# Keele 8 U N IVERSITY 

This work is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights and duplication or sale of all or part is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for research, private study, criticism/review or educational purposes. Electronic or print copies are for your own personal, noncommercial use and shall not be passed to any other individual. No quotation may be published without proper acknowledgement. For any other use, or to quote extensively from the work, permission must be obtained from the copyright holder/s.

COMMUTATORS AND CONJUGACY IN GROUPS.

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Keele, in 1974,
by

DAVID RODNEY.

## DECLARATION

The material in this thesis is claimed as original except where explicitly stated otherwise. This thesis has not been submitted previously for a higher degree of this or any other University.

## ACNNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Hans Liebeck, for the tremendous guidance he has given me during the past three years.

I wish to thank the Science Research Council, whose maintenance grant has supported me during the course of my research.

Finaliy, I wish to thank Mrs. Doreen Large for her patience and excellent typing.

## CONTENTS

Abstract ..... 1
Notation and Terminology ..... 3
Chapter 1. Introduction and Review ..... 5
Chapter 2. Groups with a cyclic commutator subgroup ..... 13
Chapter 3. Metabelian groups ..... 23
Chapter 4. Unipotent groups ..... 42
Chapter 5. Character theory ..... 47
Chapter 6. Simple groups ..... 53
Chapter 7. Conjugacy in groups ..... 62
Bibliography ..... 75

ABSTRACT of the thesis, "Commutators and Conjugacy in Groups", by David Rodney, University of Keele, 1974.

It is well known that the commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$, of a group $G$ need not coincide with the set of commutators of $G, K(G)$.

We are primarily concerned with investigating the class of groups $C$, defined by, $G \in G$ if and only if $G^{\prime}=\mathcal{\gamma}(G)$. Firstly we consider groups with a finite cyclic commutator subgroup. I. D. Macdonald showed that such a group need not be generated by a commutator. We show that, even if the commutator subgroup is generated by a commutator, the group need not belong to the class $G$.

Next we consider a nilpotent of class two group $G$ such that $G^{\prime}$ is finite and can be generated by three elements. We show that $G \in \mathcal{G}$. We extend these results to $G$ being a finite metabelian group and we show that if $G^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian of rank 3 , then $G \in G$. We also show that if $s$ is an elementary abelian of rank 2 Sylow subgroup of a finite group G, such that $S \subseteq G^{\prime}$, then $S \subseteq\{(G)$, the set of commutators of elements of $G$. For all the results mentioned in the last two paragraphs we give examples to show that the results are not readily extendable.

Next we show that the unipotent groups of matrices belong to the class 6 .

The remainder of the thesis is concerned with finite groups and we make extensive use of character theory. W. Burnside gives a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of group characters, for an element of a finite group to be a commutator. We make a generalisation of this result and prove that if $G$ is a finite group with irreducible characters $X^{1}, \ldots, X^{h}$ and conjugacy classes $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$, then there exist $g_{i} \in C_{\lambda(i)}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that $\left.g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n} \in^{\prime}\right\}(G)$ if and only if
$\sum_{j=1}^{h} x^{j}\left(g_{1}\right) x^{j}\left(g_{2}\right) \ldots x^{j}\left(g_{n}\right) /\left(x^{j}(1)\right)^{n} \neq 0$.

As a corollary we show that $g_{1} g_{2} \cdots g_{n} \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ if and only if for all $r_{i}$ such that $\left(r_{i},\left|g_{i}\right|\right)=1$ there exist $x_{i} \in G$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $g_{1}{ }^{x_{1}} \ldots g_{i-1}{ }^{x_{i-1}}\left(g_{i}{ }^{r_{1}}\right)^{x_{i}}{ }_{g_{i+1}}{ }^{r_{i+1}} \ldots g_{n}{ }^{x_{n}} \in\{(G)$. This is a generalisation of a result of K. Honda.

It is unknown whether or not every element of a non-abelian finite simple group is a commutator. We show that three of the sporadic simple groups have this property and we give a list of references, where the truth of this conjecture can be show, for other simple groups.

One of the difficulties in tackling the above conjecture conceming simple groups is the lack of knowledge concerming the number of conjugacy classes in a simple group. Non-abelian finite simple groups seems to have few conjugacy classes with respect to their order and we finish by investigating a related situation that occurs frequently in simple groups. elements of $M$ are conjugate within $G$. This situation was first investigated by A. Fomyn and we extend his results. Let $G$ have irreducible characters $x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots ., x^{h}$ and let $\theta$ be a non-principal character of $M$. It is easily seen that $\left(\left.X^{i}\right|_{M}, \theta\right)_{M}=f_{i}$ is independent of $\theta$. Our main result is the following; Suppose that $f_{i}=1$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant h$, then $M$ is a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $G$ and, either $\quad G / O_{2},(G) \cong \operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{n}\right)$
or

$$
|M|=2, G=G^{\prime} \lambda M, G^{\prime} \text { is abelain and } g^{m}=g^{-1} \text { for every } g \in G^{\prime},
$$

where 1 ₹ m $\in M$.
We also investigate the situation when $M \triangle G$. Here there is a close relationship between $I(\theta)$ and $C_{G}(m)$, where $1 \neq m \in M$. Indeed, if $M$ is a normal Sylow subgroup of $G$, then we show that to each $\theta$ there exists an $m$ such that $I(\theta)=C_{G}(m)$ and vica-versa. Whilst we are proving this we obtain information concerning the $f_{i}$ 's. Finally we consider the rather restricted case that $M$ is a normal Sylow subgroup of $G$ such that $G / M$ is abelain. We obtain a classification of such groups.

## NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

If $G$ is any group then,
$G^{\prime}$ is the commutator subgroup of $G,[a, b]=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b$,
$Z(G)$ is the centre of $G$ and
$\mathcal{K}(G)$ is the set of commutators of elements of $G$.
$G$ is the class of groups $G$ such that $G^{\prime}=K(G)$.
$d(G)$ is the minimal cardinality of any generating set of $G$.
Suppose $H$ is a subgroup of G. Then,
$H \triangleleft G$ means $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$,
$N_{G}(H)$ denotes the normalizer of $H$ in $G$ and $C_{G}(H)$ denotes the centralizer of $H$ in $G$.
Suppose $\left\{g_{i} \mid i \in A\right\}$ is a set of elements of $G$, where $A$ is any index set. Then, $\left\langle g_{i}\right| i \in A>$ denotes the subgroup of $G$ generated by the $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$. $g_{i}{ }^{i}{ }_{G} g_{j}$ means that $g_{i}$ is conjugate in $G$ to $g_{j}$. If the group, $G$, under discussion cannot be mistaken then the $G$ may be omitted. $\left\langle g_{i} \mid i \in A\right\rangle^{G}$ denotes the normal closure of the $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ in $G$. If $G$ is a finite group, and $\pi$ is a set of primes, then $O_{\pi}(G)$ is the largest normal subgroup of $G$ whose order is divisible only by primes in $\pi$.

