Triggers for acute flare in adults with, or at risk of, knee osteoarthritis: a web-based case-crossover study in community-dwelling adults

Martin J. Thomas, Trishna Rathod-Mistry, Emma L. Parry, Christopher Pope, Tuhina Neogi, George Peat

PII: \$1063-4584(21)00702-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2021.04.007

Reference: YJOCA 4846

To appear in: Osteoarthritis and Cartilage

Received Date: 9 January 2021

Revised Date: 5 April 2021 Accepted Date: 19 April 2021

Please cite this article as: Thomas MJ, Rathod-Mistry T, Parry EL, Pope C, Neogi T, Peat G, Triggers for acute flare in adults with, or at risk of, knee osteoarthritis: a web-based case-crossover study in community-dwelling adults, *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2021.04.007.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Osteoarthritis Research Society International.



Triggers for acute flare in adults with, or at risk of, knee osteoarthritis: a

web-based case-crossover study in community-dwelling adults

Martin J. Thomas, Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, School of Medicine, Keele University,

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK; Haywood Academic Rheumatology Centre, Midlands Partnership

NHS Foundation Trust, Haywood Hospital, Burslem, Staffordshire, ST6 7AG, UK. Email:

m.thomas@keele.ac.uk

Trishna Rathod-Mistry, Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, School of Medicine, Keele

University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK; Keele Clinical Trials Unit,

David Weatherall Building, Keele University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK. Email:

t.rathod@keele.ac.uk

Emma L. Parry, Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, School of Medicine, Keele University,

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK. Email: e.parry@keele.ac.uk

Christopher Pope, Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, School of Medicine, Keele University,

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK. Email: ppi.primarycare@keele.ac.uk

Tuhina Neogi, Department of Medicine, Section of Rheumatology, Boston University School of

Medicine, 650 Albany Street, Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Suite X-200, Boston 02118, MA, USA.

Email: tneogi@bu.edu

George Peat, Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, School of Medicine, Keele University,

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK. Email: g.m.peat@keele.ac.uk

Corresponding author

Dr Martin J. Thomas

Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis

School of Medicine

Keele University

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG

Tel +44 (0) 1782 734874; Fax +44 (0) 1782 734719

Word count: 3423

Running title: Triggers for acute flares in knee OA

ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify proximate causes ('triggers') of flares in adults with, or at risk of, knee

osteoarthritis (OA), estimate their course and consequences, and determine higher risk

individuals.

Methods: In this 13-week web-based case-crossover study adults aged ≥40 years, with or

without a recorded diagnosis of knee OA, and no inflammatory arthropathy who self-reported a

knee flare completed a questionnaire capturing information on exposure to 21 putative activity-

related, psychosocial and environmental triggers (hazard period, ≤72 hours prior). Comparisons

were made with identical exposure measurements at four 4-weekly scheduled time points (non-

flare control period) using conditional logistic regression. Flare was defined as a sudden onset of

worsening signs and symptoms, sustained for ≥24 hours. Flare characteristics, course and

consequence were analysed descriptively. Associations between flare frequency and baseline

characteristics were estimated using Poisson regression.

Results: Of 744 recruited participants (mean age (SD) 62.1 (10.2) years; 61% female), 376

reported 568 flares (hazards) and provided 867 valid control period measurements. Thirteen

exposures (8 activity-related, 5 psychosocial/environmental) were positively associated with flare

onset within 24 hours (strongest odds ratio estimate, knee buckling: 9.06: 95% confidence

interval [CI] 5.86, 13.99; weakest, cold/damp weather: 1.45: 95%CI 1.12, 1.87). Median flare

duration was 5 days (IQR 3, 8), less common if older (incident rate ratio [IRR] 0.98: 95%CI 0.97,

0.99), more common if female (IRR 1.85: 95%CI 1.43, 2.39).

Conclusions: Multiple activity-related, psychosocial and environmental exposures are implicated

in triggering flares. This evidence can help inform prevention and acute symptom management

for patients and clinicians.

KEY WORDS

Knee Osteoarthritis Flare Web-based Case-crossover

;; 11

1 2	INTRODUCTION
3	Osteoarthritis (OA) is a multifactorial syndrome, with heterogeneous long-term trajectories
4	(e.g. 1) punctuated by variable episodes of increased pain 2. Inflammation is common in OA 3 and
5	although the lived experience involves complex biopsychosocial interactions, pain is a cardinal
6	feature ⁴ and the main reason patients seek healthcare. ⁵
7	
8	Unpredictable pain, or episodic flare, can be distressing and disabling for patients ² , disruptive to
9	active lifestyle behaviours and chronic disease management ⁶ ; often leading to work loss and
10	increased healthcare use. Understanding proximate causes of flares is methodologically
11	challenging but important for patients and clinicians to be able to prevent or minimise their
12	impact.
13	
14	Building on successful applications in acute-onset disease (e.g. myocardial infarction ⁷ and 'acute-
15	on-chronic' conditions (e.g. gout ⁸)), self-controlled and case-crossover study designs are
16	emerging within the musculoskeletal pain/OA literature (e.g. 9-13). These designs are efficient for
17	identifying 'acute-on-chronic' events and recurrent exposures within patients. In OA, modifiable
18	excessive or aberrant load exposures to weight-bearing joints are important drivers of
19	aetiopathogenesis ¹⁴ . In this study, we postulate that intermittent or transient activity-related
20	exposures, including high joint loading activities, are causes of recurrent flares with important
21	implications for acute symptom management and long-term self-management ¹⁵ .
22	
23	In the ACT-FLARE study (ACuTe FLAREs in knee OA), our primary objective was to identify
24	common, consistent proximate causes ('triggers') of flares in adults with, or at risk of, knee OA.

