of mosquito immatures were divided into three categories of rainfall [23]: 1) samples collected one day after a heavy rainfall, 2) after a moderate rainfall, and 3) no rain for at least two days.

Data analysis

The count data for species (An. gambiae s.s. vs. An. arabiensis) and forms of An. gambiae s.s. (M vs. S) were divided into three groups: 1) young larvae made up of first and second instar larvae, 2) old immatures composed of third and fourth instar larvae and pupae, and 3) total immatures. The strength of association between the species or forms (the response) and larval breeding habitats or sampling sites within a habitat in each day's sampling and during a category of rainfall (the predictors) was examined by repeated measures logit model using a type 3 analysis of the GENMOD procedure [31,32]. This test computes the likelihood ratio statistics for the overall main effects of predictors on the proportional frequencies of these groups of immatures, and ensures that results do not depend on the order specified in the model. The model does not treat the absence or presence of either species or forms. However, it calculates the ratio of events/trials, where event is the response of interest (e.g., number of young vs. old immatures of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis, or of the M and S forms), and trials is the total number of possible events (e.g., total number of young and old immatures of species, or of forms). Because the data are nested (i.e., in each of the 14 collection days,

there are data from three sites in each of the three habitats), covariance-variance structure in the *repeated* statement of the model is incorporated to correct for potential problem of correlated data points.

The strength of association between each physicochemical property of water, to avoid multicollinearity, and the proportional frequencies of *An. gambiae* s.s. and *An. arabiensis*, or the M and S forms (young, old and total immatures) among larval breeding habitats and sampling sites within a habitat, and during a category of rainfall was further examined by using the above model. For analyses that showed insignificant effect of a physicochemical property of water but had significant association between the proportional frequencies of species or forms among sampling sites within habitats but not among larval breeding habitats, the GENMOD model was modified by eliminating the habitat effect.

Results

Physicochemical characteristics of water in larval breeding habitats and sampling sites within each habitat

The mean physicochemical characteristics of water among the sampling sites within each habitat are shown in Table 1. Turbidity varied significantly among sampling sites within each habitat (F = 12.82, df = 2, P < 0.01) but not among larval breeding habitats (F = 1.71, df = 2, P > 0.05). Water was relatively turbid (265 ± 65 JTU) among sampling sites in the swamp, and clear or slightly turbid $(30 \pm$ 5 JTU) in rock pools. The three puddle sites varied in their turbidity from clear (20 ± 5 JTU) to turbid (105 ± 5 JTU) or very turbid (330 ± 30 JTU). Conductivity and TDS varied among the three puddle sites and among two sampling sites in the swamp and rock pools. Immatures were collected in water with pH values ranging from slightly acidic to basic (Table 1). All puddles, rock pools, and sampling site 3 of the swamp were exposed to the sun, whereas sampling sites 1 and 2 of the swamp were partly shaded by surrounding trees.

The association between species and larval breeding habitats, or sampling sites within a habitat

Overall, 1,300 of the 4,174 *An. gambiae* s.l. immatures collected were randomly selected from each sampling site in each sampling day within a habitat and processed for species identification by PCR. Of these, 960 (74 %) samples were identified (351 in rock pools, 297 in the swamp, and 312 in puddles); 340 (26 %) specimens could not be diagnosed because of poor DNA samples. The 920 immatures identified were further processed as *An. gambiae* s.s. for M and S forms.

Immatures of *An. gambiae* s.s. were more numerous than *An. arabiensis* among all sampling sites in rock pools, the swamp and puddles where they were sympatric (Figures 2,