Let $\pi^{\prime}$ denote the complementary set of primes to $\pi$. Then, $O_{\pi^{\prime}}(G)$ is the largest normal subgroup of $G$ whose order is coprime to the primes in $\pi$. If H and K are any two groups, then,
$\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{K}$ is the direct product of H and K ,
$H \lambda K$ is a split extension of $H$ by $K$ and
$H$ $Z K$ is the ordinary wreath product of $H$ with $K$.
Hom ( $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}$ ) is the set of homomorphisms from $H$ to $K$.
Let $G$ be a finite group.
When we refer to an irreducible character of $G$ we mean an irreducible character over the complex numbers.
$x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{h}$ denote the irreducible characters of $G$,
$C_{1}, \ldots ., C_{h}$ denote the conjugacy classes of $G$ and $X_{j}{ }^{i}$ is the value of $x^{i}$ taken on $C_{j}$.
$X^{1}$ is the principal character of $G$ and $C_{1}=1 . \operatorname{Ker}(X)=\{g \in G \mid X(g)=X(1)\}$, the kernel of the character $\chi$.

Irr ( $G$ ) is the set of irreducible characters of $G$.
$F(G)$ is the group algebra of $G$ over the field $F$ and $\hat{C}_{i}$ is the class sum of $C_{i}$ in $F(G)$.
If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $\theta \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(H)$, then $\theta^{*}$ is the character of $G$ obtained by inducing $\theta$ up to $G$.
If $H \Delta G$ and $g \in^{G}$, then $\theta^{g}$ is the irreducible character of $H$ defined by, $\theta^{g}(h)=\theta\left(\right.$ ghg $\left.^{-1}\right)$, where $h \in H$.
$I(\theta)=\left\{g \in G \mid \theta^{g}=\theta\right\}$, the inertial group of $\theta$.
$G L(n, F), S L(n, F)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(N, F)$, are respectively, the general linear, special linear and projective special linear groups of degree $n$ over the field $F$. $\operatorname{Sp}(n, F)$ is the Sympletic group of degree $n$ over the field $F$ and $\operatorname{STL}(n, F)$ is the group of upper unipotent matrices of degree $n$ over the field $F$. $C_{n}$ is the cyclic group of order $n$. If $K$ is a field containing the field $F$, then $G(K, F)$ is the Galois group of K over F .
$\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ are, respectively, the complex, real and rational and integral numbers.

If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $(\alpha, \beta)$ is the highest common factor of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and $\alpha \mid \beta$ means that $\alpha$ divides $\beta$.
$\alpha=\alpha_{p} \alpha_{p}$, where $\alpha_{p}$ is a power of the prime number $p$ and $\left(\alpha_{p}, \alpha_{p}\right)=1$. Our notation is, hopefully, standard and has been drawn from the books of J. Dixon [6], W. Feit [9] and D. Gorenstein [16].

Chapter 1. Introduction and Review

It is well known that the commutator subgroup of an arbitary group need not consist entirely of commutators. The majority of this thesis is taken up with proofs that certain classes of groups are contained in the class $G$, the class of groups whose commutator subgroups consist entirely of commutators.

Conversely, we also investigate conditions necessary to a group that belongs to $G$.

It has been conjectured that all finite simple groups belong to $G$ and we demonstrate the truth of this for various simple groups. A direct proof of the above conjecture is beyond our means at the present. In the final chapter we obtain results concerning finite groups with a small number of conjugacy classes. These are the sort of results that will be useful in trying to prove the conjecture.

We are primarily concemed with finite groups, though we do show that certain classes of infinite groups are contained in $G$.

Trivially, is $G$ is an abelian group, then $G \in G$. If not abelian, the least complicated structure a group $G$ can have is that of the commutator subgroup $G^{\text { }}$ being cyclic. In [32], I. D. Macdonald considered such groups and he showed that such a group need not be generated by a commutator. Indeed, Macdonald shows, by example, that given a natural number $n$ there exists a group $G$ such that $G$ ' is cyclic of finite order and $G^{\prime}$ cannot be generated by less that n commutators.

In Chapter 2 we continue the study of groups with a cyclic commutator subgroup. We show that if $G$ is a group with a finite cyclic commutator subgroup then, even if $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is generated by a commutator, it is not necessarily true that $G \in G$. We give examples that demonstrate this. (It is from Chapter 2 that the author's paper [39] is derived.)

There are several ways we may increase the complexity of the groups under consideration.

In [31], I. D. Macdonald considered the following subgroup of the commutator subgroup. Let $G$ be a group. Then we define the subgroup $H(g)$, where $g \in G$ by,

$$
H(g)=\langle[g, x] \mid x \in G\rangle .
$$

Macdonald proves the following result.
Theorem. Let each subgroup $H(g)$ of the group $G$ consist of commutators of the form $[g, x]$, and let $G$ be such that the minimal condition holds for the subgroups $H(g)$. Then a non-trivial element of each subgroup $\langle g\rangle^{G}$ lies in the centre of $G$, provided that $g \notin 1$.

Corollary. Under the hypotheses of the theorem $G$ is a ZA group. (By a ZA group is meant a group with an ascending central series which eventually exhausts the group.). Macdonald also shows that neither of the following two conditions implies the other:
(i) G' consists of commutators.
(ii) For each $g \in G, H(g)$ consists of the commutators $[g, x]$ as $x$ varies in $G$. Whereas many finite non-nilpotent groups satisfy (i), by the above corollary no finite non-nilpotent group satisfies (ii). (e.g. The Alternating groups $A_{n}$, where $n \geqslant 5$ (c.f.N. Itô [27].) Macdonald gives an example of a finite nilpotent of class two group $G$ such that $G$ does not satisfy (i). Such a group necessarily satisfies (ii).

