Monthly Notices of the ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY MNRAS **515**, 2755–2765 (2022)

Advance Access publication 2022 July 27

https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1993

# Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/515/2/2755/6650885 by Keele University user on 15 August 2022

# Four bright eclipsing binaries with $\gamma$ Doradus pulsating components: CM Lac, MZ Lac, RX Dra, and V2077 Cyg

John Southworth<sup>101</sup> and Timothy Van Reeth<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK
 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Astronomy, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

Accepted 2022 July 11. Received 2022 July 10; in original form 2022 April 13

# ABSTRACT

The study of pulsating stars in eclipsing binaries holds the promise of combining two different ways of measuring the physical properties of a star to obtain improved constraints on stellar theory. Gravity (g) mode pulsations such as those found in  $\gamma$  Doradus stars can be used to probe rotational profiles, mixing, and magnetic fields. Until recently few  $\gamma$  Doradus stars in eclipsing binaries were known. We have discovered g-mode pulsations in four detached eclipsing binary systems from light curves obtained by the *Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS)* and present an analysis of their eclipses and pulsational characteristics. We find unresolved g-mode pulsations at frequencies  $1-1.5 d^{-1}$  in CM Lac, and measure the masses and radii of the component stars from the *TESS* data and published radial velocities. MZ Lac shows a much richer frequency spectrum, including pressure modes and tidally excited g-modes. RX Dra is in the northern continuous viewing zone of *TESS* so has a light-curve covering a full year, but shows relatively few pulsation frequencies. For V2077 Cyg we formally measure four pulsation frequencies, but the available data are inadequate to properly resolve the g-mode pulsations. V2077 Cyg also shows total eclipses, with which we obtain the first measurement of the surface gravity of the faint secondary star. All four systems are bright and good candidates for detailed study. Further *TESS* observations are scheduled for all four systems, with much improved temporal baselines in the cases of RX Dra and V2077 Cyg.

Key words: binaries: eclipsing - stars: fundamental parameters - stars: oscillations.

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Eclipsing binary star systems (EBs) are crucial objects for understanding the physics governing stellar structure and evolution, because they are the only stars whose masses and radii can be measured to high precision and accuracy from observational material and geometrical arguments alone. Precise measurements of the masses and radii of stars in EBs were instrumental in the development of stellar evolutionary theory (e.g. Russell 1914), in the verification of the first modern generation of theoretical stellar models (e.g. Andersen, Clausen & Nordström 1990; Pols et al. 1997), and continue to be used to guide theoretical progress (Claret & Torres 2018; Tkachenko et al. 2020). In the current era of échelle spectroscopy and space-based light curves, it is possible to measure masses and radii for stars in EB to precisions approaching 0.2 per cent (Maxted et al. 2020; Graczyk et al. 2021). The impact of photometry from space missions has been reviewed in detail by Southworth (2021a).

Another class of stars capable of posing high-quality constraints on stellar theory is that of the pulsating variables (Aerts, Christensen-Dalsgaard & Kurtz 2010). Among these, stars showing gravity-mode (g-mode) pulsations are well-suited to studying the interiors of stars as g-modes, which have buoyancy as the dominant restoring force, can travel deep within stars whilst leaving observable signatures on the stellar surface (Bowman 2020).  $\gamma$  Doradus ( $\gamma$  Dor) variables (Kaye et al. 1999) are stars of spectral types A and F that show g-

\* E-mail: taylorsouthworth@gmail.com

mode pulsations with periods ranging from 0.3 to 4 d and amplitudes up to 0.1 mag (Henry, Fekel & Henry 2007; Grigahcène et al. 2010).

In recent years, asteroseismic analyses of main-sequence stars with g-mode pulsations have allowed us to place constraints on multiple phenomena. These include near-core stellar rotation (e.g. Bouabid et al. 2013; Van Reeth, Tkachenko & Aerts 2016; Christophe et al. 2018; Takata et al. 2020a, b; Szewczuk, Walczak & Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz 2021), convective core boundary mixing (e.g. Michielsen et al. 2019; Wu & Li 2019; Mombarg, Van Reeth & Aerts 2021), extra envelope mixing (e.g. Mombarg et al. 2020; Pedersen et al. 2021), and magnetic fields (e.g. Prat et al. 2019; Van Beeck et al. 2020; Lecoanet, Bowman & Van Reeth 2022).

The advantages of asteroseismology and binarity can be combined in objects which show both eclipses and pulsations. These systems have the potential to set exacting constraints on stellar theory (e.g. Johnston, Pavlovski & Tkachenko 2019b). A wide variety of pulsating stars are known in EBs, including  $\delta$  Scuti (da Silva et al. 2014; Maceroni et al. 2014; Lee, Hong & Kristiansen 2020; Lee, Hong & Kim 2021; Southworth 2021d),  $\beta$  Cephei (Southworth et al. 2020; Lee & Hong 2021; Southworth, Bowman & Pavlovski 2021), SPB (Clausen 1996; Southworth & Bowman 2022), and  $\delta$  Cephei (Pilecki et al. 2018). Over the last decade, multiple  $\gamma$  Dor variables in EBs have been discovered as well. An emblematic case is that of KIC 11285625 (Debosscher et al. 2013). Others were found by, among others, Hambleton et al. (2013), Lee et al. (2014), Sowicka et al. (2017), Hełminiak et al. (2017), Guo, Gies & Matson (2017b), Lampens et al. (2018), Guo et al. (2019), and Van Reeth et al. (2022). A sample of 115  $\gamma$  Dor variables in EBs was identified by Gaulme & Guzik (2019) via a systematic search of the *Kepler* EB catalogue (Kirk et al. 2016). Li et al. (2020) performed an asteroseismic evaluation of these targets and reported the detection of g-mode period-spacing patterns for 34 of them, as well as for one discovered by Colman et al. (2022). An independent systematic search and asteroseismic evaluation of the *Kepler* EB catalogue was conducted by Sekaran et al. (2020), resulting in a different sample of 93  $\gamma$  Dor variables in EBs, with detected period-spacing patterns for seven of them. So far, asteroseismic modelling has only been achieved for a small number of targets: KIC 10080943 (Schmid & Aerts 2016; Johnston et al. 2019a), KIC 10486425 (Zhang et al. 2018), KIC 7385478 (Guo & Li 2019), and KIC 9850387 (Zhang et al. 2020; Sekaran et al. 2021).

The accumulating data from the NASA *Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS*; Ricker et al. 2015) is enabling previously unattainable analyses of many of the bright and well-known variable stars. The catalogue of objects includes many EBs with a long observational history (e.g. Southworth 2020). In the course of trawling this data base, we have discovered four bright EBs whose light curves also show  $\gamma$  Dor pulsations. In this work we present these discoveries, studies of their light and radial velocity (RV) curves, and a first analysis of the nature of their pulsations.