Habitat - Site	Alkalinity (ppm)	Conductivity (µmhos/cm)	D.O. (ppm)	Ortho-phosphate (ppm)	PH
Rockpools					
· ·	23.75 ±1.9 (18 – 38)	55.7 ± 19.7 (36 – 75.4)	8.34± 0.49 (7.2 – 10)	0.05 ± 0^{a}	7.3 ± 0.17 (6.8 – 8.9)
2	20.13 ±0.8 (16 – 28)	54.1 ± 14.1 (40 – 68.2)	7.6 ± 0.6 (6.3 – 9.8)	0.05 ± 0^{a}	7.3 ± 0.14 (6.9 – 8.8)
3	18 ± 2.12 (12 – 21)	32 ± 4 (28 – 36)	7.3 ± 0.46 (6.4 – 8.5)	0.05 ± 0^{a}	7.2 ± 0.16 (6.9 - 8.9)
Swamp					
I	21.5 ± 3.6 (16 – 32)	59.8 ± 4.2 (55.7 – 64)	6.64 ± 0.7 (5.5 – 8.25)	0.045 ± 0 (0.03 - 0.05)	6.9 ± 0.06 (6.9 – 7.8)
2	31 ± 4.4 (20 – 40)	59.1 ± 1.1 (58 – 60.2)	6.67 ± 0.4 (5.8 – 7.75)	0.048 ± 0 (0.04 – 0.05)	7.1 ± 0.09 (6.8 – 7.8)
3	23.75± 3.8 (18 – 35)	66.6 ± 11.4 (55.2 – 78)	5.7 ± 0.65 (5.5 – 7)	0.048 ± 0 (0.04 – 0.05)	7.05± 0.07 (6.8 – 7.5)
Puddles					
I	24 ±1.4 (20 – 26)	40.75 ± 3.75 (37 – 44.5)	7.16 ± 0.3 (6.95 – 7.9)	0.048 ± 0 (0.04 – 0.05)	6.96± 0.09 (6.5 – 8.2)
2	21.5 ± 2.2 (16 – 24)	61.25 ± 26.2 (35 - 87.42)	7.45 ± 0.6 (6.8 – 9.1)	0.05 ± 0 (0.05 - 0.06)	6.9 ± 0.03 (6.7 – 7.2)
3	22.7 ± 4.8 (16 – 32)	35.5 ± 2.5 (33 – 38)	6.87 ± 0.2 (6.7 – 7.2)	0.07 ±0.02 (0.05 - 0.1)	6.9 ± 0.03 (6.9 – 7)
Habitat – Site	NO ₃ (ppm)	Temp. (°C)	Turbidity (JTU)	TDS (ppm)	
Habitat – Site	NO ₃ (ppm)	Temp. (°C)	Turbidity (JTU)	TDS (ppm)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0ª	Temp. (°C) 28.02 ± 0.74 (23.6 – 31.5)	Turbidity (JTU) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35)	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0 ^a 2.2 ± 0 ^a	Temp. (°C) 28.02 ± 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5) 27.52 ± 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8)	Turbidity (JTU) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35)	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a}	Temp. (°C) 28.02 ± 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5) 27.52 ± 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8) 28.12 ± 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3)	Turbidity (JTU) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35)	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7) 21.44 ± 4 (18.8 – 24.1)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3 Swamp	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a}	Temp. (°C) 28.02 ± 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5) 27.52 ± 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8) 28.12 ± 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3)	Turbidity (JTU) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35) 30 ± 5 (25 – 35)	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7) 21.44 ± 4 (18.8 – 24.1)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3 Swamp I	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 \pm 0 ^a 2.2 \pm 0 ^a 2.2 \pm 0 ^a 2.2 \pm 0 ^a	Temp. (°C) 28.02 ± 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5) 27.52 ± 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8) 28.12 ± 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3) 25.48 ± 0.69 (23 - 30.3)	Turbidity (JTU) 30 ± 5 (25 - 35) 30 ± 5 (25 - 35) 30 ± 5 (25 - 35) 265 ± 65 (200 - 330)	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7) 21.44 ± 4 (18.8 – 24.1) 40.07 ± 4.2 (37.3 – 42.9)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3 Swamp I 2	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} $2.75 \pm 0.6 (2.2 - 4.4)$	Temp. (°C) $28.02 \pm 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5)$ $27.52 \pm 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8)$ $28.12 \pm 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3)$ $25.48 \pm 0.69 (23 - 30.3)$ $27.19 \pm 0.82 (20 - 33.5)$	Turbidity (JTU) $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7) 21.44 ± 4 (18.8 – 24.1) 40.07 ± 4.2 (37.3 – 42.9) 39.6 ± 1.1 (36.9 – 40.3)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3 Swamp I 2 3	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} $2.75 \pm 0.6 (2.2 - 4.4)$ $3.85 \pm 1.7 (2.2 - 8.8)$	Temp. (°C) $28.02 \pm 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5)$ $27.52 \pm 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8)$ $28.12 \pm 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3)$ $25.48 \pm 0.69 (23 - 30.3)$ $27.19 \pm 0.82 (20 - 33.5)$ $28.35 \pm 1.05 (23 - 33.5)$	Turbidity (JTU) $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7) 21.44 ± 4 (18.8 – 24.1) 40.07 ± 4.2 (37.3 – 42.9) 39.6 ± 1.1 (36.9 – 40.3) 44.62 ± 11.4 (38.9 – 52.3)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3 Swamp I 2 3 Puddles	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} $2.75 \pm 0.6 (2.2 - 4.4)$ $3.85 \pm 1.7 (2.2 - 8.8)$	Temp. (°C) $28.02 \pm 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5)$ $27.52 \pm 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8)$ $28.12 \pm 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3)$ $25.48 \pm 0.69 (23 - 30.3)$ $27.19 \pm 0.82 (20 - 33.5)$ $28.35 \pm 1.05 (23 - 33.5)$	Turbidity (JTU) $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7) 21.44 ± 4 (18.8 – 24.1) 40.07 ± 4.2 (37.3 – 42.9) 39.6 ± 1.1 (36.9 – 40.3) 44.62 ± 11.4 (38.9 – 52.3)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3 Swamp I 2 3 Puddles I	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} $2.75 \pm 0.6 (2.2 - 4.4)$ $3.85 \pm 1.7 (2.2 - 8.8)$ $2.75 \pm 0.6 (2.2 - 4.4)$	Temp. (°C) $28.02 \pm 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5)$ $27.52 \pm 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8)$ $28.12 \pm 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3)$ $25.48 \pm 0.69 (23 - 30.3)$ $27.19 \pm 0.82 (20 - 33.5)$ $28.35 \pm 1.05 (23 - 33.5)$ $27.4 \pm 0.81 (21.2 - 33.9)$	Turbidity (JTU) $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $105 \pm 5 (100 - 110)$	TDS (ppm) 37.32 ± 19.7 (24.1 – 50.5) 36.25 ± 14.1 (26.8 – 45.7) 21.44 ± 4 (18.8 – 24.1) 40.07 ± 4.2 (37.3 – 42.9) 39.6 ± 1.1 (36.9 – 40.3) 44.62 ± 11.4 (38.9 – 52.3) 27.3 ± 3.75 (24.8 – 29.8)	
Habitat – Site Rockpools I 2 3 Swamp I 2 3 Puddles I 2	NO ₃ (ppm) 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} 2.2 ± 0^{a} $2.75 \pm 0.6 (2.2 - 4.4)$ $3.85 \pm 1.7 (2.2 - 8.8)$ $2.75 \pm 0.6 (2.2 - 4.4)$ $2.2 \pm 0.9 (0 - 4.4)$	Temp. (°C) $28.02 \pm 0.74 (23.6 - 31.5)$ $27.52 \pm 0.93 (23.5 - 36.8)$ $28.12 \pm 1.05 (23.5 - 37.3)$ $25.48 \pm 0.69 (23 - 30.3)$ $27.19 \pm 0.82 (20 - 33.5)$ $28.35 \pm 1.05 (23 - 33.5)$ $27.4 \pm 0.81 (21.2 - 33.9)$ $29.56 \pm 1.96 (22.1 - 60.1)$	Turbidity (JTU) $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $30 \pm 5 (25 - 35)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $265 \pm 65 (200 - 330)$ $105 \pm 5 (100 - 110)$ $20 \pm 5 (15 - 25)$	TDS (ppm) $37.32 \pm 19.7 (24.1 - 50.5)$ $36.25 \pm 14.1 (26.8 - 45.7)$ $21.44 \pm 4 (18.8 - 24.1)$ $40.07 \pm 4.2 (37.3 - 42.9)$ $39.6 \pm 1.1 (36.9 - 40.3)$ $44.62 \pm 11.4 (38.9 - 52.3)$ $27.3 \pm 3.75 (24.8 - 29.8)$ $41.04 \pm 26.2 (23.45 - 58.6)$	