In the ACT-FLARE study (ACuTe FLAREs in knee OA), our primary objective was to identify common, consistent proximate causes ('triggers') of flares in adults with, or at risk of, knee OA. Secondary objectives were to, i) estimate flare time course and consequences, and ii) determine whether participant characteristics can identify individuals at higher risk of flares.

METHODS

Study	design	and	samp	le
~ ~ ~ ~ ,			000	

Adults aged ≥40 years who were resident in England with knee pain, with or without a recorded knee OA diagnosis, with daily access to the internet, and ability to complete questionnaires in English were invited to take part in a 13-week web-based case-crossover study^{15,16}. Exclusions included inflammatory arthropathies (including gout), fibromyalgia, joint replacement in the flaring knee or knee surgery in the last three months. Participants were identified via three methods: (1) Fifteen general practice (GP) registers (ten, West Midlands; five, South East). Patients with a relevant Read-coded consultation for knee OA or knee OA-related joint symptoms in the last two years were identified and invited via mailed invitation and one reminder. (2) Offline community advertisement. Study posters, flyers and business cards were displayed in general practices, pharmacies, hospitals and public libraries across England, where permission was granted. (3) Online social media advertisement. Using Facebook, adverts were targeted at adults ≥40 years. For methods 2 and 3, advertisements directed people to the study registration page where eligibility against the criteria detailed above was self-declared. Ethical approval was obtained from Yorkshire & The Humber-Leeds East Research Ethics Committee (REC reference number: 18/YH/0075). All participants provided informed electronic-consent.

Data collection

Consenting participants who registered an account for login access to the ACT-FLARE study website were invited to complete a Baseline questionnaire, four Scheduled questionnaires (measurement/ascertainment of exposures during control period) and an Event-Driven questionnaire (hazard period) each time they experienced a flare of their knee pain (Fig. 1).

53 [Fig. 1]

$\Gamma \Lambda$	
: 14	

The Baseline questionnaire gathered information on aspects of knee pain¹⁷⁻²⁶, healthcare use for knee pain²⁶, general health/physical activity²⁷⁻²⁹, and demographic characteristics.

The Scheduled questionnaires, sent at weeks 1, 5, 9 and 13 after Baseline questionnaire completion, featured a matrix reporting exposure to 21 putative activity-related, psychosocial and environmental triggers²⁹⁻³³ (see Supplementary Data Q1). Questions were answered for day of completion and the 3 days before this. The response options for nine potential trigger questions relating to physical activities were 'not at all', 'a little' or 'a lot'. For the remaining 12 questions responses options were 'no/yes'.

Participants who experienced a flare were invited to complete an Event-Driven questionnaire, designed to capture information about the flare and the same potential trigger exposures as in the Scheduled questionnaires, during the day of the flare and the 3 days prior¹⁵. The Event-Driven questionnaire also invited participants to answer yes/no to a question asking if the flare was unexpected. They were then invited to complete a Daily questionnaire on pain intensity³⁰, bothersomeness²⁴, medication use and participant judgement of flare resolution each day until their flare ended. Resolution was defined a priori as return to pre-flare 'normal' state for two consecutive days¹⁵, however this was relaxed to one confirmation due to the lower than expected proportion (36%) meeting the initial definition.

Patient involvement

A Patient Advisory Group confirmed OA flares to be a research priority, provided advice and suggestion across all aspects of preliminary feasibility and pilot work, and inputted to procedures and processes for this full-scale study from inception to dissemination^{15,16}. Engagement was via workshops and remote correspondence. A patient with lived experience of OA flares was a co-

80	applicant on the initial research proposal and participated in regular Project Management team
81	meetings across the project lifecycle.
82	
83	Outcome definition
84	Self-reported flare of symptomatic knee OA was defined as "an event in the natural course of
85	the condition characterized by a change in the participant's baseline pain that is beyond normal
86	day-to-day variation, sustained for at least 24 hours, and is sudden or quick in onset. It may
87	impact on the ability to perform everyday activities and result in an increase in analgesic
88	intake"15,p9. This self-determined definition was derived from our pilot study16, previous literature
89	review ³⁴ , discussions with patients and members of the public, and findings from previous survey
90	and daily diary studies ³⁵ . Written explanation and short videos about flares, developed with
91	patients and presented by our patient co-investigator (CP) and clinician-researcher (MJT), were
92	available to view on the ACT-FLARE website for all enrolled and prospective participants for
93	the study duration.
94	
95	Questionnaire validity
96	To ensure exposure information was not influenced by a previous flare, questionnaires were only
97	valid for analysis if there was ≥4 days between completion of all hazard and control period
98	questionnaires, and between each flare ending and completion of control period questionnaires.
99	
100	Statistical analysis
100101	Statistical analysis Describing the sample
101	Describing the sample
101 102	Describing the sample Our sample size calculation ensured adequate numbers of self-reported flares to sufficiently

recruitment method. Summary descriptive statistics were derived for participant demographics and self-reported knee characteristics, as well as features of flares, symptoms, and consequences during flares. Baseline data were collected for left and right knee. For descriptive knee characteristics, the worst knee was selected and reported based on highest 'average pain' score on a 0-10 numerical rating scale (NRS). If scores were equal, the knee with the highest 'worst pain in the last week' followed by the highest 'current pain', followed by random selection were selected. If left, or right knee score was missing, the available knee score was included.