## The example given by Macdonald, of a finite class two nilpotent group

 $G$ such that $G \notin G$ is a generalisation of an example in R. D. Carmichael's book [4. p.39]. If $H$ denotes the example in $[4]$, then $d\left(H^{\prime}\right)=4$. In Chapter 3 we show that this example is in some sense minimal and we prove the following result.Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be nilpotent of class two such that $G$ ' is finite and $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 3$. Then $G \in G$. From here we try to generalise to $G$ being metabelian. We are able to prove the following:

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be a finite group such that $G$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{3}$. Then $G \in G$. It may be true that Theorem 3.2 extends to $G^{\prime}$ being a rank 3 abelian p-group but we have been unable to verify or disprove this. Another possible line of approach is to consider a Sylow subgroup $S$ of a finite group $G$ and to investigate $S \cap\{(G)$. We discuss this in chapter 3. Various people have considered particular classes of groups and have shown them to belong to the class $G$. We list several of them. In $[43],[44]$ and $[45]$ R. C. Thompson shows that $G L(n, F) \in Q$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(n, F) \in($, where $n$ is any natural number and $F$ is an arbitrary field. In [34], 0 . Ore considers the symmetric groups. If $S_{n}$ is the symmetric group on $n$ symbols, then $S_{n}^{\prime} \cong A_{n}$, the alternating group on $n$ symbols. Ore shows that $s_{n} \in G$. Let $S$ be the infinite symmetric group. Ore shows that $s \in G$, by showing that any one-to-one correspondence of an infinite set to itself is a commutator.
N. Ito $\hat{0}$ in [27], shows that $A_{n} \in G$, if $n \geqslant 5$. (since $A_{n} \xlongequal{n} A_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 5$, this is a strengthening of Ore's work and, indeed, Ore claims that this result is true though he does not prove it.)

Following the path of permutation groups we come to the work of C. V. Holmes [21]. He considers the related idea of monomial substitutions. Let $H$ be a group and $S$ a set. A monomial substitution over $H$ is a linear transformation mapping each element $x$ of $S$ in a one-to-one manner onto some element of $S$ multiplied by an element of H , the multiplication being formal. If substitution $u$ maps $x_{i}$ into $h_{j} x_{j}$ while substitution $v$ maps $x_{j}$ into $h_{k} x_{k}$, then the substitution $u v$ maps $x_{i}$ into $h_{j} h_{k} x_{k}$.

Suppose that $S$ is an infinite set. Then the set of all such monomial substitutions is the infinite complete monomial group generated by the given group $H$ and the given set $S$. The commutator subgroup of the infinite complete monomial group is itself and Holmes shows that every element is expressible as the product of at most two commutators.

Qin Jian-Min, in [36], considers the orthogonal groups over the complex numbers, denoted by $O(n, \mathbb{L})$. The matrices of determinant one in $O(n, \mathbb{C})$, form the subgroup $S O(n, \mathbb{C})$. Qin Jian-Min proves the following:

Theorem. Every element of $S O(2, \mathbb{L})$ is a commutator of $O(2, \mathbb{N})$, and every element of $\operatorname{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a commutator of $\operatorname{SO}(\mathrm{n}, \mathbb{\mathbb { C }})$, when $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$.

Similar results are obtained by $H$. Toyama in $[47]$. Let $S U(n, \mathbb{C})$ denote the unimodular unitary group of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and $U S_{p}(n, \mathbb{F})$ denote the unitary symplectic group of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Toyama proves the following: Theorem. Every element of $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{n}, \mathbb{C}), \operatorname{USp}(\mathrm{n}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{n}, \mathbb{R})$ except for SO(2, $\mathbb{R}$ ) can be expressed as a commutator of two suitably chosen elements belonging to that group.
$X u$ Ch'eng-Hao $[53]$ continues this work and he shows that $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{E}) \in G$.

Information conceming the above linear groups may be obtained from [6]. Ts'eng K'en-Ch'eng and Hsu Ch'eng-Hao, in [48], show that the Suzuki groups, discovered by M. Suzuki in [42], belong to the class $G$.

Finally, Ts'eng K'en Cheng and Liu Chiung Sheng, in [49], show that the two Mathieu groups $M_{11}$ and $M_{12}$ belong to the class $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{O}}$ (We have been unable to track this paper down and we have obtained this information from Maths. Review Vol. $36 \neq 270$ )

Along these lines we show, in Chapter 4, that the unipotent groups over any field belong to the class $Q$.

We now describe a result known to $H$. Burnside and developments from it.

Suppose $G$ is a finite group, with irreducible characters $x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{h}$ over c. Let $g \in G$. Then, $g \in \chi(G)$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{h} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1) \neq 0$. (c.f. [3.p.319].)

As a corollary of this $K$. Honda proves, in [22], that in a finite group $G, g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ if and only if every generator of $\langle g\rangle$ is a commutator.

In $[14]$ P. X. Gallagher generalises the character inequality. He also extends the class of groups under consideration to compact groups.

So let $G$ be a compact group. We let $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ be the irreducible characters over $I$ and let $f_{i}=\chi^{i}(1)$. Gallagher proves the following results.

Theorem. Suppose $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|$ is finite. If $\sum_{f_{i} \geqslant 2} f_{i}^{(2-2 n)}<\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|$, then each element of $G^{\prime}$ may be written as a product of $n$ commutators.

Theorem. Suppose $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|$ is finite and assume there is a finite or infinite sequence of elements $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ such that for each character $X^{i}$ with $f_{i} \geqslant 2, X^{f}\left(\tau_{n}\right)=0$ for some $n$. Then each element of $G$ ' may be approximated arbitrarily closely by products $\left[\tau_{1}, \tau_{1}\right]\left[\tau_{2}, \tau_{2}\right] \ldots\left[\tau_{n}, \tau_{n}\right], \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n} \in G$.

Lemma. Let $a, \tau_{1}, \ldots . \tau_{n}, \tau_{1} \ldots . \tau_{n} \in G$. Then,
$\int \ldots \int X^{i}\left(\sigma\left[\tau_{1}, \tau_{1}\right] \ldots\left[\tau_{n}, \tau_{n}\right]\right) d \tau_{n} \ldots . . d \tau_{1}=f_{i}^{-n} x^{i}\left(\tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{n} \sigma\right) \bar{x}\left(\tau_{1}\right) \ldots \bar{x}\left(\tau_{n}\right)$
and
$\int \ldots \int x^{i}\left(\sigma\left[\tau_{1}, z_{1}\right] \ldots\left[\tau_{n}, z_{n}\right]\right) d z_{n} d \tau_{n} \ldots . . d \tau_{1} d \tau_{1}=f_{i}^{-2 n} x(0)$.
(Information concerning the above Haar integrals may be found in [33].)

Gallagher shows, as an easy consequence of the lemma, that $\sigma \leqslant G$ is a product of $m$ commutators if and only if $\sum_{x^{i}} f_{i}^{1-2 m} x^{i}(\sigma) \neq 0$.