Table I: Mean (± S.E.) and range (enclosed in parentheses) of physicochemical characteristics of water samples in sampling sites within a habitat in Banambani

^a Values were the same in all measurements

3). The strength of association between the species and the larval breeding habitats or sampling sites within a habitat was examined by using a type 3 analysis of the GENMOD model. This model incorporates a covariancevariance structure and calculates the association between the proportional frequencies of either An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis (young and old or total immatures) among larval breeding habitats, and sampling sites within a habitat in each day's sampling and during a category of rainfall. Significant association was found between the proportional frequencies of young larvae of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis among sampling sites within each habitat (P < 0.05) but not among larval breeding habitats (P > 0.05) (Table 2). Old and total immatures of either species were similar in their proportional frequencies (P >0.05) among rock pools, swamp, and puddles. Rainfall and day's sampling did not affect the relative frequencies of these immatures (P > 0.05).

The association of the M vs. S forms and larval breeding habitats, or sampling sites within a habitat

S form immatures were more abundant than the M forms among habitats during the rainy season (Figures 2, 3). Both the M and S forms were most abundant in rock

pools. The M forms were least abundant in puddles; the S forms, in the swamp. Analyzing the data as above, significant association was found between the proportional frequencies of young larvae of the M and S forms among sampling sites within a habitat (P < 0.05) but not among larval breeding habitats (P > 0.05)(Table 3). The proportional frequencies of the total immatures of the M and S forms among habitats had a borderline significance (P =0.05), indicating that they were similar there. The proportional frequencies of young larvae of the M and S forms varied daily (P < 0.05), perhaps due to intermittent recruitment combined with high mortality and dispersal within habitats; old immatures were relatively constant (P > 0.05). Overall, rainfall did not affect (P > 0.05) the proportional frequencies of the immatures of the M and S forms.

Water quality and the proportional frequencies of species The strength of association between each of the nine physicochemical properties of water and the proportional frequencies of *An. gambiae* s.s. and *An. arabiensis* among the larval breeding habitats or sampling sites within each habitat during a category of rainfall was examined separately by using the same model as described above (Table 4).



Figure 3



Significant χ^2 value (P < 0.05) was observed between the young larvae of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis and sampling sites within habitats but not among larval breeding habitats when conductivity, orthophosphate, pH and TDS had no significant effects (P > 0.05). The strength of association between each of these four physicochemical properties of water and proportional frequencies of species among sampling sites within each habitat was further examined by using a modified GENMOD model by eliminating the habitat effect (Table 6). Consequently, no significant effects of conductivity, orthophosphate, pH and TDS (P > 0.05) were observed when young larvae of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis (P < 0.05) were significantly associated among sampling sites within each of the larval breeding habitats. This suggested that chance or seemingly other external factors, unique in each site, influenced their association.

The old immatures of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis appeared very tolerant to the temporal changes of all physicochemical variables measured (P > 0.05) (Table 4). Significant association between the proportional frequen-

Table 2: Main effects of larval breeding habitat, sampling site within each habitat, rainfall, and day on the proportional frequencies of the immatures of *An. gambiae* s.s. and *An. arabiensis* in Banambani

Source	df	Young		Old		Total	
		χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р
Habitat	2	0.22	0.89	0.15	0.93	0.66	0.72
Site within a habitat	2	7.69	0.02*	2.41	0.29	5.53	0.06
Category of rainfall	2	0.03	0.98	1.07	0.59	2.19	0.34
Day	Т	1.37	0.24	0.33	0.57	0.01	0.92

* P < 0.05

Table 3: Main effects of larval breeding habitat, sampling site within a habitat, rainfall and day on the proportional frequencies of the immatures of the M and S forms in Banambani

df	Young		Old		Total	
	χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р
2	5.49	0.06	1.96	0.38	5.99	0.05
2	7.00	0.03*	5.57	0.06	1.91	0.38
2	4.29	0.12	2.57	0.28	4.66	0.09
Ι	4.83	0.03*	0.34	0.56	3.84	0.05
	df 2 2 2 1	$\begin{array}{c} df & Yc \\ \chi^2 \\ 2 & 5.49 \\ 2 & 7.00 \\ 2 & 4.29 \\ 1 & 4.83 \end{array}$	df Young χ² P 2 5.49 0.06 2 7.00 0.03* 2 4.29 0.12 1 4.83 0.03*	$\begin{array}{c cccc} df & Young & O \\ \hline \chi^2 & P & \chi^2 \\ 2 & 5.49 & 0.06 & 1.96 \\ 2 & 7.00 & 0.03^* & 5.57 \\ 2 & 4.29 & 0.12 & 2.57 \\ 1 & 4.83 & 0.03^* & 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	dfYoungOldTc χ^2 P χ^2 P χ^2 25.490.061.960.385.9927.000.03*5.570.061.9124.290.122.570.284.6614.830.03*0.340.563.84

* P < 0.05

cies of the total An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis among sampling sites within habitats (P = 0.049) was found when conductivity had no significant effect (P > 0.05). Further analysis (Table 6) revealed that conductivity had no significant effect on the proportional frequencies of these sibling species among sampling sites within habitats, and that chance or seemingly other external factors, unique in each site, influenced their relative frequencies. Overall, rainfall did not have any effect (P > 0.05).

Water quality and the proportional frequencies of the M and S forms

Analyzing the data as above (Table 5), significant association between the proportional frequencies of young larvae of the M and S forms of An. gambiae s.s. among sampling sites within each habitat (P < 0.05) but not among larval breeding habitats (P > 0.05) was observed when alkalinity, conductivity, D.O., temperature, and TDS had no significant effects. The strength of association between each of these five physicochemical properties of water and proportional frequencies of molecular forms among sampling sites within each habitat was further examined by eliminating the habitat effect in the GENMOD model as described above (Table 7). Interestingly, conductivity and TDS had significant effects (P < 0.05) when the proportional frequencies of young larvae of the M and S forms among sampling sites within habitats were significantly associated (P < 0.05).