# 2 OBSERVATIONS

The *TESS* satellite (Ricker et al. 2015) is in the process of observing almost the entire celestial sphere, split into 69 overlapping sectors. Each sector is a  $24^{\circ} \times 96^{\circ}$  strip of sky and is photometrically monitored for 27.4 d, through a filter with a high throughput between 600 and 1000 nm, with a pause near the midpoint for the download of data to Earth. During the nominal two-year mission, full-frame images (FFI) were taken at a default cadence of 1800 s, while a subset of stars were monitored in short cadence, where successive exposures were combined to yield light curves with a cadence of 120 s. In the ongoing extended mission, the FFI cadence has been changed to 600 s.

Reduced light curves are obtained from the data by the Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC; Jenkins et al. 2016) and made available through the MAST portal.<sup>1</sup> We used the simple aperture photometry (SAP) light curves in this work. We visually inspected the *TESS* light curves of approximately 2000 EBs present in a bibliography maintained by the first author,<sup>2</sup> and identified four objects that show both eclipses and previously unrecognized  $\gamma$  Dor pulsations: CM Lac, MZ Lac, RX Dra, and V2077 Cyg.

CM Lac was observed only in sector 16 (2019 September 11 to 2019 October 7) and one further sector of observations is scheduled<sup>3</sup> (sector 56, 2022 September). MZ Lac was observed in two consecutive sectors, 16 and 17 (2019 September 11 to 2019 November 2) and this will recur in sectors 56–57 (2022 Sept-Oct). By contrast, extensive observations exist for RX Dra because it is sited within the northern continuous viewing zone (CVZ) of *TESS*. It was observed in sectors 14–26 (2019 July 18 to 2020 July 4) and 40–41 (2021 June 8 to 2021 August 20) and observations are currently being obtained in sectors 47–60 (2021 December 30 to 2023 January 18). V2077 Cyg was observed in sectors 14 (2019 July 18 to 2019 August 15), 26 (2020 June 8 to 2020 July 4) and 41 (2021 July 23 to

<sup>1</sup>https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html

<sup>2</sup>A hobby during Covid-19 lockdowns.

2021 August 20), and an additional four consecutive sectors (53–56) will be observed in 2022. The light curves for one sector of each of the four targets are shown in Fig. 1.

Following the target selection, we carefully reassessed the quality of the reduced SAP light curves. First, we inspected the aperture masks used in the pixel data and evaluated both the level of captured flux and the level of contamination from nearby stars. For all stars, the standard SPOC aperture mask was accepted, with the exception of RX Dra. Here, we re-extracted the light curve from the pixel data using custom aperture masks, to account for the varying contamination level between sectors by the nearby non-variable star 2MASS J19024829+5844050. Secondly, we applied additional detrending to the light curves by fitting low-order polynomials. To avoid overfitting, these detrending curves were optimized simultaneously with preliminary binary and pulsation models, built from a sum of sine waves:

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} a_i \sin(2\pi v_i (t - t_0) + \phi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{10} a_j \sin(2\pi j v_{\text{orb}} (t - t_0) + \phi_j)$$

Here,  $v_i$  and  $v_{orb}$  are estimates for the dominant pulsation frequencies and the orbital frequency,  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are their amplitudes, and  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$  are their phases, respectively. The mean timestamp of each light curve was used as the zeropoint  $t_0$ .

### **3 ANALYSIS METHODS**

### 3.1 Light-curve analysis

All four systems are well-detached EBs so are suitable for analysis with the JKTEBOP code<sup>4</sup> (Southworth, Maxted & Smalley 2004a; Southworth 2013). In this code, the fractional radii of the stars<sup>5</sup> are parametrized as their sum  $(r_A + r_B)$  and ratio  $(k = \frac{r_B}{r_A})$ , and the orbital eccentricity (*e*) and argument of periastron are parametrized using the combination terms  $e\cos \omega$  and  $e\sin \omega$ . Other fitted parameters include the orbital period (*P*), midpoint of primary eclipse (*T*<sub>0</sub>), orbital inclination (*i*), and the central surface brightness ratio (*J*). We used the quadratic limb darkening (LD) law, fitted for the linear LD coefficients ( $u_A$  and  $u_B$ ), and fixed the quadratic LD coefficients ( $v_A$ and  $v_B$ ) to theoretical values (Claret 2018). We define the primary eclipse to be the deeper of the two types of eclipse, the primary star (the star eclipsed during primary eclipse) to be star A, and the secondary to be star B.

The presence of pulsations complicates the light-curve analysis. In our initial analysis, we ignored this and fitted the entire light curve with a JKTEBOP model including one low-order polynomial versus time per *TESS* half-sector to remove slow drifts in brightness due to instrumental or astrophysical effects. Once the residuals of the fit were obtained, these were subsequently used in the pulsation analysis (see below). For CM Lac and V2077 Cyg we then extracted each eclipse from the data, plus half an eclipse duration either side, and then fitted these together with a low-order polynomial for each eclipse representing the pulsation-induced brightness changes around the time of the eclipse. This procedure was successful in the case of CM Lac, but led to small systematic biases for V2077 Cyg due to the

### <sup>4</sup>http://www.astro.keele.ac.uk/jkt/codes/jktebop.html

 ${}^{5}r_{A} = \frac{R_{A}}{a}$  and  $r_{B} = \frac{R_{B}}{a}$ , where  $R_{A}$  and  $R_{B}$  are the true radii and *a* is the semimajor axis of the relative orbit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/tess/webtess/wtv.py



Figure 1. TESS SAP light curves of the four EBs. In each case only one sector is shown.

amplitude and complexity of the pulsational signature. For RX Dra the surfeit of data mandated a different approach: an initial fit to the full data followed by phase-binning down into a more manageable number of observations (see Section 4.3).

Uncertainties in the fitted parameters were calculated using the Monte Carlo and residual-permutation simulations implemented in JKTEBOP (Southworth 2008). The Monte Carlo simulations account for correlations between photometric parameters, and required the errorbars in the *TESS* data to be rescaled to force a reduced  $\chi^2$  of  $\chi^2_{\nu}$  = 1. The residual-permutation simulations are sensitive to red noise in data, so are useful in the case of unmodelled pulsations. The two errorbars were checked for every parameter and the larger of the two retained in each case. From recent analyses of the TESS light curves of other EBs (Southworth 2021b, c) we have found that the Monte Carlo and residual-permutation simulations typically agree with each other and with errorbars obtained from splitting up light curves into multiple subsets for analysis in isolation. Additional support for the reliability of the Monte Carlo and residual-permutation errorbars, and of the JKTEBOP model, comes from a recent analysis of AI Phe by multiple researchers working independently and using multiple codes and error estimation methods (Maxted et al. 2020). The systematics in the modelling of V2077 Cyg have only a small effect on our results

because the system is totally eclipsing and thus possesses a higher intrinsic determinacy of the photometric parameters (Kopal 1959).

### 3.2 Pulsation analysis

After a good fit to the light curve had been obtained, the residuals of the fit were calculated and subjected to a frequency analysis. The data points that were obtained during the eclipses were excluded to minimize the influence of any remaining binary signal in the residuals. Because the available *TESS* data are in most cases limited to one or two sectors per star, which are generally insufficient to resolve individual g-mode pulsations, this remains a preliminary analysis. Using a Lomb–Scargle periodogram (Scargle 1982), we iteratively pre-whitened the light curve (Degroote et al. 2009) to measure the pulsations for which the signal-to-noise ratio S/N  $\geq$  4 (Breger et al. 1993).