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

Primary analysis: proximate trigger exposure measurement

With each participant acting as their own control in the analysis, reported trigger exposures in the hazard periods were compared to those reported in the control periods, using conditional logistic regression with m:n matching, so each participant could potentially contribute multiple hazard and control periods³⁶. All available controls were utilised and may have occurred before and/or after the hazard period. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were derived. Time trends in exposure were examined by comparing exposure frequency across the Scheduled questionnaires at weeks 1, 5, 9 and 13, and before and after the flare. A sensitivity analysis of the primary analysis was restricted to flares that had control periods occurring before and after the hazard period. To explore the exposure induction period, trigger exposure status was compared for day of completion/ flare and the 3 days earlier. The proportion of flares deemed unexpected was reported. Sensitivity analyses were conducted by restricting analysis to first flare per participant (to examine carryover effects for multiple flares), flares reported within 3 days of flare onset (to reduce the potential impact of delayed recall), flares reported after baseline (i.e., excluding people who reported to be experiencing a flare at study entry, which could influence how initial questions were answered), spontaneous self-directed flares reported without prompt (i.e., not at Scheduled questionnaire time points¹⁵), and participants recruited from GP registers (for whom study eligibility was objectively confirmed).

132	
133	Secondary analysis 1: estimating time course and consequences
134	Analysis was restricted to participants who reported Event-Driven flare questionnaires and
135	indicated via Daily questionnaires their flare had ended. Unresolved flares were excluded from
136	the analysis as the distribution of flare duration was more skewed (median 19 (5, 87) days) than
137	resolved flares 6 days (3, 11). Flare characteristics, and daily course and consequences (pain,
138	bothersomeness, medication use in last 24 hours) were analysed descriptively. The Kaplan-Meier
139	curve for time to flare resolution was derived. Effects of covariates on time to flare resolution
140	were assessed using accelerated failure-time model, with generalised gamma survival distribution
141	yielding unadjusted time ratios and 95%CI.
142	
143	Secondary analysis 2: frequency of acute flares
144	Analysis was restricted to participants who completed at least two Scheduled questionnaires: the
145	prespecified minimum amount of engagement with study follow-up required for inclusion.
146	Poisson regression with robust standard errors examined the effect of selected baseline
147	participant or knee symptom characteristics on the number of reported flares over the 13-week
148	follow-up period. Unadjusted incidence rate ratios (IRR) and 95%CI were calculated.
149	
150	All analyses were conducted using STATA V.15.0 (Stata Corporation, Texas, USA).
151	
152	RESULTS
153	Study population
154	Between July 2018 and February 2019, emails were sent to 1454 potentially eligible participants,
155	of whom 744 responded, were eligible and consented to participate (recruitment source: GP
156	registers (515), online advertisement (129), offline advertisement (57), unknown (43)).
157	Participants reporting flares were more likely female (Table I), (Supplementary Fig. S1-2, Tables

158 S1-5). The key descriptive characteristics of the 744 respondents are provided in Table II

159 (Supplementary Tables S6-8).

160 [Table I]

161 [Table II]

162163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

Proximate triggers of acute flares

During the study period, 714 flares were reported by 493 participants. For the primary analysis, 376 participants provided ≥1 control period and ≥1 hazard period (mean age, SD 61.8 (10.1) years; 68% female and mean body mass index (SD) 29.5 (5.9) kg/m²), resulting in 568 flares (hazards) and 867 controls for analysis (Table III). The distribution of baseline characteristics was generally similar between the 376 eligible and 368 ineligible participants in this analysis, although eligible participants had a higher prevalence of females. Target sample size was exceeded. Thirteen exposures (six physical activities) were positively associated with flare onset within 24 hours. The overall strongest positive association was with knee buckling (OR 9.06: 95%CI 5.86, 13.99). The strongest positive physical activity association was with squatting or kneeling (OR ('a lot' vs 'not at all'), 3.30: 95%CI 1.95, 5.59). Three exposures were inversely associated with flares: sitting for long periods without a break (OR 0.67: 95%CI 0.46, 0.98), reducing or missing planned medication (OR 0.34: 95%CI 0.18, 0.63) and cough, cold or minor infection (OR 0.72: 95%CI 0.52, 0.99). The frequency of most physical activity exposures suggested a graded relationship with risk of flare (a lot > a little > not at all). Going up/down stairs, driving, stressful events at work, home, and friend/family related stress were not statistically significantly associated with flares. Flares were reported as unexpected by 70% of participants. Exposure-outcome associations were strongest for exposures occurring within 24 hours; exposures up to 3 days prior had lower, if any, significant associations with risk of flare (Supplementary Table S9).

183

184	[Table III]
185	
186	There was little evidence of time trends in exposure during the 13-week study period. The trigger
187	exposure prevalence remained constant across the four Scheduled questionnaires, and before
188	and after a flare was reported. Furthermore, restricting the analysis to flares with control periods
189	on either side of the flare had little impact on the odds ratio estimates (data not shown).
190	
191	Sensitivity analyses restricted to first flare per participant, flares notified within 3 days of onset,
192	flares reported after baseline, without prompt and general practice recruited participants only, did
193	not change the overall interpretation (data not shown). Rates of missing data for triggers were
194	low, with ≥95% of hazard and control periods utilised in modelling.
195	
196	Time course and consequences of acute flares
197	Based on 314 participants providing 459 flares with known resolution date, the median
198	(interquartile range) flare duration was 5 (3, 8) days (Supplementary Table S10; Fig. 2). The first
199	recorded NRS pain score was ≥2 compared to average pain at baseline in 44% of participants
200	reporting flares on day of onset. Knee changes noticed since flare onset included stiffness (64%),
201	limping (58%), increased difficulty with everyday activities (57%), sleep disturbance (48%) and
202	swelling (33%). (Supplementary Table S11). Levels of pain, bothersomeness and medication
203	usage reduced over flare episodes (Supplementary Fig. S3; Table S12). No associations were
204	found between age, gender or symptom duration and time to flare resolution, however those
205	with longer symptom duration appeared to have slightly slower resolution (Supplementary Table
206	S13).
207	
208	[Fig. 2]
209	

Frequency of acute flares

Among 476 participants who engaged throughout study follow-up, 242 (51%) reported ≥1 flare. Flares were less common in older ages (IRR 0.98: 95%CI 0.97, 0.99), and more common in females (IRR 1.85: 95%CI 1.43, 2.39), and those with severe frequent knee pain at baseline (IRR 2.06: 95%CI 1.17, 3.63). Associations with prior knee injury/surgery and deprivation were weak or absent (Supplementary Table S14). These 476 participants had better knee pain, physical function and quality of life than the 268 participants who did not engage in the study (Supplementary Table S15 compares baseline characteristics across ineligible and eligible participants for each primary and secondary objective analysis).