Older larvae and pupae of the M and S forms appeared more resilient to most of the water properties (P > 0.05) except for pH. A significant association was observed between the proportional frequencies of old immatures of the M and S forms among sampling sites within habitats (P < 0.04) when pH had no significant effect (P > 0.05) (Tables 5, 7).

Discussion

A combination of factors may have contributed to the relative frequency patterns of the immatures of *An. gambiae* s.s., its M and S forms, and *An. arabiensis* observed at Banambani village, Mali: 1) water quality within habitats in the presence of seemingly other external factors, 2) ovipo-

Table 4: Associations between the proportional frequencies of
the immatures of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis among
sampling sites within a habitat and among larval breeding
habitats as influenced by each physicochemical property of water
during a category of rainfall in Banambani

Table 5: Associations between the proportional frequencies of the immatures of the M and S forms among sampling sites within a habitat, or among larval breeding habitats as influenced by each physicochemical property of water during a category of rainfall in Banambani

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Source
Alkalinity I - - 0.70 0.404 0.45 0.503 Habitat 2 0.46 0.796 0.27 0.873 Site within a habitat 2 3.08 0.215 5.54 0.063 Category of rainfall 2 1.28 0.527 2.35 0.309 Conductivity 1 1.72 0.189 0.11 0.743 0.03 0.872 Habitat 2 2.68 0.262 0.01 0.993 0.28 0.869 Site within a habitat 2 7.63 0.022* 2.94 0.231 6.05 0.049* Category of rainfall 2 1.70 0.427 1.32 0.518 2.59 0.273 Dissolved oxygen 1 - - 1.00 0.317 1.75 0.186 Habitat 2 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	
Habitat 2 0.46 0.796 0.27 0.873 Site within a habitat 2 3.08 0.215 5.54 0.063 Category of rainfall 2 1.28 0.527 2.35 0.309 Conductivity 1 1.72 0.189 0.11 0.743 0.03 0.872 Habitat 2 2.68 0.262 0.01 0.993 0.28 0.869 Site within a habitat 2 7.63 0.022* 2.94 0.211 6.05 0.049* Category of rainfall 2 1.70 0.427 1.32 0.518 2.59 0.273 Dissolved oxygen 1 - - 1.00 0.317 1.75 0.186 Habitat 2 - - 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Alkalinity
Site within a habitat Category of rainfall 2 3.08 0.215 5.54 0.063 Conductivity 1 1.72 0.189 0.11 0.743 0.03 0.872 Habitat 2 2.68 0.262 0.01 0.993 0.28 0.869 Site within a habitat 2 7.63 0.022* 2.94 0.231 6.05 0.049* Category of rainfall 2 1.70 0.427 1.32 0.518 2.59 0.273 Dissolved oxygen 1 - - 1.00 0.317 1.75 0.186 Habitat 2 - 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Habitat
Category of rainfall 2 I.28 0.527 2.35 0.309 Conductivity I I.72 0.189 0.11 0.743 0.03 0.872 Habitat 2 2.68 0.262 0.01 0.993 0.28 0.869 Site within a habitat 2 7.63 0.022* 2.94 0.231 6.05 0.049* Category of rainfall 2 I.70 0.427 I.32 0.518 2.59 0.273 Dissolved oxygen I - - I.00 0.317 I.75 0.186 Habitat 2 - 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Site within a habitat
Conductivity I I.72 0.189 0.11 0.743 0.03 0.872 Habitat 2 2.68 0.262 0.01 0.993 0.28 0.869 Site within a habitat 2 7.63 0.022* 2.94 0.231 6.05 0.049* Category of rainfall 2 1.70 0.427 1.32 0.518 2.59 0.273 Dissolved oxygen 1 - - 1.00 0.317 1.75 0.186 Habitat 2 - - 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Category of rainfall
Habitat 2 2.68 0.262 0.01 0.993 0.28 0.869 Site within a habitat 2 7.63 0.022* 2.94 0.231 6.05 0.049* Category of rainfall 2 1.70 0.427 1.32 0.518 2.59 0.273 Dissolved oxygen 1 - - 1.00 0.317 1.75 0.186 Habitat 2 - - 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Conductivity
Site within a habitat Category of rainfall 2 7.63 0.022* 2.94 0.231 6.05 0.049* Dissolved oxygen Habitat 1 - - 1.00 0.317 1.75 0.186	Habitat
Category of rainfall 2 1.70 0.427 1.32 0.518 2.59 0.273 Dissolved oxygen Habitat I - - 1.00 0.317 1.75 0.186 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Site within a habitat
Dissolved oxygen I - - I.00 0.317 I.75 0.186 Habitat 2 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Category of rainfall
Habitat 2 0.87 0.648 4.08 0.129	Dissolved oxygen
	Habitat
Site within a habitat 2 3.46 0.177 5.83 0.054	Site within a habitat
Category of rainfall 2 1.49 0.474 2.44 0.295	Category of rainfall
Nitrate 0.27 0.602 0.9 0.339	Nitrate
Habitat 2 0.11 0.946 1.28 0.526	Habitat
Site within a habitat 2 2.20 0.333 4.91 0.086	Site within a habitat
Category of rainfall 2 125 0.536 1.89 0.389	Category of rainfall
Orthophosphate I I.33 0.249 2.34 0.126 2.00 0.157	Orthophosphate
Habitat 2 3.21 0.201 1.58 0.454 4.80 0.091	Habitat
Site within a habitat 2 8.24 0.016* 0.88 0.645 4.38 0.112	Site within a habitat
Category of rainfall 2 0.68 0.713 1.38 0.501 2.48 0.289	Category of rainfall
pH I 0.34 0.559 2.38 0.123 3.20 0.074	pН
Habitat 2 1.08 0.583 0.30 0.861 1.34 0.511	Habitat
Site within a habitat 2 7.71 0.021* 1.80 0.406 4.81 0.090	Site within a habitat
Category of rainfall 2 0.54 0.762 1.22 0.543 2.86 0.239	Category of rainfall
Temperature I I.14 0.287 3.47 0.063	Temperature
Habitat 2 0.40 0.817 2.65 0.265	Habitat
Site within a habitat 2 1.58 0.454 5.90 0.052	Site within a habitat
Category of rainfall 2 1.44 0.486 4.38 0.112	Category of rainfall
TDS I 2.00 0.157 0.24 0.626 0.02 0.895	TDS
Habitat 2 2.93 0.231 0.05 0.977 0.33 0.849	Habitat
Site within a habitat 2 7.61 0.022* 3.17 0.205 5.77 0.056	Site within a habitat
Category of rainfall 2 1.98 0.371 1.31 0.521 2.63 0.268	Category of rainfall
Turbidity 2.27 0.132 2.57 0.109	Turbidity
Habitat 2 2.10 0.350 1.71 0.425	Habitat
Site within a habitat 2 0.26 0.878 2.91 0.234	Site within a habitat
Category of rainfall 2 1.61 0.448 2.65 0.266	Category of rainfall