The amplitudes, frequencies, and phases of these pulsations were subsequently optimized with a non-linear least-squares fit (Bowman 2017; Bowman & Michielsen 2021) and the S/N ratios were reevaluated using these optimized parameter values. The derived pulsation frequencies and their combinations were then compared with harmonics of the binary orbital frequency to identify possible **Table 1.** Parameters measured from the eclipses using the JKTEBOP code. Parameters with a superscripted *N* were calculated using the nominal physical constants and solar quantities defined by the IAU (Prša et al. 2016). The  $T_{\rm eff}$  values are from Liakos & Niarchos (2012) for CM Lac and from Molenda-Żakowicz et al. (2007) for V2077 Cyg.

	CM Lac	MZ Lac	RX Dra	V2077 Cyg
Fitted parameters:				
$r_{\rm A} + r_{\rm B}$	$0.35383 \pm 0.00078$	$0.2557 \pm 0.0024$	$0.21854 \pm 0.000099$	$0.12303 \pm 0.00022$
k	$0.902 \pm 0.018$	$0.893 \pm 0.027$	$0.7144 \pm 0.0010$	$0.4933 \pm 0.0063$
<i>i</i> (°)	$87.573 \pm 0.082$	$88.53 \pm 0.41$	$88.589 \pm 0.018$	$88.668 \pm 0.048$
J	$0.5956 \pm 0.0074$	$0.888 \pm 0.048$	$0.8063 \pm 0.0055$	$0.321 \pm 0.013$
$L_3$	$-0.0043 \pm 0.0070$	$0.377 \pm 0.018$	$0.0176 \pm 0.0013$	$-0.026 \pm 0.018$
<i>u</i> <sub>A</sub>	$0.237 \pm 0.029$	$0.62 \pm 0.13$	$0.300 \pm 0.031$	$0.248 \pm 0.033$
uB	$0.182 \pm 0.040$	$0.673 \pm 0.073$	$0.195 \pm 0.057$	$0.35 \pm 0.11$
$v_{\rm A}$	0.232 (fixed)	0.229 (fixed)	$0.081 \pm 0.050$	0.229 (fixed)
$v_{\rm B}$	0.229 (fixed)	0.229 (fixed)	$0.316 \pm 0.096$	0.229 (fixed)
ecosω	$0.000289 \pm 0.000082$	$0.00732 \pm 0.00025$	$0.000030 \pm 0.000010$	0.0 fixed
$e \sin \omega$	$0.0009 \pm 0.0023$	$0.4116 \pm 0.0079$	$0.00094 \pm 0.00062$	0.0 fixed
<i>P</i> (d)	$1.6046879 \pm 0.0000046$	$3.158794 \pm 0.00009$	$3.78639491 \pm 0.00000009$	$5.937226 \pm 0.000068$
$T_0$ (BJD/TDB)	$2458752.67073 \pm 0.00032$	$2458765.08683 \pm 0.00014$	$2458857.410151 \pm 0.000002$	$2458697.52198 \pm 0.00028$
$K_{\rm A} ({\rm kms^{-1}})$	$120.0 \pm 3.4$	_	_	$54.52 \pm 0.82$
$K_{\rm B}  ({\rm km  s^{-1}})$	$157.0 \pm 3.3$	_	_	_
$V_{\gamma, A} ({\rm km  s^{-1}})$	$22.7 \pm 1.9$	_	_	$-0.23 \pm 0.40$
$V_{\gamma, B}  ({\rm km  s^{-1}})$	$25.0 \pm 1.8$	_	_	_
Derived parameters:				
r <sub>A</sub>	$0.1860 \pm 0.0015$	$0.1351 \pm 0.0032$	$0.12747 \pm 0.00008$	$0.08238 \pm 0.00038$
r <sub>B</sub>	$0.1678 \pm 0.0021$	$0.1296 \pm 0.0016$	$0.09107 \pm 0.00008$	$0.04064 \pm 0.00034$
е	$0.0009 \pm 0.0015$	$0.4117 \pm 0.0079$	$0.00094 \pm 0.00059$	_
$\omega$ (°)	$71^{+213}_{-14}$	$88.091 \pm 0.041$	$88.2 \pm 1.5$	_
Light ratio	$0.495 \pm 0.018$	$0.691 \pm 0.062$	$0.4088 \pm 0.0008$	$0.0750 \pm 0.0022$
Mass of star A $(\mathcal{M}^N_{\odot})$	$2.01 \pm 0.10$	-	_	_
Mass of star B $(\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\odot})$	$1.54 \pm 0.09$	-	_	_
Radius of star A ( $\mathcal{R}^{N}_{\odot}$ )	$1.636 \pm 0.032$	-	_	-
Radius of star B $(\mathcal{R}^{N}_{\odot})$	$1.476 \pm 0.031$	-	_	-
Surface gravity of star A	$4.314 \pm 0.011$	-	-	-
Surface gravity of star B	$4.286 \pm 0.016$	-	-	$4.607 \pm 0.007$
$T_{\rm eff}$ of star A (K)	$8700 \pm 300$	_	_	$7066 \pm 201$
$T_{\rm eff}$ of star B (K)	$7034 \pm 270$	-	-	-

tidally excited (e.g. Fuller 2017; Guo et al. 2019), tidally perturbed (e.g. Reyniers & Smeyers 2003; Bowman et al. 2019; Steindl, Zwintz & Bowman 2021), or non-linearly coupled oscillations (e.g. Burkart et al. 2012; Guo 2020).

Finally, we searched for combination frequencies

 $\nu_k = n_i \nu_i + n_j \nu_j,$ 

where  $v_i$ ,  $v_j$ , and  $v_k$  are measured frequencies, and  $n_i$  and  $n_j$  are integer numbers such that  $|n_i| + |n_j| \le 2$ . Such combination frequency identifications were accepted if they agreed within  $3\sigma$ .

### 3.3 Physical properties

Published spectroscopic orbits exist for both components of the CM Lac system, allowing us to measure its physical properties directly. To do so we included the published radial velocities (RVs) in the JKTEBOP analysis in order to determine the absolute masses and radii of the stars. In the case of V2077 Cyg RVs are available for the primary but not the secondary component. In this situation the masses and radii of the stars cannot be measured directly (e.g. Hilditch 2001), but it is possible to obtain the surface gravity of the secondary (Southworth et al. 2004b; Southworth, Wheatley & Sams 2007) and thus verify its evolutionary status. The measured properties of the four targets are given in Table 1, including published effective temperature ( $T_{\rm eff}$ ) values where available.

# **4 DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL SYSTEMS**

### 4.1 CM Lacertae

### 4.1.1 Analysis of the binarity

CMLac was discovered to be an eclipsing binary by Wachmann (1931) and physical properties were measured by Popper (1968). The most detailed study of the system was by Liakos & Niarchos (2012), who determined its physical properties based on light curves observed in the *BVRI* bands and RVs from a set of 28 medium-resolution spectra.