DISCUSSION

Our study provides a comprehensive examination of flare triggers, episode duration and characterisation of higher risk individuals within a large community-based sample of people with, or at risk of, knee OA. We found that a wide range of activity-related, psychosocial and environmental factors transiently increase the risk of an acute flare that typically goes on to last 3-8 days, with two-thirds of sufferers experiencing increased stiffness alongside pain. Flares were most likely to manifest within 24 hours of exposure and the strongest positive associations were with physical activity-based exposures. Although flares were slightly more common amongst younger participants of working age, females and those with severe frequent knee pain at baseline, the self-selecting nature of the sample and the possibility that females were more likely to engage with the flare notification system should be recognised.

The direction of our observed associations with exposure to one or more physical activities is consistent with previous study³⁵, as is our positive association with knee buckling.³⁷ More broadly, our observed associations with a range of physical and psychosocial exposures are consistent with previous study of back pain⁹, knee/hip OA¹⁰, knee OA^{11,13} and hip OA^{12,38}.

Contrasting observations on psychological associations with hip OA³⁹, may be explained by different exposure measurements. Although our positive association with cold/damp weather contrasts previous study⁴⁰, our brief self-report exposure measurement is crude by comparison to the objective weather analysis by Ferreira et al⁴⁰.

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

236

237

238

239

Collectively, our observations provide support for our hypothesis that intermittent activityrelated exposures are risk factors for flares. Mechanical exposures, including occupational physical loading^{41,42}, often associated with incidence and progression, may also contribute to 'acute-on-chronic' flares. These exposures have been proposed to have an aetiological role, and also represent potentially modifiable risk factors for the aetiopathogenesis 14. In the absence of traumatic events (injury), the periodic sudden onset of increased pain (acute flare) may represent short-lived consequences of transient mechanical exposures. Whilst the low-level cumulative and repetitive nature of these exposures may be important for the OA aetiopathogenesis, they are likely to be frequent day-to-day encounters, often experienced as innocuous events for many people and not always causal antecedents to a flare⁴³. Interestingly, 70% of flares reported during the study were reported as unexpected, but the majority (two-thirds) hold the belief that physical/mechanical factors are their most likely triggers. Further research is needed to confirm whether the cumulative frequency of flare episodes drives OA aetiopathogenesis⁴³. Our observed median five-day flare duration, is broadly consistent with previous estimates^{35,44}. The nature of trigger exposures and the duration of flare episodes are important insights for patient-healthcare professional consultations, particularly as our data suggest flares appear more common among working age adults.

258

259

260

261

257

Collaboratively with patients we defined a self-reported flare lasting \geq 24 hours to represent a sudden change in perceived pain state, irrespective of pain score. Whilst previous studies have imposed an NRS change score of \geq 2 from baseline to define a flare ^{12,13,35,45}, we and our patient

group preferred a more patient-centred approach. Previous work by Marty et al. 46 showed clearly that patient-identified flares agreed well with clinician-defined flares. The similarity of findings with others 11,13,35,37 also provide some valuable replication and suggest that these associations may not be too highly sensitive to this choice of flare definition. Other signs and symptoms, for example, stiffness, swelling and functional impact may be earlier or more important initial symptoms associated with flare onset. Defining flares and their mechanism of action are important research priorities. Knee changes noticed since flare onset by participants in our study are consistent with important patient-centred flare domains previously identified by international consensus 47. In our sample, the proportion of participants, willing in principle, to provide a magnetic resonance imaging scan or knee joint aspiration during a future flare was 92% and 77%, respectively in 376 responders.

Strengths of this self-controlled observational study are that all fixed or slow-varying person-level confounding is eliminated by design and the web-based data collection facilitates real-time data capture. Our findings should be interpreted in the context of several methodological limitations. First, for participants recruited via community-advertising (31%), eligibility criteria were defined by participant self-report. However, by restricting the primary analysis to those recruited via GP registers with objective support for their knee OA status, the overall interpretation remained the same. Second, although many of the selected potential triggers were based on previous literature, our brief items for categorising exposure levels have not been validated against longer-form self-report or objective measurements (where these are available). However, our approach was intended to enable direct comparison across related exposures and facilitate the examination of induction time, by reducing participant burden when invited to recall responses to repeated questions over 3-day periods. In keeping with the focus on within-person change in case-crossover studies, our underlying assumption was that change in exposure level ('unusual for me') rather than attaining an absolute level of exposure ('objectively high

exposure') was likely to be most important. Future studies using more detailed self-report or objective measurement would be able to test this assumption although due to respondent burden they would most likely be restricted to a single or smaller set of exposures than the current study. Third, while confounding between exposures is still possible, correlations between exposures was low (≤0.4), therefore independence was assumed and the potential to combine related exposures (e.g. Rasch analysis) was not supported. Fourth, the study design remains vulnerable to differential recall bias between retrospective data ascertainment at Scheduled and Event-Driven (flare) questionnaires. If flares were reported more than 1 day after onset, recall time between hazard periods and control periods may be different. Direct matching of exposure recall time between hazard and control periods illustrated variations in direction and magnitude of some estimates, suggesting there may be some random differential misclassification, although the sample was smaller (data not shown). Fifth, our flare resolution definition was relaxed from confirmation on two consecutive days, to one confirmation, as only 36% fulfilled the a priori definition. Also 128 participants did not complete daily questionnaires and the majority had at least one missing day. Flares for which we did not receive confirmation of their resolution were excluded from our analysis estimating the duration of flares. Excluded flares include those that had not resolved by the end of the study period (censored) and those that had resolved but where participants had not provided confirmation of this (unobserved resolution). We cannot know the exact effect of this on biasing our estimate of flare duration, but censoring would tend to result in systematic under-estimation of flare duration. Our estimate of median flare duration should therefore be treated as conservative. If some flares ended before they were reported the flare duration may be overestimated. Finally, participant ethnicity was not captured.