* *P* < 0.05, "-" not applicable

sition patterns, and 3) differential survivorship among species and forms.

Water quality and other factors. Conductivity and TDS have significant effects when the proportional frequencies of

Source	df	Ye	oung	Old		Total	
		χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р	χ²	Р
Alkalinity	I	3.34	0.068	0.22	0.636	2.64	0.104
Habitat	2	4.59	0.101	2.39	0.303	4.39	0.111
Site within a habitat	2	6.52	0.038*	5.61	0.060	3.50	0.174
Category of rainfall	2	4.38	0.112	2.73	0.255	4.43	0.109
Conductivity	I	1.59	0.208	0.60	0.438	0.06	0.812
Habitat	2	3.20	0.202	2.82	0.244	3.55	0.169
Site within a habitat	2	6.51	0.039*	5.29	0.071	1.35	0.508
Category of rainfall	2	3.01	0.222	2.47	0.291	3.79	0.812
Dissolved oxygen	I	0.59	0.443	0.03	0.872	0.85	0.357
Habitat	2	5.02	0.081	2.14	0.343	5.28	0.072
Site within a habitat	2	6.31	0.043*	5.69	0.058	1.79	0.409
Category of rainfall	2	3.45	0.179	2.54	0.280	3.97	0.137
Nitrate	I	I.05	0.305	0.56	0.455	0.01	0.906
Habitat	2	5.48	0.065	2.44	0.295	5.02	0.081
Site within a habitat	2	5.77	0.056	5.28	0.071	1.22	0.543
Category of rainfall	2	5.28	0.071	2.23	0.327	3.77	0.152
Orthophosphate	I	-	-	0.26	0.610	-	-
Habitat	2			2.32	0.314		
Site within a habitat	2			5.05	0.080		
Category of rainfall	2			2.58	0.275		
рН	I	0.73	0.393	1.14	0.285	1.68	0.195
Habitat	2	4.27	0.118	1.31	0.521	4.08	0.130
Site within a habitat	2	5.71	0.058	6.23	0.044*	0.88	0.645
Category of rainfall	2	5.28	0.203	2.21	0.332	3.14	0.209
Temperature	I	0.01	0.934	I.64	0.201	1.78	0.182
Habitat	2	4.75	0.093	2.85	0.241	5.54	0.063
Site within a habitat	2	6.39	0.041*	5.69	0.058	1.37	0.505
Category of rainfall	2	3.45	0.179	2.91	0.234	2.81	0.245
TDS	I	I.85	0.174	0.66	0.416	0.01	0.927
Habitat	2	3.18	0.204	2.88	0.237	4.01	0.135
Site within a habitat	2	6.65	0.036*	5.27	0.072	1.28	0.526
Category of rainfall	2	2.89	0.236	2.47	0.290	3.75	0.153
Turbidity	I	0.04	0.846	2.27	0.132	0.08	0.781
Habitat	2	4.79	0.091	2.10	0.350	5.12	0.077
Site within a habitat	2	5.76	0.056	0.26	0.878	1.07	0.586
Category of rainfall	2	3.58	0.167	1.61	0.448	2.90	0.235

* *P* < 0.05, "-" Not applicable

young larvae of the M and S forms among sampling sites within habitats in Banambani are significantly associated (Table 7). Conductivity is a measure of the inorganic materials and ions in water, whereas TDS are the sum of all dissolved (organic or inorganic) and suspended solids

Table 6: Associations between the proportional frequencies of
the immatures of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis among
sampling sites within a habitat as influenced by each
physicochemical property of water during a category of rainfall
in Banambani

Source	df	Young		Old	
		χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р
Conductivity	I	0.01	0.906	0.15	0.702
Site within a habitat	2	7.12	0.028*	3.00	0.224
Category of rainfall	2	0.36	0.835	1.37	0.504
Orthophosphate	I	0.77	0.381	1.88	0.170
Site within a habitat	2	7.27	0.026*	0.56	0.756
Category of rainfall	2	0.01	0.995	1.54	0.464
pН	Ι	0.30	0.585	2.22	0.163
Site within a habitat	2	6.95	0.031*	1.82	0.403
Category of rainfall	2	0.29	0.867	1.52	0.136
TDS	I	0.06	0.806	3.23	0.601
Site within a habitat	2	7.08	0.029*	3.23	0.199
Category of rainfall	2	0.35	0.841	1.36	0.506

* P < 0.05

in water [33]. As TDS increase, conductivity also increases. Pollution from irrigation, along with materials related to flooding after heavy rains, influence conductivity and TDS, and may have restricted larval population to sites within habitats that have tolerable ecological regimens. Reisen *et al.* [34] find that high conductivity may have altered the age structure of *Culex tarsalis* in Kern County, California.