The *TESS* light curve of CM Lac (Fig. 1) contains 12 primary and 14 secondary eclipses. The pulsations are strong enough to significantly affect the eclipse depths so we cut out the data around each eclipse from the light curve and modelled the out-of-eclipse brightness through each as a quadratic function, as described in Section 3.1. We found that it was possible to constrain one LD coefficient for each star. We also fitted for the amount of third light ( $L_3$ ), obtaining a slightly negative value. This is physically plausible if the background subtraction in the *TESS* images is too strong. Although the orbit appears circular, a slight eccentricity is preferred for the *TESS* data. A phased light curve is shown in Fig. 2.

Our final solution includes the RVs from Liakos & Niarchos (2012) in order to determine the full properties of the system; we fitted for the velocity amplitudes ( $K_A$  and  $K_B$ ) and systemic velocities ( $V_{\gamma, A}$ )



Figure 2. *TESS* SAP light curves of the four EBs plotted versus orbital phase. In each case the coloured points give the observations and the black line shows the best fit. The residuals are plotted below each main panel on an inflated scale. The black points show the residuals binned according to orbital phase. The plot for V2077 Cyg has been split into panels concentrating on the eclipses for clarity. The polynomials versus time have been subtracted from the observations in all cases to remove any slow trends in brightness that would otherwise blur the figure in the vertical direction.

and  $V_{\gamma, B}$  of both stars (Fig. 3). The reduced  $\chi^2$  of the fit was forced to unity for each data set by scaling the data errors. We tried including historical times of primary eclipse as well (Wachmann 1931; Kreiner, Kim & Nha 2001) but they occurred systematically too late so we rejected them – this may suggest that the orbital period of CM Lac is not constant. The uncertainties from residual permutation are larger than those from Monte Carlo. Our results are in Table 1: they agree well with those of Liakos & Niarchos (2012). Further RVs are needed to refine the mass measurements, which are currently precise to only 5 per cent.

### 4.1.2 Analysis of the pulsations

Our frequency analysis reveals the presence of  $\gamma$  Dor pulsations. This can easily be seen from the Lomb–Scargle periodogram of the *TESS* light curve (excluding the eclipses) shown in Fig. 4. There is unresolved variability with frequencies between 1.0 and  $1.5 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . This is most likely caused by g-mode pulsations, as the (tentative) dominant frequency that can be obtained with iterative pre-

whitening (listed in Table 2), differs significantly from the harmonics of the binary orbital frequency. However, because the variability is unresolved, the measured frequency value cannot be assigned to a single g-mode pulsation. The asymmetric shape of the light curve is also typical for g-mode pulsations (Kurtz et al. 2015).

We note that the second harmonic of the binary orbital frequency also lies within the frequency range of the observed variability, as shown in Fig. 4. However, because the available *TESS* data only cover 27 d, we cannot investigate the nature of the variability at this frequency. It may be caused by a residual signature of the binarity or unresolved nearby g-mode pulsation frequencies, but more highprecision photometric data are needed to resolve this issue. The unresolved variability around the fourth and sixth harmonics of the orbital frequency are likely aliases of the unresolved variability around the second harmonic, as can be deduced from the spectral window shown in the top panel of Fig. 4. From a visual inspection of the *TESS* light curve, we find that the pulsations remain visible during the primary eclipse, but vanish during the secondary eclipse. This means that the g-mode pulsations belong to the secondary star.



**Figure 3.** Fitted spectroscopic orbit for CM Lac (blue lines) compared to the measured RVs from Liakos & Niarchos (2012): red circles for star A and red squares for star B. The residuals of the fit are shown in the lower panels.



**Figure 4.** Spectral window (top panel) and part of the Lomb–Scargle periodogram (black) of the *TESS* light curve of CMLac, excluding the eclipses. The harmonics of the orbital frequency  $v_{orb}$  (dotted grey lines) and the extracted pulsation frequency (dashed red line) are also indicated.

 
 Table 2. The parameter values for the significant pre-whitened frequency of CM Lac. Bracketed quantities indicate the uncertainty in the final digit of the preceding number.

Frequency (d <sup>-1</sup> )	Amplitude (mmag)	Phase (rad)	S/N
1.1799 (2)	12.5 (1)	0.4276 (12)	6.0

### 4.2 MZ Lacertae

### 4.2.1 Analysis of the binarity

MZ Lac was found to be an EB by Miller & Wachmann (1971), where it is labelled VV 399, but has been the subject of very little study since. It exhibits apsidal motion (Silhan 1990) with a period of 424  $\pm$  6 yr (Bulut et al. 2016). The *TESS* light curve shows deep eclipses (Fig. 1) and covers two sectors. The secondary eclipse is at approximately phase 0.5 but is significantly longer than the primary, so  $e\cos \omega \approx 0$  but  $e\sin \omega$  is large.

The pulsations in MZ Lac have a much lower amplitude than the eclipses so we treated them as red noise: we fitted the whole data set with JKTEBOP without attempting to reject out-of-eclipse data or compensate for the pulsations in any way. We fitted for the same parameters as for CM Lac, except for those related to RVs and for the inclusion of only four polynomials for the out-of-eclipse brightness of the system (one for each *TESS* half-sector). Due to the apsidal motion, we did not attempt to use published eclipse timings to help constrain the orbital ephemeris. A substantial amount of third light is required to obtain a good fit to the data.

We were able to find a good fit to the light curve (Table 1). The residual-permutation errorbars are significantly larger than the Monte Carlo errorbars, which suggests it would be possible to improve the light-curve analysis in future by either subtracting the pulsations or fitting for them simultaneously. The full physical properties of the system cannot be calculated as no RVs are available. *Gaia* DR2 (Gaia Collaboration 2018) gives a  $T_{\text{eff}}$  of the system of 6900 K; if this is appropriate for star A then the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  of star B is 6700 K based on the value of J we measure. These values are consistent with the presence of  $\delta$  Sct and  $\gamma$  Dor pulsations (Grigahcène et al. 2010; Bowman & Kurtz 2018; Murphy et al. 2019).

### 4.2.2 Analysis of the pulsations

From a detailed analysis of the *TESS* photometry, we find that MZ Lac exhibits an interesting variety of pulsation behaviour. Following the binary modelling, we excluded the eclipses from the residual light curve and used this clipped light curve for the iterative pre-whitening. The pre-whitened pulsation frequencies of MZ Lac are listed in Table 3 and shown in Fig. 5.

Ten frequencies below  $5 d^{-1}$  have been measured from the *TESS* light curve. Five of these lie significantly close to harmonics of the orbital frequency,<sup>6</sup> and four are well within  $3\sigma$ . A visual inspection of the light curve, phase-folded with the binary orbital period as shown in Fig. 2, reveals that these frequencies are likely related to tidally excited pulsations. An imperfect fit of the binary orbit to the light curve might cause similar residual signal in the Fourier transform at integer multiples of the orbital frequency. However, the dominant variation seen in the phase-folded light curve (in Fig. 2) occurs on a time-scale much shorter than the orbital period, which is consistent with tidally excited pulsations, but not with residual signal of the binary orbit itself.