310

311

312

313

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

In summary, this study provides evidence for multiple activity-related, psychosocial and environmental proximate exposures that can trigger acute flares in adults with, or at risk of, knee OA within 24 hours. Episodes usually last about five days, possibly affecting working age adults

	Journal 1 re-proof
314	and females more frequently. These findings support the view that exposures associated with
315	incidence and progression are also potential risk factors for acute flares. This evidence can help
316	patients and clinicians work together to better predict, prevent and manage knee OA flares.
317 318	
319 320	C
321	The study research team was also supported by Kris Clarkson, Stephen Harper, Victoria Harper,
322	Sarah Lawton, Jo Smith and Tracy Whitehurst. The authors wish to acknowledge the support of
323	the National Institute for Health Research Clinical Research Network (NIHR CRN) West
324	Midlands and Kent, Surrey and Sussex. We thank the staff at participating general practices. The
325	authors would also like to thank Nativve Health Research for targeted social media advertising in
326	Facebook.
327	
328	Funding

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

This report is independent research arising from an Integrated Clinical Academic Programme Clinical Lectureship awarded to Martin J Thomas (ICA-CL-2016-02-014), supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and Health Education England (HEE). Martin J Thomas is currently supported by an NIHR Development and Skills Enhancement Award (NIHR300818). Emma L Parry received funding from a NIHR In-Practice Fellowship (IPF-2014-08-03), an NIHR Academic Clinical Fellowship and an NIHR School for Primary Care Research GP Progression Fellowship. Tuhina Neogi is supported by NIH K24 AR070892. This publication presents independent research funded by the NIHR and HEE. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, HEE or the Department of Health and Social Care.

340

Author contributions

343	MJT and GP conceived and designed the study. TR-M completed the analysis in conjunction
344	with MJT and GP. ELP contributed to the design and content of the study questionnaires. CP
345	acted as patient and public involvement and engagement representative. TN contributed to the
346	protocol development and provided senior methodological/statistical expertise. MJT drafted the
347	manuscript and all authors contributed to the manuscript. All authors approved the final version.

Conflicts of interest

350 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Wieczorek M, Rotonda C, Coste J, Pouchot J, Saraz A, Guillemin F, *et al.* Trajectory analysis combining pain and physical function in individuals with knee and hip osteoarthritis: results from the French KHOALA cohort. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2020;59(11):3488-3498.

2. Hawker GA, Stewart L, French MR, Cibere J, Jordan JM, March L, *et al.* Understanding the pain experience in hip and knee osteoarthritis-an OARSI/OMERACT initiative. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2008;16(4):415-22.

3. de Lange-Brokaar BJ, Ioan-Facsinay A, Yusuf E, Visser AW, Kroon HM, Andersen SN, *et al.* Degree of synovitis on MRI by comprehensive whole knee semi-quantitative scoring method correlates with histologic and macroscopic features of synovial tissue inflammation in knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014;22(10):1606-13.

4. Conaghan PG, Cook AD, Hamilton JA, Takk PP. Therapeutic options for targeting inflammatory osteoarthritis pain. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2019;15(6):355-63.

Bjilsma JW, Berenbaum F, Lafeber FP. Osteoarthritis: an update with relevance for clinical practice. Lancet 2011;377(9783):2115-26.

6. Peat G, Thomas E. When knee pain becomes severe: a nested case-control analysis in community-dwelling older adults. J Pain 2009;10(8):798-808.

7. Mittleman MA, Maclure M, Tofler GH, Sherwood JB, Goldberh RJ, Muller JE. Triggering of acute myocardial infarction by heavy physical exertion. Protection against triggering by regular exertion. Determinants of myocardial infarction onset study investigators. N Eng J Med 1993;329:1677-83.

Neogi T, Chen C, Niu J, Chaisson C, Hunter DJ, Zhang Y. Alcohol quantity and type on risk of recurrent gout attacks: an internet-based case-crossover study. Am J Med. 2014;127:311-8.

9. Steffens D, Ferreira ML, Latimer J, Ferreira PH, Koes BW, Blyth F, *et al.* What triggers an episode of acute low back pain? A case-crossover study. Arthritis Care Res 2015;67:403-10.

385

Wise BL, Niu J, Zhang Y, Wang N, Jordan JM, Choy E, et al. Psychological factors and their relation to osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2010;18(7):883-7.

388

11. Efrani T, Keefe F, Bennell K, Chen J, Makovey J, Metcalf B, et al. Psychological factors and
 pain exacerbation in knee osteoarthritis: a web-based case-crossover study. Rheumatol Curr
 Res. 2015;S6:005.

392

393 12. Fu K, Makovey J, Metcalf B, Bennell K, Zhang Y, Asher R, *et al.* The role of hip injury and "giving way" in pain exacerbation in hip osteoarthritis: an internet-based case-crossover study. Arthritis Care Res 2019;71(6):742-47.

396

13. Atukorala I, Pathmwswaran A, Batuwita N, Rajapaksha N, Ratnasiri V, Wijayaratne L, *et al.* Is
 398 being barefoot, wearing shoes and physical activity associated with knee osteoarthritis pain
 399 flares? Data from a usually barefoot Sri Lankan cohort. Int J Rheum Dis 2021;24(1):96-105.

400

401 14. Brandt KD, Dieppe P, Radin EL. Etiopathogenesis of osteoarthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North
 402 Am 2008;34:531-59.