Applying this work to finite groups Gallagher proves the following results.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a finite group such that $4^{n} \geqslant\left|G^{\prime}\right|$. Then each element of G' may be written as a product of $n$ commutators

Theorem. In a finite group $G$ each element of $G$ ' may be written as a product $\left[\tau_{1}, \tau_{1}\right] \ldots\left[\tau_{n}, \tau_{n}\right]$, where $\tau_{1}, \ldots ., \tau_{n}$ are zeros of irreducible characters. Gallagher continued this work in [15]. He proves the following results.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a finite group. If $(n+2)!n!>2|G|-2$ then each element of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is a product of n commutators.

Theorem. If $G$ is a finite $p$-group, with $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{\alpha}$, and if $n(n+1)>\alpha$, then each element of $G^{\prime}$ is a product of $n$ commutators.

We investigate the result of Burnside and the work of Honda in Chapter 5 and we make some small generalisations of their results.

As we have already mentioned it is an open question whether or not every element of a non-abelian finite simple group is a commutator. Three reasons for believing the truth of this conjecture are:
(i) Many finite simple groups are known to have this property. (See the list earlier in this chapter as well as Chapter 6.)
(ii) The value taken by a non-identity element $g$ of a non-abelian finite simple group on a non-principal character tends to be very small in absolute size when compared to the degree of the character. Consequently, the character inequality $\left[X^{i}(g) / X^{i}(1) \neq 0\right.$ is likely to hold.
(iii) An element of $g$ of a group $G$ is a commutator if and only if there exists an $h \in G$ such that gh is conjugate to $h$. Intuitively, the smaller the number of conjugacy classes $G$ has, the more likely this is to happen. We observe that the number of conjugacy classes in a non-abelian finite simple group tends to be in some sense "small" in comparison with the order of the group.and thus leads us to expect that every element is a commutator.

The latter two reasons show the way progress may be made on this problem. We have been unable to make any progress with regards to (ii).

However, in Chapter 7, we consider finite groups with "few" conjugacy classes and we obtain several results. We consider the following situation. an abelian Let $G$ be a finite group with / subgroup $M$ such that any two non-identity elements of $M$ are conjugate within G. This work was infiated by A. Eomyn in [12] and we generalise his results. A considerable amount of work has been done in this area, the most significant contribution probably being that of G. Higman in [20].

To end this review we mention a few properties of the class $P$. Suppose $G_{1}, G_{2} \in G$. Then it is readily seen that $G_{1} \times G_{2} \in G$. It is also apparent that if $H_{1} \varangle G_{1}$, then $G_{1} / H_{1} \in G$. However, if $H_{2}$ is a subgroup of $G_{2}$ this does not imply that $H_{2} \in G$. e.g. N. Itô, in $[27]$, shows that the Alternating groups $A_{n}$, for $n \geqslant 5$, belong to $C$. Given a finite group $G \notin G$ we can embed $G$ in $A_{n}$ for $n$ sufficiently large.

Finally, we observe that if $G_{1} \in G$ and $G_{1}$ is isoclinic to $G_{3}$, then $G_{3} \in G$. This is a direct consequence of the definition of isoclinism which is:

Groups A and B are isoclinic if,
(i) $A / Z(A) \cong B / Z(B)$
(ii) $A^{\prime} \cong B^{\prime}$ and
(iii) If $a_{1} Z(A)$ and $a_{2} Z(A)$ correspond to $b_{1} Z(B)$ and $b_{2} Z(B)$ respectively under the isomorphism given in (i), then $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$ corresponds to $\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]$ under the isomorphism given in (ii).

Throughout this work we make extensive use of the commutator identities,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[a b, c]=[a, c]^{b}[b, c] \text { and }} \\
& {[a, b c]=[a, c][a, b]^{c},}
\end{aligned}
$$

without any reference to them.

In this chapter we investigate groups with a cyclic commutator subgroup. In $[2]$ I. D. Macdonald shows that a finite cyclic derived subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of an arbitary group $G$ need not be generated by a commutator. This leads one to ask whether a finite cyclic derived subgroup that is generated by a commutator consists entirely of commutators. We show that this is not necessarily true and give examples that demonstrate the fact. However, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let $G^{\prime}$ be cyclic of finite order and assume $\left.4 \nmid\right|^{\prime} \mid$. Suppose $G^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle$, where $c=[a, b]$. Let $\mu$ and $v$ be integers such that $c^{a}=c^{\mu}$ and $c^{b}=c^{\nu}$. If one of the following four conditions fails to hold for every prime divisor $p$ of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$, then $G^{\prime}$ consists of commutators.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { I } \mu-1 \equiv O(p), v-1 \equiv O(p) ; & \text { II } \mu-1 \equiv O(p), v-1 \neq O(p) ; \\
\text { III } \mu-1 \equiv O(p), v-1 \equiv O(p) ; & & \text { IV } \mu-1 \neq O(p), v-1 \neq O(p) .
\end{array}
$$

As a corollary we obtain the following generalisation of a result in kil.

Corollary 2.2. If $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic and either $G$ is nilpotent or $G^{\prime}$ is infinite, then $G^{\prime}$ consists of commutators.

Before we can give the proof of the theorem we need to prove the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2,3 Let $m=m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{n}$, where $m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $\left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right)=1$ if $i \neq j$. Given integers $\xi_{i}, \zeta_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that $\alpha \xi_{i}+B \zeta_{i}+\gamma \equiv O\left(m_{i}\right)$, then there exists integers $\xi$ and $\zeta$ such that $\alpha \xi+B \zeta+\gamma \equiv O(m)$.

Proof Let $\delta=(\alpha, \beta)=k \alpha+2 \beta$ for integers $k$ and 2 . Then $\left(\delta, m_{i}\right)$ divides $\gamma$. Since the $m_{i}$ are coprime, it follows that ( $\delta, m$ ) divides $r$. Consequently, there exist integers $r$ and $s$ such that $r \delta+s m=\gamma$. Letting $\xi=-r k$ and $\zeta=-r \boldsymbol{l}$ we have that $\alpha \xi+\beta \zeta+\gamma \equiv O(m)$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $c=[a, b], c^{a}=c^{\mu}$ and $c^{b}=c^{\nu}$, where $\mu, v \in \not \subset /$ and neither $\mu$ nor $v$ equals 1. If $\alpha, \beta, Y, \delta, \varepsilon$ and $\phi$ are non-negative integers, then $\left[a a_{b} \beta_{c}{ }^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b_{c} \varepsilon^{\phi}\right]=c^{\lambda}$, where

$$
\lambda=\gamma\left(\mu^{\delta} v^{\varepsilon}-1\right)-\phi\left(\mu^{\alpha} v^{\beta}-1\right)+\left(\frac{\mu^{\alpha}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{\nu^{\varepsilon}-1}{v-1}\right) \nu^{B}-\left(\frac{\mu^{\delta}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{v^{\beta}-1}{v-1}\right) v^{\varepsilon} .
$$