We also obtain five non-harmonic low frequencies. While these have values of typical  $\gamma$  Dor-type pulsations, three pairs of them form combination frequencies that (almost) coincide with harmonics of the orbital frequency:  $2\nu_2 + \nu_6 = 11.000(3) \nu_{orb}$ ,  $\nu_5 + \nu_8 =$  $11.9966(12) \nu_{orb}$ , and  $\nu_2 + \nu_9 = 12.0303(16) \nu_{orb}$ . The first two combinations match the closest orbital harmonics within  $3\sigma$ , and the last one matches the orbital harmonic within  $\nu_{res}$ . This can be caused by non-linear mode coupling, whereby the orbital harmonic is the parent frequency and the observed non-harmonic frequencies are

<sup>6</sup>MZ Lac experiences apsidal motion, so its sidereal and anomalistic periods are not identical. However, they differ by only 0.000035 d so our analysis is not affected by which we choose to represent the orbital frequency.

**Table 3.** An overview of the parameter values for the significant frequencies of MZ Lac. For frequencies that lie close to harmonics of the binary orbital frequency  $v_{orb}$ , the differences between those frequencies and their corresponding harmonics are also given. Possible combination frequencies are listed in the last column.

	Frequency (d <sup>-1</sup> )	Amplitude (mmag)	Phase (rad)	S/N	i	$iv_{\rm orb} - v$	Comments
ν <sub>1</sub>	0.31523 (14)	1.66 (3)	0.495 (2)	12.3	1	- 0.00135 (14)	_
$\nu_2$	0.8729 (4)	0.70(2)	-0.477(5)	5.9		-	_
<i>v</i> <sub>3</sub>	1.2665 (2)	1.46 (3)	0.131 (3)	14.7	4	0.0002 (2)	_
$v_4$	1.5819 (4)	0.60(3)	-0.103(7)	6.3	5	-0.0010(4)	_
$v_5$	1.6094 (3)	0.74 (2)	-0.326(5)	7.9	_	-	_
$\nu_6$	1.73674 (14)	1.86 (2)	0.424 (2)	20.5	_	_	$2\nu_2 + \nu_6 = 11.000(3) \nu_{\rm orb}$
$v_7$	1.8991 (4)	0.74 (3)	-0.441(5)	8.2	6	-0.0004(4)	_
V8	2.1884 (2)	1.13 (2)	0.038 (3)	14.2	_	_	$v_5 + v_8 = 11.9966(12) v_{\text{orb}}$
v9	2.9357 (3)	0.85 (2)	-0.458(4)	12.8	_	-	$v_2 + v_9 = 12.0303(16) v_{\text{orb}}$
$v_{10}$	3.79908 (14)	1.81 (2)	0.424 (2)	32.5	12	0.0002 (2)	_
v <sub>11</sub>	46.6367 (7)	0.33 (2)	0.264 (11)	13.0	_	-	_
$v_{12}$	48.362 (2)	0.14 (2)	-0.20(3)	4.4	_	_	_
v <sub>13</sub>	49.1942 (9)	0.26 (2)	-0.408 (14)	8.4	_	_	_
$v_{14}$	51.2559 (4)	0.57 (2)	- 0.334 (7)	20.3	-		_



**Figure 5.** Sections of the Lomb–Scargle periodogram (black) of the residual light curve of MZ Lac. The harmonics of the orbital frequency  $v_{orb}$  (dotted grey lines) and the extracted pulsation frequencies are also indicated. The dashed red lines and dash-dotted blue lines mark non-harmonic and harmonic pulsation frequencies, respectively.

the child frequencies (e.g. Burkart et al. 2012; Weinberg, Arras & Burkart 2013; Guo, Gies & Fuller 2017a). Finally, there are four pressure-mode (p-mode) pulsations, with frequencies between 46 and  $51.5 \,d^{-1}$ .

From a visual inspection of the *TESS* light curve shown in Fig. 2, we find that the pulsations appear to be less visible during both eclipses. Our best explanation for this apparent physical inconsistency is that the (tidally excited) pulsations were partially fitted by the binary model in the eclipses. This could have happened in particular if the pulsations had a similar effect on the eclipse shape as LD, as some LD coefficients were included as fitted parameters. The fitted LD coefficients are indeed larger than expected. Whilst one approach to fixing this problem might be to fix the LD coefficients, this risks biasing the measured radii because theoretical LD coefficients are not completely reliable. An alternative approach in future could be to simultaneously model the eclipses and the pulsations.

# 4.3 RX Draconis

### 4.3.1 Analysis of the binarity

RX Dra has been known to be eclipsing for over a century. The first photometric analysis was given by Shapley (1913) but it has received very little attention subsequently. It came to the authors' attention by its inclusion in a list of EBs that are candidates for hosting pulsating stars (Soydugan et al. 2006), and inspection of its *TESS* light curve revealed clear pulsations of the  $\gamma$  Dor type. *TESS* observations are available for 13 consecutive sectors (14–26) followed by two further sectors (40 and 41). At the time of writing, observations are also ongoing for 14 consecutive sectors (47–60); see Section 2. We base our results in this work on the data from sectors 14–26.

Because of the huge amount of data (230 766 observations sampled at 120 s cadence for one year) covering a large number of eclipses (approximately 170) we first obtained a preliminary solution with JKTEBOP to establish the orbital ephemeris. We then converted the data into orbital phase and binned them into 1000 points equally spaced in phase. The primary eclipse is annular and the secondary eclipse is total. A good fit to the binned data can be obtained comparatively easily due to the total eclipses. We included orbital eccentricity and third light as fitted parameters and both come out as very small but probably non-zero. It was also possible to fit for both LD coefficients for both stars, an occurrence the first author has not previously encountered despite having recently studied the TESS light curves of over 50 EBs. The errorbars from the Monte Carlo algorithm were adopted as they are significantly larger than those from the residual-permutation approach. Our results are given in Table 1. The uncertainties in the fractional radii are below 0.1 per cent, but we recommend that interested users increase them to at least 0.2 per cent as this is the lower limit of reliability established by comparing independent analyses of the same data for a similar EB (Maxted et al. 2020).

### 4.3.2 Analysis of the pulsations

RX Dra is an outlier in our sample. While we only have one or two *TESS* sectors of data available for most of our stars, RX Dra is located in the northern CVZ and has been observed during 13 consecutive sectors, spanning 351 d in total. This has allowed us to better resolve

**Table 4.** An overview of the parameter values for the significant frequencies of RX Dra. Possible combination frequencies within  $3\sigma$  are also listed.