403

Thomas MJ, Rathod-Mistry T, Harper S, Parry EL, Pope C, Neogi T, et al. Acute flares of knee osteoarthritis (the ACT-FLARE study): protocol for a web-based case-crossover study in community-dwelling adults. JMIR Res Protoc 2019;8(4):e13428.

407

408 16. Thomas MJ, Butler-Walley S, Rathod-Mistry T, Mayson Z, Parry EL, Pope C, et al. Acute
 409 flares of knee osteoarthritis in primary care: a feasibility and pilot case-crossover study. Pilot
 410 Feasibility Stud 2018;4:167.

411

17. Campbell P, Hill JC, Protheroe J, Afolabi EK, Lewis M, Beardmore R, et al. Keele Aches and
 Pains Study protocol: validity, acceptability, and feasibility of the Keele STarT MSK tool for
 subgrouping musculoskeletal patients in primary care. J Pain Res 2016;9:808-18.

415

416 18. Rayahin JE, Chmiel JS, Hayes KW, Almagor O, Belisle L, Chang AH, *et al.* Factors associated with pain experience outcome in knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res 2014;66(12):1828-35.

418

419 19. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, *et al.* Development of criteria 420 for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria 421 Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheum 1986;29(8):1039-49.

422

423 20. Williamson A, Hoggart B. Pain: a review of three commonly used pain rating scales. J Clin
 424 Nurs 2005 14:798-804.

425

21. Cleeland CS, Ryan KM. Pain assessment: global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. Ann Acad
 Med Singapore. 1994;23(2):129-38.

22. Perruccio AV, Stefan Lohmander L, Canizares M, Tennant A, Hawker GA, Conaghan PG, et
430 al. The development of a short measure of physical function for knee OA KOOS-Physical
431 Function Shortform (KOOS-PS) - an OARSI/OMERACT initiative. Osteoarthritis Cartilage
432 2008;16(5):542-50.

433

23. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and
 Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)--development of a self-administered outcome
 measure. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1998;28(2):88-96.

437

438 24. Dunn KM, Croft PR. Classification of low back pain in primary care: using "bothersomeness" to identify the most severe cases. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2005;30(16):1887-440 92.

441

442 25. Ingham SL, Moody A, Abhishek A, Doherty SA, Zhang W, Doherty M. Development and validation of self-reported line drawings for assessment of knee malalignment and foot rotation: a cross-sectional comparative study. BMC Med Res Methodol 2010;10:57.

445

26. Nevit MC, Felson DT, Lester G. Osteoarthritis Initiative. 2006. The Osteoarthritis Initiative.
 Protocol for the Cohort Study URL:

http://oai.epi-ucsf.org/datarelease/docs/studydesignprotocol.pdf (accessed 2019-01-03)

449 450

27. Hays RD, Bjorner J, Revicki DA, Spritzer K, Cella D. Development of physical and mental health summary scores from the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) global items. Qual Life Res 2009;18:873–80.

451 452 453

- 28. Physical Activity Policy, Health Improvement Directorate. The general practice physical activity questionnaire (GPPAQ) A screening tool to assess adult physical activity levels, within primary care. 2009.
 - https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/192450/GPPAQ pdf version.pdf. (accessed 25 Oct 2020).

458 459

457

29. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al.
 International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;35(8):1381-95.

463

464 30. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Katz NP, *et al.* Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain 2005;113(1-2):9-19.

467

468 31. Felson DT, Niu J, McClennan C, Sack B, Aliabadi P, Hunter DJ, *et al.* Knee buckling: prevalence, risk factors, and associated limitations in function. Ann Intern Med 2007;147(8):534-40.

471

32. Siliwinski MJ, Almeida DM, Smyth J, Stawski RS. Intraindividual change and variability in daily stress processes: findings from two measurement-burst diary studies. Psychol Aging 2009;24(4):828-40.

475

33. Timmermans EJ, Schaap LA, Herbolsheimer F, Dennison EM, Maggi S, Pedersen NL,
 EPOSA Research Group. The influence of weather conditions on joint pain in older people

with osteoarthritis: results from the European Project on OSteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2015;42(10):1885-92.

480

481 34. Parry E, Thomas MJ, Peat G. Defining acute flares in knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2018;8:019804.

483

484 35. Parry E, Ogollah R, Peat G. 'Acute flare-ups' in patients with, or at high risk of, knee osteoarthritis: a daily diary study with case-crossover analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2019;27(8):1124-28.

487

488 36. Luo X, Sorock GS. Analysis of recurrent event data under the case-crossover design with applications to elderly falls. Stat Med 2008;27(15):2890-2901.

490

37. Zobel I, Erfani T, Bennell KL, Makovey J, Metcalf B, Chen JS, *et al.* Relationship of buckling
 and knee injury to pain exacerbations in knee osteoarthritis: a web-based case-crossover
 study. Interact J Med Res 2016;5:e17.

494

495 38. Fu K, Makovey J, Metcalf B, Bennell KL, Zhang Y, Asher R, *et al.* Sleep quality and fatigue are associated with pain exacerbations of hip osteoarthritis: an internet-based case-crossover study. J Rheumatol 2019;46(11):1524-30.

498

39. Fu K, Metcalf B, Bennell KL, Zhang Y, Deveza LA, Robbins SR, *et al.* The association between psychological factors and pain exacerbations in hip osteoarthritis. Rheumatology 2021;60(3):1291-9.

502

503 40. Ferreira ML, Zhang Y, Metcalf B, Makovey J, Bennell KL, March L, *et al.* The influence of weather on the risk of pain exacerbation in patients with knee osteoarthritis – a case-crossover study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2016;24(12):2042-7.

506 507

41. Wang X, Perry TA, Arden N, Chen L, Parsons CM, Cooper C, *et al.* Occupational risk in knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Arthritis Care Res. 2020;72:1213-23.