Alkalinity, D.O., orthophosphate, pH, nitrate, temperature and turbidity have no effects on niche partitioning of the immatures of An. gambiae s.s., its M and S forms, and An. arabiensis because these physicochemical properties, except turbidity, are mainly similar among sampling sites within habitats (Table 1). Sagnon et al. [35] do not also detect strong associations between the species or molecular forms and any physicochemical variables of water, except conductivity, in Burkina Faso. Despite the insignificant effects of these physicochemical properties of water on mosquito immatures, significant association is found between the proportional frequencies of young larvae of An. arabiensis, An. gambiae s.s. and its M and S forms among sampling sites within habitats but not among larval breeding habitats. Thus, water properties influence growth rates of larvae, although other unmeasured factors may also be important.

It is speculated that the M and S forms contrast significantly in their way of exploiting limiting resources in their habitats [5,18]. This is not shown in the current study and is consistent with Diabate *et al.* [20], although it is possi-

Table 7: Associations between the proportional frequencies of
he young and old immatures of the M and S forms among
ampling sites within a habitat as influenced by each
physicochemical property of water during a category of rainfall in
Banambani

Source	df	Young		Old	
		χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р
Alkalinity	Ι	3.64	0.056	0.00	0.958
Site within a habitat	2	3.43	0.179	5.16	0.235
Category of rainfall	2	3.43	0.180	2.90	0.958
Conductivity	Ι	5.38	0.020*	0.27	0.603
Site within a habitat	2	6.29	0.043*	4.50	0.105
Category of rainfall	2	2.69	0.259	2.92	0.232
D.O.	I	0.02	0.896	0.74	0.391
Site within a habitat	2	4.58	0.101	5.27	0.072
Category of rainfall	2	2.25	0.325	3.19	0.203
pН	Ι	1.23	0.268	1.17	0.279
Site within a habitat	2	4.28	0.118	5.64	0.059
Category of rainfall	2	1.88	0.392	2.28	0.319
Temperature	Ι	0.01	0.940	1.22	0.269
Site within a habitat	2	4.27	0.118	5.25	0.072
Category of rainfall	2	2.16	0.339	3.27	0.195
TDS	Т	5.70	0.017*	0.31	0.580
Site within a habitat	2	6.50	0.039*	4.47	0.107
Category of rainfall	2	2.67	0.263	2.93	0.231

* P < 0.05

ble that the forms differ in utilizing limiting resources along with other variables that are not measured. Candidate correlates include bacterial composition [16], chlorophyll [36], detritus [37], and maize pollen (*Zea mays*)[38,39] which are also sources of food for the larvae. Ye-Ebiyo *et al.* [38] observe that *An. arabiensis* larvae readily ingest wind-borne maize pollens that are nutritious enough to support their development. In Banambani, there are several maize plants near rock pools, thus pollens can be dispersed by the wind within the village because every maize plant can produce 14–50 million grains [40] and around 500 thousand pollen grains may remain airborne ≥ 60 m from maize plants that produce ~25 million grains [41]. These are interesting topics to be investigated for members of *An. gambiae* s.l. in Mali.

Oviposition patterns. Significant association between the young larvae of *An. gambiae* s.s., its M and S forms, and *An. arabiensis* and sampling sites in each of the larval breeding habitats supports the hypothesis that oviposition patterns and behavior of *An. gambiae* s.l. may have influenced microhabitat colonization [5,9]. It also suggests differential selection of oviposition sites within habitats by adult females of *An. gambiae* s.s. and *An. arabiensis*. Significant

daily variation in the relative frequencies of young larvae of the M and S forms seems to reflect changes in the oviposition behavior of *An. gambiae* s.s. Alternatively, the microbial fauna in larval breeding habitats of *An. gambiae* s.l. probably release volatiles that may be used as oviposition cues or deterrents [14]. *Aedes* and *Culex* mosquitoes exhibit a differential response to oviposition media based on the composition of microbial species [42-44]. This is an interesting area for future investigation for members of *An. gambiae* s.l.

Coluzzi [45] and Powell et al. [46] have emphasized the importance of historical changes in human-mosquito associations. It appears difficult to determine the association of species and forms based on distance to houses where adult females have their blood meal host or resting shelter in the village, because there are no differences in their frequencies among habitats. Previous mark-releaserecapture (MRR) experiments revealed insignificant association between species and forms of female adult mosquitoes and larval abundance in the habitats adjoining them in 1997 and 1998 mid-rainy seasons in Banambani [17]. This was consistent with the MRR study in the village in 1993-1994 [23]. Costantini et al. [47] reported occasional intervillage exchange of adult An. gambiae s.l. after heavy rains in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso; Touré et al. [24] surmised that the same would be true of Banambani, and in fact some were observed by Taylor et al. [48].

Differential survivorship among species and forms. Daily variation on the proportional frequency of young larvae of the M and S forms implies differential survivorship among life stages of immatures among larval breeding habitats in Banambani. Reisen *et al.* [49] also observe unequal effects of mortality factors among life stages of *Anopheles culicifacies* that contribute to their disproportionate distribution in Pakistan. Mortality of *An. gambiae* s.l. immatures in Kenya occurs mainly among third and fourth instars in marshes, and pupal mortality is relatively high in temporary pools [22]. Although, survivorship estimates of *An. gambiae* s.l. are found similar among the larval breeding habitats in Banambani [23], emergence rate of the S form is higher than that of the M form in puddles and quarries [20].

Conclusion

Conductivity and TDS have significant effects when the proportional frequencies of young larvae of the M and S forms among sampling sites within each type of larval breeding habitats (rock pools, the swamp and puddles) in Banambani, Mali are significantly associated. Alkalinity, D.O., orthophosphate, pH, nitrate, temperature, and turbidity have no differential effects on niche partitioning of the immatures of *An. gambiae* s.s., its M and S forms, and *An. arabiensis* because these physicochemical properties,

except turbidity, are mainly similar among sampling sites within and among larval breeding habitats. It may be that the distribution of these mosquito immatures reflects adaptive differences of adult females, their oviposition patterns, differential survivorship of immatures, and the influence of water quality among sampling sites within habitats in the presence of some other environmental factors. These include bacterial composition, chlorophyll, detritus, and maize pollens that need to be further investigated.