	Frequency (d <sup>-1</sup> )	Amplitude (mmag)	Phase (rad)	S/N	Combinations
v <sub>1</sub>	0.40386 (2)	0.407 (5)	0.104 (2)	9.4	_
$v_2$	0.48268 (1)	0.64 (5)	-0.486(1)	15.2	-
<i>v</i> <sub>3</sub>	0.51792 (3)	0.252 (5)	-0.484(3)	6.0	$v_{19} - v_{11}$
$v_4$	0.54059 (2)	0.376 (5)	0.296 (2)	9.1	-
$v_5$	0.56659 (2)	0.516 (5)	0.492 (2)	12.5	_
$\nu_6$	0.58234 (2)	0.395 (5)	0.371 (2)	9.6	-
$v_7$	0.63871 (2)	0.41 (5)	0.235 (2)	10.1	_
$\nu_8$	0.65485 (2)	0.373 (5)	0.491 (2)	9.2	$v_{17} - v_2$
v9	0.69392(1)	0.596 (5)	0.204 (1)	14.8	$v_{18} - v_2$
$v_{10}$	0.72459 (4)	0.224 (5)	0.401 (4)	5.6	$v_{20} - v_6$
$v_{11}$	0.75959(1)	0.674 (5)	-0.414(1)	17.0	_
$v_{12}$	0.77293 (2)	0.397 (5)	-0.096(2)	10.0	_
$v_{13}$	0.81678 (2)	0.365 (5)	0.391 (2)	9.3	_
$v_{14}$	0.91214 (2)	0.526 (5)	- 0.26 (2)	13.8	_
$v_{15}$	0.92597 (2)	0.393 (5)	0.115 (2)	10.4	_
$v_{16}$	1.10811 (5)	0.179 (5)	-0.442(5)	5.0	_
$v_{17}$	1.13749 (2)	0.466 (5)	0.479 (2)	13.2	_
$v_{18}$	1.176625 (6)	1.405 (5)	- 0.2303 (6)	41.0	_
V19	1.27764 (3)	0.276 (5)	0.265 (3)	8.5	_
$v_{20}$	1.30693 (3)	0.274 (5)	-0.002(3)	8.6	_
$v_{21}$	1.5985 (6)	0.132 (5)	- 0.03 (6)	5.1	_
$v_{22}$	1.71749 (4)	0.187 (5)	0.324 (4)	7.7	_
V23	1.74289 (3)	0.289 (5)	-0.44(3)	12.1	_
$v_{24}$	2.8467 (1)	0.054 (5)	0.37 (1)	4.3	_

the individual stellar pulsation frequencies and measure them with a higher precision, as listed in Table 4 and shown in Fig. 6.

Despite the short orbital period, all observed pulsations were found to be g-mode pulsations. Four of the 24 measured frequencies form combinations within  $3\sigma$ . Additionally, the observed pulsation spectrum is relatively sparse, given that we have almost a full year of photometry at our disposal. The inclusion of additional photometric observations, such as those that are currently being taken during *TESS* cycle 4, may improve the window functions of the stellar pulsations sufficiently to allow us to measure additional g-mode pulsation frequencies.

Similarly to the pulsations observed for MZ Lac, we cannot assign the pulsations of RX Dra to a specific component. Here, the narrow triangular shapes of the eclipses do not suffice to evaluate the pulsation amplitudes as a function of the light contribution of the individual components, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Additionally, the measured g-mode frequencies are too sparse to detect clear g-mode periodspacing patterns, which would have allowed us to perform pulsation mode identification and select a group or groups of pulsations that originate from the same stellar component in the binary system. There is, however, clear structure present in the observed g-mode pulsation spectrum. The inclusion of the forthcoming *TESS* observations will be needed to detect period-spacing patterns for RX Dra (e.g. Van Reeth et al. 2015) if they are present in the data.

### 4.4 V2077 Cygni

### 4.4.1 Analysis of the binarity

V2077 Cyg was identified as an EB using photometry from the *Hipparcos* satellite, and given its GCVS designation by Kazarovets et al. (1999) as a result. The only study of the object so far was performed by Molenda-Żakowicz et al. (2007), who obtained 29

spectra and measured the spectroscopic orbit of the primary star. The secondary is much fainter and was not detected in the spectra. The *TESS* light curve (Fig. 1) shows distinctive variability characteristic of  $\gamma$  Dor pulsators, plus primary eclipses that are much deeper than the secondary eclipses. The light curve is strongly reminiscent of the prototypical system KIC 11285625 (Debosscher et al. 2013), except that the eclipses are total. *TESS* has observed the star during three sectors (14, 26, and 41) and four further sectors of observations (53, 54, 55, and 56) are planned. The four consecutive sectors will be valuable for increasing the precision of the measured pulsation periods. The following analysis only includes sectors 14 and 26, as sector 41 was not available at the time it was performed.

The pulsation amplitudes are comparable to the eclipse depths so we proceeded as for CM Lac (Section 4.1): each eclipse was extracted from the light curve and normalized to zero differential magnitude using a polynomial function. We found that a third-order polynomial was necessary in some cases. The light curve was then fitted as for CM Lac, with the exception that we had RVs for only the primary star so did not fit for  $K_B$  or  $V_{\gamma, B}$  (Fig. 7). The results are given in Table 1. The fractional radii of the stars are well-measured because of the presence of total eclipses in this system.

Molenda-Żakowicz et al. (2007) measured a  $T_{\rm eff}$  of 7066 ± 201 K for the primary star, in good agreement with the *Gaia* DR2 value of 6900 K. Our value of *J* then implies a  $T_{\rm eff}$  of 5200 K for the secondary star. Using equation (4) from Southworth et al. (2007) we determined the surface gravity of the secondary star to be log  $g_{\rm B} = 4.607 \pm 0.007$ , which is appropriate for a K-dwarf. The  $\gamma$  Dor pulsations arise in the primary component: the secondary is too cool to be a  $\gamma$  Dor star and it also does not contribute enough light to the system to produce pulsations with an amplitude of 0.1 mag.

### 4.4.2 Analysis of the pulsations

Four significant frequencies, listed in Table 5 and illustrated in Fig. 8, can be obtained from iterative pre-whitening of the residual *TESS* light curve. The derived parameter values for these frequencies are all consistent with those expected for  $\gamma$  Dor-type pulsations. However, the available *TESS* data are again insufficient to ensure that the individual g-mode pulsation frequencies are properly resolved.

More *TESS* data are needed to properly identify the individual pulsations in this system. Fortunately, it is scheduled to be observed in four consecutive sectors (53–56). If *TESS* continues to operate as expected, and precise radial velocities can be measured for both components, V2077 Cyg could become a useful system for the study of pulsations in a star of known mass and radius. Fig. 2 shows an increase in residuals for the binary fit during the eclipses. This arises because the much smaller secondary star is blocking only part of the surface of the pulsating primary star and thus breaking the spherical symmetry that attenuates the observed amplitudes of pulsations. V2077 Cyg may therefore be a good candidate for elipse mapping to spatially resolve the pulsations on the stellar surface (e.g. Bíró & Nuspl 2011).

# **5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The study of pulsating stars in EBs is a promising opportunity to improve our understanding of the interior physics of stars. Gravitymode pulsations are a high priority because they can probe regions deep inside a star and thus help constrain stellar rotation, convective core boundary mixing, envelope mixing, opacity, and magnetic fields



Figure 6. Sections of the Lomb–Scargle periodogram (black) of the residual light curve of RX Dra. The harmonics of the orbital frequency  $v_{orb}$  (dotted grey lines) and the extracted pulsation frequencies (dashed red lines) are also indicated.