Proof: $\left[a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon} c^{\phi}\right]=\left[a a_{b}{ }^{\beta} c^{\gamma}, c^{\phi}\right]\left[a_{b} b^{\beta} c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right]^{c^{\phi}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left[a^{a_{b}}, c^{\phi}\right]^{c^{\gamma}}\left[c^{\gamma}, c^{\phi}\right]\left[a^{a} b^{\beta}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right]^{c^{\gamma} c^{\phi}}\left[c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right]^{c^{\phi}} \\
& =\left[a^{a_{b} \beta}, c^{\phi}\right]\left[a^{\alpha}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right]^{b^{\beta}}\left[b^{\beta}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right]\left[c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right] \\
& =\left[a^{a} b^{\beta}, c^{\phi}\right]\left[a^{a}, b^{\varepsilon}\right]^{b^{\beta}}\left[a^{\alpha}, a^{\delta}\right]^{b^{\beta+\varepsilon}}\left[b^{\beta}, b^{\varepsilon}\right]\left[b^{\beta}, a^{\delta}\right]^{b^{\varepsilon}}\left[c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right] \\
& =\left[a^{a_{b} \beta}, c^{\phi}\right]\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon}\right]^{b^{\beta}}\left[b^{\beta}, a^{\delta}\right]^{b^{\varepsilon}}\left[c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon}\right] . \tag{2.4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[a_{b} \beta, c \phi\right] } & =\left[a a_{b} \beta, c^{(\phi-1)}\right]\left[a_{b} \beta, c\right]^{c(\phi-1)} \\
& =\left[a a_{b} \beta, c^{(\phi-1)}\right]\left[a_{b} \beta, c\right] \\
& =\left[a_{b} \beta, c\right] \phi . \tag{2.4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Secondly

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[c, a^{\delta}\right] } & =\left[c, a^{(\delta-1)}\right]\{[c, a]\}^{(\delta-1)} \\
& =\left[c, a^{(\delta-1)}\right]\left\{c^{(\mu-1)}\right\}^{\mu(\delta-1)} \\
& =c^{(\mu-1)\left(1+\mu+\ldots+\mu^{(\delta-1)}\right.} \\
& =c^{\left(\mu^{\delta}-1\right)} \tag{2.4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c, b^{\varepsilon}\right]=c^{\left(v^{\varepsilon}-1\right)} . \tag{2.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon}\right] } & =\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon-1}\right]\left[a^{\alpha}, b\right]^{b^{(\varepsilon-1)}} \\
& =\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon-1}\right]\left\{[a, b]^{(\alpha-1)}\left[a^{\alpha-1}, b\right]\right\}^{(\varepsilon-1)} \\
& =\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon-1}\right]\left\{[a, b]^{(\alpha-1)}[a, b]^{a^{(\alpha-2)}} \ldots[a, b]\right\}^{b^{(\varepsilon-1)}} \\
& =\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon-1}\right]\left\{c^{\mu(\alpha-1)} c^{\mu^{(\alpha-2)}} \ldots c\right\}^{(\varepsilon-1)} \\
& =\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon-1}\right] c^{\left\{\left(\mu^{\alpha}-1\right) /(\mu-1)\right\} v^{(\varepsilon-1)}} \\
& =c^{\left\{\left(\mu^{\alpha}-1\right) /(\mu-1)\right\}\left(1+v+\ldots+v^{(\varepsilon-1)}\right)} \\
& =c^{\left\{\left(\mu^{\alpha}-1\right) /(\mu-1)\right\}\left\{\left(v^{\varepsilon}-1\right) /(v-1)\right\}} \tag{2.4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[a_{b}^{\alpha}, c^{\phi}\right] } & =\left[a^{\alpha} b^{\beta}, c\right]^{-},  \tag{2.4.2}\\
& =\left\{\left[a^{\alpha}, c\right]^{b^{\beta}},\left[b^{\beta}, c\right]\right\}^{\phi} \\
& =\left\{c^{\left(1-\mu^{\alpha}\right) v^{\beta}} c^{\left(1-v^{\beta}\right)}\right\}^{\phi}, \quad \text { by }(2.4 .3) \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.4.4}\\
& =c^{\phi\left(1-\mu^{\alpha} v^{\beta}\right)} . \tag{2,4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Similariy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\underline{c}^{\gamma}, a^{\delta_{b}}\right]=c^{\gamma\left(\mu^{\delta} v^{\varepsilon}-1\right)} \tag{2.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[a^{\alpha}, b^{\varepsilon}\right]^{b^{\beta}} } & =\left\{c^{\left\{\left(\mu^{\alpha}-1\right) /(\mu-1)\right\}\left\{\left(v^{\varepsilon}-1\right) /(v-1)\right\}}\right\}^{b^{\beta}}, \quad \text { by }  \tag{2.4.5}\\
& =c^{\left\{\left(\mu^{\alpha}-1\right) /(\mu-1)\right\}\left\{\left(v^{\varepsilon}-1\right) /(v-1)\right\} v^{\beta}} \tag{2.4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar fashion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\underline{b}^{\beta}, a^{\delta}\right]^{b^{\varepsilon}}=c^{-\left\{\left(\mu^{\delta}-1\right) /(\mu-1)\right\}\left\{\left(v^{\beta}-1\right) /(v-1)\right\} v^{\varepsilon}} \tag{2.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.4.6), (2.4.7), (2.4.8) and (2.4.9) into (2.4.1) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon} c^{\phi}\right]=c^{\lambda} \text {, where }} \\
& \lambda=\gamma\left(\mu^{\delta} v^{\varepsilon}-1\right)-\phi\left(\mu^{\alpha} v^{\beta}-1\right)+\left(\frac{\mu^{\alpha}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{v^{\varepsilon}-1}{v-1}\right) v^{\beta}-\left(\frac{\mu^{\delta}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{v^{\beta}-1}{v-1}\right) v^{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to show that the group $<a, b>b e l o n g s$ to 0 . So we assume $G=\langle a, b\rangle$. Now $c^{\lambda} \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ if and only if there exist non-negative integers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon$ and $\phi$ such that

$$
\left[a a^{\beta} c^{\gamma}, a^{\delta} b^{\varepsilon} c^{\phi}\right]=c^{\lambda} .
$$