Authors' contributions

FE conceived the design of the study, carried out the field and molecular work, performed all data analyses, and wrote the manuscript. FT initially helped the PCR-based diagnostic in identifying the M and S forms, and critiqued the manuscript. YT critiqued the manuscript and together with GD facilitated in the coordination of field work and DNA extractions that were done in Mali. GL provided the laboratory facilities and reagents for all molecular work. CT participated in the design of the study, coordination of collaborators, and helped to revise the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Dao, B. Sissoko, I. Niaré, the late F. Niaré for their assistance in larval sampling, and L. Niaré for her accommodation in Banambani village. We are also grateful to W. Reisen for reading this paper, to the reviewers for their helpful comments, to X. Chen of UCLA ATS Statistical Consulting Group for her help in the GENMOD model, and to R. Sakai and R.W. Gwadz for technical support. This project was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (ROI AIS1633 and OOS522-03), the John Sealy Memorial Endowment Fund, WHO/TDR partnership, UCLA Graduate Division and International Studies Overseas Program (ISOP) and Fulbright grant to F.E.E.

References

- Coluzzi M, Sabatini A, Petrarca V, Di Deco MA: Chromosomal differentiation and adaptation to human environments in the Anopheles gambiae complex. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1979, 73:483-497.
- Coluzzi M, Petrarca V, Di Deco MA: Chromosomal inversion integradation and incipient speciation in Anopheles gambiae. Bolletino di Zoologica 1985, 52:45-63.
- 3. Touré YT: Science in África. In AAAS Sub-Saharan Africa Annual Meeting Program Washington, D.C.. 15 February 1991
- Touré YT, Petrarca V, Traore SF, Coulibaly A, Maiga HM: Ecological genetic studies in the chromosomal form of Mopti of Anopheles gambiae s.str. in Mali, West Africa. Genetica 1994, 94:213-223.
- della Torre A, Costantini C, Besansky NJ, Caccone A, Petrarca V, Powell JR, Coluzzi M: Speciation within Anopheles gambiae - the glass is half full. Science 2002, 298:115-117.
- Levine RS, Peterson AT, Benedict MQ: Geographic and ecologic distributions of the Anopheles gambiae complex predicted using a genetic algorithm. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004, 70:105-109.
- 7. White GB: Anopheles gambiae complex and disease transmission in Africa. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1974, 68:278-301.
- Touré YT: Génétique écologique et capacité vetorielle des membres du complexe Anopheles gambiae au Mali. In Thése de Doctorat d'Etat és Sciences Naturelles Université de Droit, d'Economie et des Sciences Aix-Marseille III. Marseille, France; 1985.
- 9. Touré YT, Petrarca V, Coluzzi M: Bioécologie et importance vetorielle des taxa du complexe Anopheles gambiae au Mali.

In Proceedings, IVe Congrés sur la Protection de la Santé Humaine et des Cultures en Milieu Tropical Marseille, France; 1986:552-589. 2–4 Juillet 1986

- Charlwood JD, Edoh D: Polymerase chain reaction used to describe larval habitat use of Anopheles gambiae complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in the environs of Ifakara, Tanzania. J Med Entomol 1996, 33:202-204.
- 11. Minakawa N, Mutero CM, Githure JI, Yan G: **Spatial distribution** and habitat characterization of Anopheline mosquito larvae in Western Kenya. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1999, **61**:1010-1016.
- Touré YT, Petrarca V, Traore SF, Coulibaly A, Maiga HM, Sankare O, Sow M, Di Deco MA, Coluzzi M: The distribution and inversion polymorphism of chromosomally recognized taxa of the Anopheles gambiae complex in Mali, West Africa. Parassitologia 1998, 40:477-511.
- della Torre A, Tu Z, Petrarca V: On the distribution and genetic differentiation of Anopheles gambiae s.s. molecular forms. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2005, 35:755-769.
- 14. Gimnig JE, Ombok M, Kamau L, Hawley WA: Characteristics of larval anopheline (Diptera: Culicidae) habitats in Western Kenya. J Med Entomol 2001, 38:282-288.
- McCrae AW: Oviposition by African malaria vector mosquitoes. II. Effects of site tone, water type and conspecific immatures on target selection by freshwater Anopheles gambiae Giles sensu lato. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 1984, 78:307-318.
- Gimnig JE, Ombok M, Otieno S, Kaufman MG, Vulule JM, Walker ED: Density-dependent development of Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae in artificial habitats. J Med Entomol 2002, 39:162-172.
- Edillo FE, Touré YT, Lanzaro GC, Dolo G, Taylor CE: Spatial and habitat distribution of Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles arabiensis (Diptera: Culicidae) in Banambani Village, Mali. J Med Entomol 2002, 39:70-77.
- Costantini C, Pombi M, Palladino C, Santolamazza S, Della Torre A, Petrarca V, Coluzzi M, Sagnon N'F: Bionomic differences among sympatric Anopheles arabiensis and An. gambiae molecular forms M and S in an arid savanna area of Burkina Faso. In Suppl Am J Trop Med Hyg Program and Abstracts of the 51st Annual Meeting of ASTMH Volume 67. Denver, Co; 2002:350-351.
- Costantini C, Pombi M, Pelladino C, della Torre A, Petrarca V, Sagnon N'F: Habitat distribution of Anopheles gambiae molecular forms in breeding sites of an arid savanna village in Burkina Faso. Suppl Am J Trop Med Hyg Program and Abstracts of the 52nd Annual Meeting of ASTMH 2003, 69:210. Philadelphia, PA
- Diabate A, Dabire RK, Kim EH, Dalton R, Millogo N, Baldet T, Simard F, Gimnig JE, Hawley WA, Lehmann T: Larval development of the molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae) in different habitats: a transplantation experiment. J Med Entomol 2005, 42:548-553.
- Ayala FJ, Coluzzi M: Chromosome speciation: humans, Drosophila, and mosquitoes. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005, 102:6535-6542.
- Service MW: Studies on sampling larval populations of the Anopheles gambiae complex. Bull World Health Organ 1971, 45:169-180.
- Edillo FE, Touré YT, Lanzaro GC, Dolo G, Taylor CE: Survivorship and distribution of immature Anopheles gambiae s.l. (Diptera: Culicidae) in Banambani Village, Mali. J Med Entomol 2004, 41:333-339.
- 24. Touré YT, Dolo G, Petrarca V, Traore SF, Bouare M, Dao A, Carnahan J, Taylor CE: Mark-release-recapture experiments with An. gambiae s.l. in Banambani village, Mali to determine population size and structure. J Med Vet Entomol 1998, 12:74-83.
- 25. Touré YT: Bio-ecologie des Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) dansune zone rurale de savane soudanienne au Mali village de Banambani – Arrondissement de Kati: Incidence sur la transmission du Paludisme et de la Filariose de Bancroft. Ph.D. dissertation Centre Pedagogie Superiew, Bamako, Mali 1979.
- Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH: Identification of single specimens of the An. gambiae complex by the polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1993, 49:520-529.
 Lanzaro GC, Zheng L, Touré YT, Traore SF, Kafatos KC, Vernick KD:
- Lanzaro GC, Zheng L, Touré YT, Traore SF, Kafatos KC, Vernick KD: Microsatellite DNA and isozyme variability in a West African population of An. gambiae. Insect Mol Biol 1995, 42:105-112.
- 28. Favia G, Lanfrancotti A, Spanos L, Siden-Kiamos I, Louis C: Molecular characterization of ribosome DNA polymorphisms dis-