**Figure 7.** Fitted spectroscopic orbit for V2077 Cyg (blue lines) compared to the measured RVs from Molenda-Żakowicz et al. (2007) for star A (red circles). The residuals of the fit are shown in the lower panel.

**Table 5.** An overview of the parameter values for the significant frequencies of V2077 Cyg. The identification of possible combination frequencies within  $3\sigma$  is also given.

	Frequency (d <sup>-1</sup> )	Amplitude (mmag)	Phase (rad)	S/N	Combinations
$v_1$	0.48209(1)	6.97 (10)	- 0.244 (2)	4.1	_
$\nu_2$	0.65013 (1)	8.5 (1)	-0.163 (2)	5.3	-
V3	0.708696 (3)	33.4 (1)	0.4049 (5)	21.1	_
$\nu_4$	1.41741 (1)	8.72 (10)	0.075 (2)	6.7	$\nu_4\approx 2\nu_3$

(see references in Section 1). In pursuit of this goal we have surveyed the *TESS* light curves of a sample of known EBs and detected g-mode pulsations in four of these. In this work, we present a preliminary analysis of all four systems.



**Figure 8.** Part of the Lomb–Scargle periodogram (black) of the residual light curve of V2077 Cyg (after the best-fitting binary model has been subtracted). The harmonics of the orbital frequency  $\nu_{orb}$  (dashed grey lines) and the extracted pulsation frequencies (dashed red lines) are also indicated.

CM Lac shows very deep eclipses (0.8 mag for the primary and 0.4 mag for the secondary) and unresolved g-modes with frequencies between  $1 d^{-1}$  and  $1.5 d^{-1}$  in the one sector of available *TESS* data. The available data are therefore insufficient for asteroseismology, but remain useful for the determination of the physical properties of stars. We measured the masses and radii of the component stars using the *TESS* data and the results of a published RV study, but additional RVs are needed to measure their masses to the canonical 2 per cent precision (Andersen 1991).

MZ Lac is a more interesting system due to its large orbital eccentricity (Table 1) and richer pulsation spectrum. Based on the two sectors of *TESS* data for this object we found evidence for p-modes and for tidally excited or tidally perturbed g-mode pulsations. No RVs are currently available for this object so we are not yet able to determine its physical properties.

RX Dra has been observed for 13 consecutive sectors by *TESS* but this abundance of data yielded only relatively few identified frequencies. The presence of total eclipses allowed the fractional

radii of the stars to be measured to exceptional precision so the system is a good candidate for precise measurement of its physical properties. RX Dra is in the process of being observed for a further 14 consecutive sectors by *TESS* and these additional data may allow the detection of further pulsation frequencies.

V2077 Cyg shows beautiful  $\gamma$  Dor pulsations but the few currently available *TESS* light curves are insufficient to perform mode identification. The system is scheduled for observation in four consecutive sectors by *TESS* in 2022 and these new data should greatly improve the asteroseismic potential of this system. V2077 Cyg is not promising from the viewpoint of determining its physical properties for two reasons. The eclipses are perturbed by the high-amplitude g-mode pulsations, but are total so precise fractional radii are still measurable. The secondary star is much fainter than the primary (light ratio of 0.075 in the *TESS* passband) so measuring its RVs will require high-quality spectroscopy. A single-lined spectroscopic orbit exists for this system, allowing us to measure the surface gravity of the secondary component and verify that it is a normal low-mass main-sequence star.

All four systems need detailed spectroscopic study to measure their physical properties precisely, which in turn provides context for the pulsation analysis. This work has begun.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TVR gratefully acknowledges support from the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) under grant agreement N°12ZB620N. We thank Johanna Molenda-Żakowicz for sending us her RV measurements for V2077 Cyg, and Dominic Bowman and the anonymous referee for discussions and comments. The TESS data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support to MAST for these data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NAG5-7584 and by other grants and contracts. Funding for the TESS mission is provided by the NASA Explorer Program. This research has made use of the SIMBAD data base, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France; the SAO/NASA Astrophysics Data System; and the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

All data underlying this article are available in the MAST archive (https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html).

### REFERENCES

- Aerts C., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Kurtz D. W., 2010, Asteroseismology, Astron. and Astroph. Library. Springer, the Netherlands, Amsterdam Andersen J., 1991, A&ARv, 3, 91
- Andersen J., Clausen J. V., Nordström B., 1990, ApJ, 363, L33
- Bíró I. B., Nuspl J., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 1601
- Bouabid M. P., Dupret M. A., Salmon S., Montalbán J., Miglio A., Noels A., 2013, MNRAS, 429, 2500
- Bowman D. M., 2017, Amplitude Modulation of Pulsation Modes in Delta Scuti Stars, Springer Theses Series. Springer International Publishing, US
- Bowman D. M., 2020, Front. Astron. Space Sci., 7, 70
- Bowman D. M., Kurtz D. W., 2018, MNRAS, 476, 3169
- Bowman D. M., Michielsen M., 2021, A&A, 656, A158