If $\mu=1$, then $\left[a^{\alpha}, b\right]=c^{\alpha}$ for all integers $\alpha$, which implies $G \in G$. Similarly, if $\nu=1$ then $G \in C$. Thus we need only consider the case $\mu \neq 1$ and $\nu \neq 1$. By Lemma 2.4, the proof is reduced to the following: given $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant\left|G^{\prime}\right|$, we must find non-negative integers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon$ and $\phi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(\mu^{\delta} v^{\varepsilon}-1\right)-\phi\left(\mu^{\alpha} v^{\beta}-1\right)+\left(\frac{\mu^{\alpha}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{v^{\varepsilon}-1}{v-1}\right) v^{\beta}-\left(\frac{\mu^{\delta}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{v^{\beta}-1}{v-1}\right) v^{\varepsilon} \equiv \lambda\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|\right) . \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof continues on the following lines: given $\lambda$, we find suitable values of $\alpha, \beta, \delta$ and $\varepsilon$, depending on which of the conditions $I$ to IV is assumed not to hold for all prime divisors $p$ of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$, and for each $p$ we find integers $\gamma^{(p)}$ and $\phi^{(p)}$ such that
$\gamma^{(p)}\left(\mu^{\delta} v^{\varepsilon}-1\right)-\phi^{(p)}\left(\mu^{a} v^{\beta}-1\right)+\left(\frac{\mu^{\alpha}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{v^{\varepsilon}-1}{v-1}\right) v^{\beta}-\left(\frac{\mu^{\delta}-1}{\mu-1}\right)\left(\frac{v^{\beta}-1}{v-1}\right) v^{\varepsilon} \equiv \lambda\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right)$.

Then, by Lemma 2.3, we claim the existence of $\gamma$ and $\phi$ such that the congruence (2.1.1) holds.

There are four cases to consider. For brevity of notation let us denote the left hand side of (2.1.1) by $f(\gamma, \phi)$ after $\alpha, \beta, \delta$ and $\varepsilon$ have been chosen.

Case 1 For each $p$, condition I does not hold. Let $\alpha=\varepsilon=0, \beta=\delta=1$. Then, $f(\gamma, \phi)=\gamma(\mu-1)-\phi(\nu-1)-1$. Now for each prime divisor $p$ of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ either $\mu-1 \neq O(p)$ or $v-1 \neq O(p)$ by assumption. Consequently, there exist $\gamma^{(p)}$ and $\phi^{(p)}$ such that $f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right) \equiv \lambda\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{D}\right.$ ) for each prime divisor p of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$. By Lemma 2.3, there exist $\gamma$ and $\phi$ such that $f(\gamma, \phi) \equiv \lambda\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|\right)$ as required.

Case 2 For each $p$, condition II does not hold. By a result of K. Honda fla, $c$ is a commutator if and only if every generator of <c> is a commutator. Thus by induction on $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$, it suffices to show that for each prime divisor $q$ of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|, c^{q}=\left[a_{q}, b_{q}\right]$ for some $a_{q}, b_{q} E G$, and for each prime divisor of $\left|<a_{q}, b_{q}>\right|$ condition II does not hold. (Clearly the induction starts when $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ is prime.)

Let $\alpha=1, \beta=\delta=0$ and $\varepsilon=q$. Then,

$$
f(\gamma, \phi)=\gamma\left(v^{q}-1\right)-\phi(\nu-1)+\left(\frac{v^{q}-1}{v-1}\right)
$$

For each $p$ such that III or IV holds, let $\gamma^{(p)}=0$ and choose $\phi^{(p)}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right)=-\phi^{(p)}(\mu-1)+\left(\frac{v^{q}-1}{v-1}\right) \equiv q\left(\left.|G|\right|_{p}\right) \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $p$ such that $I$ holds let $\phi^{(p)}=0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right)= & \gamma^{(p)}\left(v^{q}-1\right)+\left(\frac{v^{q}-1}{v-1}\right) \\
= & \left(1+v+v^{2}+\ldots+v^{q-1}\right)\left(\gamma^{(p)}(v-1)+1\right) \\
= & \left(1+(1+k)+\ldots+(1+k)^{q-1}\right)\left(\gamma^{(p)} k+1\right), \\
& \text { where } k=v-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)\left(r^{(p)}{ }_{k+1)}\right.
$$

where $h(k)$ is a polynomial in $k$

$$
=q+\gamma^{(p)} k\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k) .
$$

Thus we have $f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right) \equiv q\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right)$ if we can find $\gamma^{(p)}$ such that
$r^{(p)} k\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k) \equiv O\left(|G|_{p}\right)$
We can do this if and only if
$\left.d=\left(k\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right), \quad\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right) \right\rvert\,\left(\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)$.
Because $p$ satisfies condition $I$ and $k=v-1$ we have that $p \mid k$.
If $q \neq p$ then $d=\left(k,\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right)$ and

$$
\left(k,\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{P}\right) \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right) .\right.
$$

If $q=p \neq 2$ then $d=\left(k p,\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right)$ and
$\left(k p,\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right) \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)\right.$.
Finally, if $q=p=2$, then, since we assume that $4 \nmid G^{\prime} \mid$, we have that $d=2$ and $2 \mid\left(k+k^{2} h(k)\right)$.
Therefore we can find $\gamma^{(p)}$ and $\phi^{(p)}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right)=\gamma^{(p)}\left(v^{q}-1\right)+\left(\frac{v^{q}-1}{v-1}\right) \equiv q\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right) . \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Lemma 2.3 to the congruences (2.1.2) and (2.1.3) we deduce that there exist $\gamma$ and $\phi$ such that $f(\gamma, \phi) \equiv q\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|\right)$ and so $c^{q}$ is a commutator. Since $a=1, \beta=\delta=0$ and $\varepsilon=q$ we have that $c^{q}=\left[a c^{\gamma}, b^{q} c^{\phi}\right]$. Now $c^{a c^{\gamma}}=c^{\mu}$ and $c^{b^{q} c^{\phi}}=c^{\nu^{q}}$, so for each prime divisor of $\left|\left\langle a c^{\gamma}, b^{q} c^{\phi}\right\rangle\right|$ condition II does not hold, completing the induction.

Case 3 For each $p$, condition III does not hold. Let $\alpha=q, \beta=\delta=0$ and $\varepsilon=1$ giving

$$
f(\gamma, \phi)=\gamma(v-1)-\phi\left(\mu^{q}-1\right)+\left(\frac{\mu^{q}-1}{\mu-1}\right) .
$$

The proof follows by an argument analogous to the one used in Case 2.