criminating among chromosomal forms of An. gambiae s.s. Insect Mol Biol 2001, 10:19-23.

- Campbell G, Wildberger S: The Monitor's Handbook. A reference guide for natural water monitoring. LaMotte Company, Chestertown, MD 1992.
- Gillies MT, de Meillon B: The Anopheline of Africa South of the Sahara (Ethiopian Zoogeographical Region). Volume 54. The South African Institute for Medical Research, Johannesburg; 1968.
- SAS Institute: SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 8.1. SAS Institute Inc., Carey, NC; 1999.
- Stokes MÉ, Davis CE, Koch GG: Categorical data analysis using the SAS system. 2nd edition. SAS Institute Inc., Carey, NC; 2000.
- Campbell G, Wildberger S: The Monitor's Handbook. A reference guide for natural water monitoring. Edited by: Myers A, Anger L. LaMotte Company, Chestertown, MD; 2001.
- Reisen WK, Meyer RP, Shields J, Arbolante C: Population ecology of preimaginal Culex tarsalis (Diptera: Culicidae) in Kern County, California. J Med Entomol 1989, 26:10-22.
- 35. Sagnon NF, Bassole IN, Coluzzi M, Costantini C: Experimental field study on ecological niche partitioning between molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae s.s. in Burkina Faso. Suppl Am J Trop Med Hyg. Program and Abstracts of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the ASTMH 2003, 69:209-210.
- 36. Sarnelle O, Cooper SD, Wiseman S, Mavuti KM: The relationships between nutrients and trophic-level biomass in turbid tropical ponds. Freshwater Biol 1998, 40:65-75.
- 37. Merritt RW, Dadd RH, Walker ED: Feeding behavior, natural food and nutritional relationships of larval mosquitoes. Annu Rev Entomol 1992, 37:349-376.
- Ye-Ebiyo Y, Pollack RJ, Spielman A: Enhanced development in nature of larval Anopheles arabiensis mosquitoes feeding on maize pollen. Am / Trop Med Hyg 2000, 63:90-93.
- Ye-Ebiyo Y, Pollack RJ, Kiszewski ÄE, Spielman A: A component of maize pollen that stimulates larval mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) to feed and increases toxicity of microbial larvicides. J Med Entomol 2003, 40:860-864.
- Miller PD: Maize pollen: collection and enzymology. In Maize for biological research Edited by: Sheridan WF. Plant Molecular Biology Assoc., Charlottesville, VA; 1985:279-282.
 Raynor GS, Ogden EC, Hayes JV: Dispersion and deposition of
- Raynor GS, Ogden EC, Hayes JV: Dispersion and deposition of corn pollen from experimental sources. Agronomy J 1972, 64:420-427.
- 42. Maw MG: Capric acid as a larvicide and an oviposition stimulant for mosquitoes. *Nature* 1970, 227:1154-1155.
- Isoe J, Millar JG, Beehler JW: Bioassays for Culex (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquito oviposition attractants and stimulants. J Med Entomol 1995, 32:475-483.
- Trexler JD, Apperson CS, Zurek L, Gemeno C, Schal C, Kaufman M, Walker E, Watson DW, Wallace L: Role of bacteria in mediating the oviposition responses of Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae). / Med Entomol 2003, 40:841-848.
- Coluzzi M: The clay feet of the malaria giant and its African roots: hypothesis and inferences about origin, spread and control of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Parassitologia* 1999, 41:277-283.
- 46. Powell JR, Petrarca V, della Torre A, Caccone A, Coluzzi M: **Popula**tion structure, speciation, and introgression in the *Anopheles* gambiae complex. *Parassitologia* 1999, **41**:101-113.
- Costantini C, Li S, della Torre A, Sagnon N'F, Coluzzi M, Taylor CE: Density, survival and dispersal of Anopheles gambiae complex mosquitoes in a West African Sudan savanna village. Med Vet Entomol 1996, 10:203-219.
- Taylor CE, Touré YT, Carnahan J, Norris DE, Dolo G, Traoré SF, Edillo FE, Lanzaro GC: Gene flow among populations of the malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae, in Mali, West Africa. Genetics 2001, 157:743-750.
- 49. Reisen WK, Azra K, Mahmood F: Anopheles culicifacies (Diptera: Culicidae): horizontal and vertical estimates of immature development and survivorship in rural Punjab Province, Pakistan. J Med Entomol 1982, 19:413-442.