509 510

508

42. Gignac MAM, Irvin E, Cullen K, Van Eerd D, Beaton DE, Mahood Q, et al. Men and women's occupational activities and the risk of developing osteoarthritis of the knee, hip, or hands: a systematic review and recommendations for the future. Arthritis Care Res. 2020;72:378-96.

515

516 43. Thomas MJ, Neogi T. Flare-ups of osteoarthritis: what do they mean in the short-term and the long-term? Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2020;28(7):870-3.

518

519 44. Thomas MJ, Yu D, Nicholls E, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Conaghan PG, Stoner KJ, *et al.* Short-520 term recovery trajectories of acute flares in knee pain: a UK-Netherlands multi-centre 521 prospective cohort analysis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2020;72(12):1687-92.

523	45. Makovey J, Metcalf B, Zhang Y, Chen SJ, Bennell K, March L, et al. Web-based study of risk
524	factors for pain exacerbation in osteoarthritis of the knee (SPARK-Web): Design and
525	rationale. JMIR Res Protoc 2015;4(3):e80.
526	
527	46. Marty M, Hilliquin P, Rozenberg S, Valat JP, Vignon E, Coste P, et al. Validation of the
528	KOFUS (Knee Osteoarthritis Flare-Ups Score). Joint Bone Spine 2009;76(3):268-72.
529	
530	47. Guillemin F, Ricatte C, Barcenilla-Wong A, Schoumacker A, Cross M, Alleyrat C, et al.
531	Developing a preliminary definition and domains of flare in knee and hip osteoarthritis (OA):
532	consensus building of the flare-in-OA OMERACT Group. Journal of Rheumatol
533	2019;46(9):1188-1191.
534	
535	
536	
537	Figure Legends
538	Fig 1. Schematic representation of the 13-week ACT-FLARE case-crossover study design.

Fig 2. Probability of flare ending over time: median time to flare resolution is 5 days.

Characteristics of participants	Completed baseline (N=744)	Completed ≥1 scheduled questionnaire (N=591)	Completed ≥1 flare questionnaire (N=493)
Female	451 (61)	361 (61)	323 (66)
Age (years) (Mean (SD))	62.1 (10.2)	62.4 (10.1)	61.8 (10.3)
<55	185 (25)	141 (24)	129 (26)
55-64	247 (34)	195 (34)	168 (35)
65+	299 (41)	247 (42)	190 (39)
Males age (Mean (SD))	63.7 (10.4)	64.5 (10.1)	63.7 (10.7)
<55	59 (20)	41 (18)	36 (21)
55-64	90 (31)	70 (31)	49 (29)
65+	140 (48)	116 (51)	83 (49)
Females age (Mean (SD))	61.0 (10.0)	61.1 (9.9)	60.8 (10.0)
<55	126 (29)	100 (28)	93 (29)
55-64	157 (36)	125 (35)	119 (37)
65+	159 (36)	131 (37)	107 (34)
IMD			
Most deprived	99 (13)	74 (13)	73 (15)
2 nd most deprived	137 (18)	103 (17)	94 (19)
Mid-deprived	174 (23)	141 (24)	113 (23)
2 nd least deprived	191 (26)	149 (25)	123 (25)
Least deprived	142 (19)	124 (21)	90 (18)

Table I. Age, gender and deprivation scores between responders at each data collection point.

SD, Standard Deviation; IMD, Index of Multiple Deprivation.

Table II. Participant and knee characteristics of sample.

Table II. Participant and knee characteristics of sample Characteristic	Baseline responders
	(N=744)
Participant characteristic	
Age (years); mean (SD)	62.1 (10.2)
Female	451 (61)
Current employment	, ,
Employed/Self-employed	325 (44)
Retired	318 (43)
Looking after home and/or family	40 (5)
Unable to work (sick/disabled)	31 (4)
Unemployed/Voluntary work	15 (2)
Full, part-time student	2 (<1)
None of the above	6(1)
BMI (kg/m²); mean (SD)	29.2 (5.7)
Family history of total/partial knee replacement	112 (15)
Knee characteristic*	112 (10)
Time since onset of pain	
< 1 year ago	119 (16)
1 to 4 years ago	269 (36)
5 to 9 years ago	132 (18)
≥ 10 years ≥ 10	202 (27)
Knee pain pattern in the last year	202 (27)
Single episode	36 (5)
S 1	
Few episodes	213 (29)
Few episodes and some pain	261 (35)
Severe episodes and up and down pain	178 (24)
Severe pain all the time	42 (6)
Pain experience over last 6 months	16 (6)
No knee pain	46 (6)
Pain is predictable	211 (28)
Predictable, becoming more unpredictable	324 (44)
Constant Pain, aching, stiffness last month	155 (21)
	44 (6)
None	41 (6)
Few days,	114 (15)
Some days	166 (22)
Most days	211 (28)
All days	206 (28)
Worse pain last week (0-10 NRS); mean (SD)	5.5 (2.7)
Least pain last week (0-10 NRS); mean (SD)	3.4 (2.9)
Average pain (0-10 NRS); mean (SD)	4.8 (2.4)
Pain right now (0-10 NRS); mean (SD)	3.4 (2.9)
Bothersomeness of knee pain in the last 24 hours	400 (III)
Not at all	128 (17)
Slightly,	175 (24)
Moderately	214 (29)
Very much	164 (22)
Extremely	56 (8)
KOOS Physical Function (0-100); mean (SD)†	39.3 (18.3)
KOOS Quality of Life (0-100); mean (SD)‡	43.7 (23.7)

Table II. Continued...