- Bowman D. M., Johnston C., Tkachenko A., Mkrtichian D. E., Gunsriwiwat K., Aerts C., 2019, ApJ, 883, L26
- Breger M. et al., 1993, A&A, 271, 482
- Bulut A., Bulut I., Ćiçek C., Erdem A., 2016, AIP Conf. Proc. Vol. 1722, Period changes in two contact binaries: V700 Cyg and PY Lyr. p. 040002
- Burkart J., Quataert E., Arras P., Weinberg N. N., 2012, MNRAS, 421, 983 Christophe S., Ballot J., Ouazzani R. M., Antoci V., Salmon S. J. A. J., 2018,
- A&A, 618, A47 Claret A., 2018, A&A, 618, A20
- Claret A., Z018, A&A, 018, A20 Claret A., Torres G., 2018, ApJ, 859, 100
- Clausen I V 1006  $\Lambda$  &  $\Lambda$  308 151
- Clausen J. V., 1996, A&A, 308, 151
- Colman I. L., Bedding T. R., Huber D., Kjeldsen H., 2022, ApJS, 258, 39 da Silva R., Maceroni C., Gandolfi D., Lehmann H., Hatzes A. P., 2014, A&A, 565, A55
- Debosscher J. et al., 2013, A&A, 556, A56
- Degroote P. et al., 2009, A&A, 506, 111
- Fuller J., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 1538
- Gaia Collaboration, 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Gaulme P., Guzik J. A., 2019, A&A, 630, A106
- Graczyk D. et al., 2021, A&A, 649, A109
- Grigahcène A. et al., 2010, ApJ, 713, L192
- Guo Z., 2020, ApJ, 896, 161
- Guo Z., Li G., 2019, ApJ, 882, L5
- Guo Z., Gies D. R., Fuller J., 2017a, ApJ, 834, 59
- Guo Z., Gies D. R., Matson R. A., 2017b, ApJ, 851, 39
- Guo Z., Fuller J., Shporer A., Li G., Hambleton K., Manuel J., Murphy S., Isaacson H., 2019, ApJ, 885, 46
- Hambleton K. M. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 925
- Hełminiak K. G., Ukita N., Kambe E., Kozłowski S. K., Pawłaszek R., Maehara H., Baranec C., Konacki M., 2017, A&A, 602, A30
- Henry G. W., Fekel F. C., Henry S. M., 2007, AJ, 133, 1421
- Hilditch R. W., 2001, An Introduction to Close Binary Stars. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK
- Jenkins J. M. et al., 2016, in Chiozzi G., Guzman J. C., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9913, Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy IV. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 99133E
- Johnston C., Tkachenko A., Aerts C., Molenberghs G., Bowman D. M.,
- Pedersen M. G., Buysschaert B., Pápics P. I., 2019a, MNRAS, 482, 1231 Johnston C., Pavlovski K., Tkachenko A., 2019b, A&A, 628, A25
- Kaye A. B., Handler G., Krisciunas K., Poretti E., Zerbi F. M., 1999, PASP, 111, 840
- Kazarovets E. V., Samus N. N., Durlevich O. V., Frolov M. S., Antipin S. V., Kireeva N. N., Pastukhova E. N., 1999, Inf. Bull. Var. Stars, 4659, 1
- Kirk B. et al., 2016, AJ, 151, 68
- Kopal Z., 1959, Close Binary Systems, The International Astrophysics Series. Chapman & Hall, London
- Kreiner J. M., Kim C.-H., Nha I.-S., 2001, An Atlas of O-C Diagrams of Eclipsing Binary Stars. Wydawnictwo Naukowe Akademii Pedagogicznej, Cracow, Poland
- Kurtz D. W., Shibahashi H., Murphy S. J., Bedding T. R., Bowman D. M., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 3015
- Lampens P. et al., 2018, A&A, 610, A17
- Lecoanet D., Bowman D. M., Van Reeth T., 2022, MNRAS, 512, L16
- Lee J. W., Hong K., 2021, AJ, 161, 32
- Lee J. W., Kim S.-L., Hong K., Lee C.-U., Koo J.-R., 2014, AJ, 148, 37
- Lee J. W., Hong K., Kristiansen M. H., 2020, PASJ, 72, 37
- Lee J. W., Hong K., Kim H.-Y., 2021, AJ, 161, 129
- Li G., Guo Z., Fuller J., Bedding T. R., Murphy S. J., Colman I. L., Hey D. R., 2020, MNRAS, 497, 4363
- Liakos A., Niarchos P., 2012, Ap&SS, 340, 281
- Maceroni C. et al., 2014, A&A, 563, A59
- Maxted P. F. L. et al., 2020, MNRAS, 498, 332
- Michielsen M., Pedersen M. G., Augustson K. C., Mathis S., Aerts C., 2019, A&A, 628, A76
- Miller W. J., Wachmann A. A., 1971, Ricerche Astron., 8, 12
- Molenda-Żakowicz J., Frasca A., Latham D. W., Jerzykiewicz M., 2007, Acta Astron., 57, 301

- Mombarg J. S. G., Dotter A., Van Reeth T., Tkachenko A., Gebruers S., Aerts C., 2020, ApJ, 895, 51
- Mombarg J. S. G., Van Reeth T., Aerts C., 2021, A&A, 650, A58
- Murphy S. J., Hey D., Van Reeth T., Bedding T. R., 2019, MNRAS, 485, 2380
- Pedersen M. G. et al., 2021, Nat. Astron., 5, 715
- Pilecki B. et al., 2018, ApJ, 862, 43
- Pols O. R., Tout C. A., Schroder K.-P., Eggleton P. P., Manners J., 1997, MNRAS, 289, 869
- Popper D. M., 1968, ApJ, 154, 191
- Prat V., Mathis S., Buysschaert B., Van Beeck J., Bowman D. M., Aerts C., Neiner C., 2019, A&A, 627, A64
- Prša A. et al., 2016, AJ, 152, 41
- Reyniers K., Smeyers P., 2003, A&A, 404, 1051
- Ricker G. R. et al., 2015, J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst., 1, 014003
- Russell H. N., 1914, Observatory, 37, 165
- Scargle J. D., 1982, ApJ, 263, 835
- Schmid V. S., Aerts C., 2016, A&A, 592, A116
- Sekaran S. et al., 2020, A&A, 643, A162
- Sekaran S., Tkachenko A., Johnston C., Aerts C., 2021, A&A, 648, A91
- Shapley H., 1913, ApJ, 38, 158
- Silhan J., 1990, J. Am. Assoc. Var. Star Obs., 19, 12
- Southworth J., 2008, MNRAS, 386, 1644
- Southworth J., 2013, A&A, 557, A119
- Southworth J., 2020, Observatory, 140, 247
- Southworth J., 2021a, Universe, 7, 369
- Southworth J., 2021b, Observatory, 141, 122
- Southworth J., 2021c, Observatory, 141, 190
- Southworth J., 2021d, Observatory, 141, 282
- Southworth J., Bowman D. M., 2022, MNRAS, 513, 3191
- Southworth J., Maxted P. F. L., Smalley B., 2004a, MNRAS, 351, 1277
- Southworth J., Zucker S., Maxted P. F. L., Smalley B., 2004b, MNRAS, 355, 986

- Southworth J., Wheatley P. J., Sams G., 2007, MNRAS, 379, L11 Southworth J., Bowman D., Tkachenko A., Pavlovski K., 2020, MNRAS,
- 497, L19
- Southworth J., Bowman D. M., Pavlovski K., 2021, MNRAS, 501, L65
- Sowicka P., Handler G., Dębski B., Jones D., Van de Sande M., Pápics P. I., 2017, MNRAS, 467, 4663
- Soydugan E., Soydugan F., Demircan O., İbanoğlu C., 2006, MNRAS, 370, 2013
- Steindl T., Zwintz K., Bowman D. M., 2021, A&A, 645, A119
- Szewczuk W., Walczak P., Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz J., 2021, MNRAS, 503, 5894
- Takata M., Ouazzani R. M., Saio H., Christophe S., Ballot J., Antoci V., Salmon S. J. A. J., Hijikawa K., 2020a, A&A, 635, A106
- Takata M., Ouazzani R. M., Saio H., Christophe S., Ballot J., Antoci V., Salmon S. J. A. J., 2020b, A&A, 644, A138
- Tkachenko A. et al., 2020, A&A, 637, A60
- Van Beeck J., Prat V., Van Reeth T., Mathis S., Bowman D. M., Neiner C., Aerts C., 2020, A&A, 638, A149
- Van Reeth T. et al., 2015, A&A, 574, A17
- Van Reeth T., Tkachenko A., Aerts C., 2016, A&A, 593, A120
- Van Reeth T., Southworth J., Van Beeck J., Bowman D. M., 2022, A&A, 659, A177
- Wachmann A. A., 1931, AN, 244, 303
- Weinberg N. N., Arras P., Burkart J., 2013, ApJ, 769, 121
- Wu T., Li Y., 2019, ApJ, 881, 86
- Zhang X. B., Fu J. N., Luo C. Q., Ren A. B., Yan Z. Z., 2018, ApJ, 865, 115
- Zhang X., Chen X., Zhang H., Fu J., Li Y., 2020, ApJ, 895, 124

This paper has been typeset from a TFX/IATFX file prepared by the author.