Case 4 For each p condition IV does not hold. The proof is, once again, on the same lines to the ones used in Case 2. Let $\alpha=\beta=1$, $\delta=0$ and $\varepsilon=q$, where $q$ is a prime divisior of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$. Then,

$$
f(\gamma, \phi)=\gamma\left(v^{q}-1\right)-\phi(\nu \nu-1)+\left(\frac{v^{q}-1}{v-1}\right) v .
$$

For each $p$ such that II or III holds let $\gamma^{(p)}=0$ and choose $\phi^{(p)}$ such that

$$
f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right)=-\phi^{(p)}(\mu \nu-1)+\left(\frac{v^{q}-1}{v-1}\right) v \equiv q\left(\left|\sigma^{\prime}\right| p\right) .
$$

For each $p$ such that $I$ holds let $\phi^{(p)}=0$. Then we have $f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right)=\gamma^{(p)}\left(\nu^{q}-1\right)+\left(\frac{\nu^{q}-1}{v-1}\right) \nu$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(1+v+\ldots+v^{q-1}\right)\left(\gamma^{(p)}(v-1)+v\right) \\
= & \left(1+(1+k)+\ldots+(1+k)^{q-1}\right)\left(r^{(p)_{k}}+k+1\right), \\
& \text { where } k=v-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)\left(\gamma^{(p)} k+k+1\right),
$$

$$
\text { where } h(k) \text { is a polynomial in } k
$$

$$
=q+\gamma^{(p)_{k}\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)}
$$

$$
+k\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k) .
$$

So $f\left(\gamma^{(p)}, \phi^{(p)}\right) \equiv q\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right)$ if we can find $\gamma^{(p)}$ such that
 Similarly to Case 2 we can do this if an only if $d=\left(k\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right),\left|G^{\prime}\right|_{p}\right) \left\lvert\,\left(k\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)\right.$. Now $d$ certainly divides $k\left(q+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)$ and so we have to show that $d$ divides $\left(\frac{1}{2} q(q-1) k+k^{2} h(k)\right)$. This was established in Case 2. As in Case 2 this implies that $c^{q}$ is a commutator. Moreover $c^{q}=\left[a b c^{\gamma}, b^{q} c^{\phi}\right]$ and it is easily seen that for each prime divisor of $\mid\left\langle a b c^{\gamma}, b^{q} c^{\phi}>1\right|$, condition II does not hold. q.e.d.

Proof of Corollary 2.2 By a result of [5.], G' is generated by a commutator. Suppose first that $G^{\prime}$ is finite and $G$ is nilpotent. By an argument in $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { a }\end{array}\right.$ we may assume that $G$ is finite.

Now $G$ being finite nilpotent implies that $G$ is the direct product of its Sylow p-subgroup and consequently $G \in G$ is and only if $S_{F} \in G$, where $S_{p}$ is any Sylow p-subgroup of $G$.

If $p \neq 2$ then, by Theorem 2.1, $s \in C$.
If $p=2 \operatorname{let}\left(S_{2}\right)^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle, c=[a, b]$ and $c^{a}=c^{\mu}$.
It suffices to show that $c^{2} \varepsilon X\left(S_{2}\right)$.
If $\mu \equiv 3(4)$ we consider $[c, \bar{a}]=c^{\mu-1}$. By the aforementioned result of Honda, $c^{\mu-1} c \neq K\left(s_{2}\right)$ implies that $c^{2} \in \mathbb{K}\left(s_{2}\right)$.
If. $\mu \equiv 1(4)$ we consider $\left[\bar{a}^{2}, b\right]=c^{\mu+1}$ and similarly conclude that $c^{2} \varepsilon \dot{K}\left(S_{2}\right)$. This completes the proof of the case that $G^{\prime}$ is finite and $G$ is nilpotent. Next we suppose that $G^{\prime}$ is infinite, Let $G^{\prime}=\langle c\rangle$ and $c=[a, b]$; Now $c^{a}=c$ or $c^{a}=c^{-1}$. If $c^{a}=c$ then $\left[a^{\alpha}, b\right]=c^{\alpha}$ for all integers $\alpha$ and consequently $G \in G$. Similarly of $c^{b}=c$ then $G \in C$. If $c^{a}=c^{b}=c^{-1}$ we consider $[b, a b \ldots a b]$ where there are $\alpha$ occurences of $a b$ in the commutator $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{ab} \ldots \mathrm{ab}$ ].
Now $[b, a b \ldots a b]=[\underline{b}, y][b, a b]^{y}$,
where $y=(a b)^{\alpha-1}$
$=[b, y][b, a]^{b y}$
$=[\underline{b}, y]\left(c^{-1}\right)^{b y}$
$=[b, y] c$
$=c^{\alpha}$, by an obvious induction.
Consequently $G \in G$, which completes the proof of the corollary.

We give examples to show that the theorem cannot be greatly extended. First we exhibit a class of groups $G$ in which all of the conditions $I$ to IV arise and $G$ is disjoint from the class $C$