Characteristic	Baseline responders (N=744)
Flare at present	254 (34)
Varus-valgus malalignment	7 (1)
Very bow legged	77 (10)
Bow legged	558 (75)
Normal	81 (11)
Knock-knee	6 (1)
Very knock-knee	,
Foot rotation	
Very turned out feet	14 (2)
Turned out feet	190 (26)
Straight	467 (63)
Turned in feet	58 (8)
Very turned in feet	4 (1)
Previous knee injury	399 (54)

Figures are numbers (%) unless otherwise stated.

^{*}The index knee was selected based on the knee with highest 'average pain' score on a 0-10 numerical rating scale. If scores were equal, then the knee with the highest 'worst pain in the last week' followed by the highest 'current pain', followed by random selection were selected. If left, or right knee score was missing, the available knee score was included.

[†]Higher scores indicate worse physical function.

[‡]Lower scores indicate worse quality of life.

SD, Standard Deviation; BMI, Body Mass Index; NRS, Numerical Rating Scale; KOOS, knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score. Percentages may not add to 100 due to missing data (Supplementary Table S6)

Table III. Associations between potential trigger exposures and knee OA flare onset within 24 hours (n=376 participants).

Potential trigger	Control periods N=867 N (%)	Hazard periods N=568 N (%)	OR (95% CI)
Walking outside without a rest			
Not at all	213 (25)	113 (20)	1
A little	476 (55)	292 (52)	1.34 (0.97, 1.86)
A lot	172 (20)	154 (28)	2.41 (1.63, 3.57)
Standing for long periods without a rest	` '	` '	,
Not at all	362 (42)	201 (36)	1
A little	408 (47)	237 (43)	1.14 (0.86, 1.52)
A lot	91 (11)	119 (21)	3.29 (2.22, 4.87)
Sitting for long periods without a break	, - ()	()	
Not at all	199 (23)	158 (28)	1
A little	451 (52)	259 (46)	0.65 (0.48, 0.89)
A lot	213 (25)	141 (25)	0.67 (0.46, 0.98)
	213 (23)	141 (23)	0.07 (0.40, 0.90)
Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity Not at all	111 (10)	272 (40)	1
	414 (48)	272 (49)	
A let	336 (39)	187 (33)	0.90 (0.67, 1.20)
A lot	108 (13)	100 (18)	1.64 (1.12, 2.39)
Going up and down stairs	100 (10)	05 (45)	A
Not at all	133 (16)	85 (15)	1
A little	521 (61)	337 (61)	1.14 (0.73, 1.79)
A lot	206 (24)	134 (24)	1.35 (0.82, 2.23)
Driving			
Not at all	365 (43)	238 (43)	1
A little	404 (48)	257 (47)	0.96 (0.71, 1.30)
A lot	80 (9)	58 (10)	1.03 (0.63, 1.70)
Squatting or kneeling			
Not at all	559 (65)	347 (63)	1
A little	260 (30)	144 (26)	1.09 (0.79, 1.51)
A lot	40 (5)	63 (11)	3.30 (1.95, 5.59)
Lifting or moving heavy objects	` '	` ,	,
Not at all	637 (75)	401 (72)	1
A little	200 (23)	124 (22)	1.00 (0.74, 1.34)
A lot	18 (2)	31 (6)	3.28 (1.62, 6.65)
Going up and down ladders	- (-)	0 - (0)	0.20 (-102, 0.00)
Not at all	798 (94)	501 (90)	1
A little	34 (4)	34 (6)	2.10 (1.20, 3.66)
A lot	18 (2)	21 (4)	2.92 (1.35, 6.33)
Slips, trips, sprains, and strains	10 (2)	41 (T)	4.74 (1.33, 0.33)
Slip, trip or fall			
No	843 (98)	536 (96)	1
Yes	` ,	` ,	
	16 (2)	23 (4)	2.33 (1.11, 4.86)
Episode of buckling or giving way	700 (02)	277 ((0)	4
No	788 (93)	377 (68)	1
Yes	64 (8)	178 (32)	9.06 (5.86, 13.99)
Health and healthcare use			
Reduce or miss medication			
No	792 (93)	535 (96)	1
Yes	60 (7)	20 (4)	0.34 (0.18, 0.63)
Take extra pain medication in			
anticipation of increased activity/busier			
No	800 (94)	439 (79)	1
Yes	52 (6)	120 (21)	5.37 (3.48, 8.28)
Cough, cold or other minor infection	` '	` ,	, , ,
No	668 (78)	448 (80)	1
Yes	187 (22)	113 (20)	0.72 (0.52, 0.99)

Table III. Continued...

Potential trigger	Control periods N=867 N (%)	Hazard periods N=568 N (%)	OR (95% CI)				
				Stress and other things			
				Work-related stress			
				No	790 (93)	506 (91)	1
Yes	59 (7)	49 (9)	1.16 (0.72, 1.88)				
Home-related stress	• •	, ,	, ,				
No	767 (89)	484 (86)	1				
Yes	92 (11)	77 (14)	1.32 (0.90, 1.93)				
Friend/family-related stress	• •	, ,	, ,				
No	779 (91)	498 (89)	1				
Yes	80 (9)	63 (11)	1.11 (0.73, 1.68)				
Low mood/depressed	• •		,				
No	728 (85)	404 (72)	1				
Yes	132 (15)	158 (28)	2.30 (1.67, 3.16)				
Feeling angry, irritable or hostile	` ,		, ,				
No	760 (89)	453 (80)	1				
Yes	98 (11)	112 (20)	2.04 (1.43, 2.90)				
Poor night's sleep	` ,		, , ,				
No	515 (60)	216 (39)	1				
Yes	345 (40)	342 (61)	3.04 (2.29, 4.02)				
Generally cold and damp weather		` ,	, , ,				
No	373 (43)	203 (36)	1				
Yes	486 (57)	358 (64)	1.45 (1.12, 1.87)				

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Participants may have reported multiple hazard and control periods thus N will exceed the total number of participants.