Let p be a prime. From [40, pp 249-251] we know that there exist $\mathrm{p}+1$ distinct $\operatorname{primes}\left\{q_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p+1\right\}$ such that $q_{i} \equiv 1(p)$ for each $i$. The multiplicative group of non-zero elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(q_{j}\right)$, the Galois field with $q_{j}$ elements, is isomorphic to the cyclic group $c_{q_{j}-1}$, of order $q_{j}-1$ [1, pp 49-51]. By our choice of $q_{j}$, the mapping that sends every element of $c_{q_{j}}-1$ to its $p$ th power is a homomorphism with a non-trivial kernel. Consequently for each prime $q_{j}$ there exists an $w_{j}$ such that $w_{j} \equiv l\left(q_{j}\right)$ and $w_{j} \equiv I\left(q_{j}\right)$. We define $G$ to be the group generated by $a$ and $b$ subject to the following relations:
$[a, b]=c ;|\langle c\rangle|=p q_{1} q_{2} \ldots \ldots q_{p+1}$,
$c^{a}=c^{r}$ and $c^{b}=c^{s}$ where $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ are determined by
$r \equiv l(p) \quad s \equiv l(p), \quad r \equiv l\left(q_{1}\right) \quad s \equiv w_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)$,
$r \equiv w_{2}\left(q_{2}\right) \quad s \equiv l\left(q_{2}\right)$ and $r \equiv w_{j}\left(q_{j}\right) \quad s \equiv w_{j}{ }^{(j-2)}\left(q_{j}\right)$ for $3 \leqslant j \leqslant p+1$.
We show that $c^{P} \notin K(G)$. By Lemma $2.2 c^{p} \in K(G)$ if and only if there exist
non-negative integers $\alpha, \beta, Y, \delta, \varepsilon$ and $\phi$ such that
$r\left(r^{\delta} s^{\varepsilon}-1\right)-\phi\left(r^{\alpha} s^{\beta}-1\right)+\left(\frac{r^{\alpha}-1}{r-1}\right)\left(\frac{s^{\varepsilon}-1}{s-1}\right) s^{\beta}-\left(\frac{r^{\delta}-1}{r-1}\right)\left(\frac{s^{\beta}-1}{s-1}\right) s^{\varepsilon} \equiv p\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|\right)$
We denote the left hand side of (2.1.4) by $f$ and the four terms of $f$ by $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ respectively. Now $f \equiv p\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|\right)$ implies that $f \equiv O(p), f \equiv p\left(q_{1}\right), f \equiv p\left(q_{2}\right), \ldots \ldots f \equiv p\left(q_{p}\right)$ and $f \equiv p\left(q_{p+1}\right)$ simultaneously. Now $x_{1} \equiv x_{2} \equiv O(p)$ for every choice of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon$ and $\phi$. Furthermore, $x_{3}-x_{4} \equiv O(p)$ if and only if $\alpha \varepsilon-\delta \beta \equiv O(p)$. Since $f=x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}$. we require this congruence. If $\alpha \equiv \delta \equiv O(p)$, then $f \equiv O\left(q_{2}\right)$. So we may suppose that $\alpha \neq O(p)$. If $\beta \equiv O(p)$, we must have $\varepsilon \equiv O(p)$ to ensure that $\alpha \varepsilon-\delta \beta \equiv O(p)$, but this implies $f \equiv O\left(q_{1}\right)$, so $\beta \neq O(p)$. By our choice of primes $\left\{q_{j}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant p+1\right\}$, there exist $q_{k}$ such that $r^{\alpha_{s}} \equiv 1\left(q_{k}\right)$. We show $f \equiv O\left(q_{k}\right)$, which gives the desired contradiction. If $\delta \equiv O(p)$, we must have $\varepsilon \equiv O(p)$, to ensure that $\alpha \varepsilon-\delta \beta \equiv O(p)$, but this implies $f \equiv O\left(q_{k}\right)$. So we may assume that $\delta \not \equiv O(p) \neq \varepsilon:$ Consequently there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\delta \equiv \lambda \alpha(p)$. So $\alpha \varepsilon-\delta \beta \equiv O(p)$ implies that $\alpha \varepsilon-\lambda \alpha \beta \equiv O(p)$ and $\varepsilon \equiv \lambda \beta(p)$. Thus $r^{\hat{C}} s^{\varepsilon} \equiv r^{\lambda \alpha_{s} \lambda \beta} \equiv\left(r^{\alpha_{s}^{B}}\right)^{\lambda} \equiv 1\left(q_{k}\right)$, which implies $x_{1} \equiv x_{2} \equiv O\left(q_{k}\right)$. Now $x_{3}-x_{4}=\left(\frac{r^{\alpha}-1}{r-1}\right)\left(\frac{s^{\varepsilon}-1}{s-1}\right) s^{\beta}-\left(\frac{r^{\delta}-1}{r-1}\right)\left(\frac{s^{\beta}-1}{s-1}\right) s^{\varepsilon} \equiv O\left(q_{k}\right)$ if and only if $\left(r^{\alpha}-1\right)\left(s^{\varepsilon}-1\right) s^{\beta}-\left(r^{\delta}-1\right)\left(s^{\beta}-1\right) s^{\varepsilon} \equiv 0\left(q_{k}\right)$ Now, $\left(r^{\alpha}-1\right)\left(s^{\varepsilon}-1\right) s^{\beta}-\left(r^{\delta}-1\right)\left(s^{\beta}-1\right) s^{\varepsilon}$

$$
=r^{\alpha} s^{\varepsilon+\beta}-r^{\alpha} s^{\beta}-s^{\varepsilon+\beta}+s^{\beta}-r^{\delta} s^{\varepsilon+\beta}+r^{\delta} s^{\varepsilon}+s^{\varepsilon+\beta}-s^{\varepsilon}
$$

$$
\equiv s^{\varepsilon}-1+s^{\beta}-s^{\beta}+1-s^{\varepsilon}\left(q_{k}\right)
$$

$$
\equiv 0\left(q_{k}\right)
$$

So, $f \equiv O\left(q_{k}\right)$ which implies $c^{P} \notin K(G)$.

The constraint $4 \chi\left|G^{\prime}\right|$. If condition $I$ is assumed not to arise in $G$, then the constraint $4 X \mid G / /$ may be dropped. Th is is easily seen by referring to the proof of Theorem 2.1.However, the constraint is needed for each of the other three cases, as we now demonstrate.

Since we are demonstrating the fact that the prime 2 acts differently from other primes we obtain explicit examples of groups rather than a whole class as in the previous example.
Let $G=\left\langle a, p \mid[a, b]=c, c^{60}=1, c^{a}=c^{29}{ }^{\prime} c^{b}=c^{11}\right\rangle$.
Because $60=4.3 .529-1 \equiv O(2)$, 11-1 $\equiv O(2)$ 29-1 1 夆 $O(5)$, 11-1 $\equiv O(5)$, 29-1 $O(3)$ and $11-1 \% O(3), G$ is such that condition IIdoes not hold for each prime divisor of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$. A similar argument to that of the previous example shows that $c^{2} \notin \mathcal{K}(G)$.
By symmetry, $4 \chi\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ is essential when condition III is assumed not to arise for each prime divisor of |G'|.

In a similar fashion one can show that if

$$
G=\left\langle a, b \mid[a, b]=c, c^{60}=1, c^{a}=c^{19}, c^{b}=c^{11}\right\rangle,
$$

then $c^{2} \notin X(G)$. Th is shows that $4 \nmid G^{\prime} \mid$ is an essential constraint when condition IV is assumed not to hold for each prime divisor of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$.

If not cyclic the least complicated structure the commutator subgroup can have is abelian and it is to such groups that we now turn our attention. There is a relatively well known example of a nilpotent of class two group G of order 256 such that $G^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian of order 16 and $G \in$ (c.f. R. Carmichael [ 4 p. 39 ]). We will show that this group is in many ways, minimal with respect to not belonging to the class $G$. Indeed we obtain the following result:

Theorem 3.1 Let $G$ be nilpotent of class 2 such that $G^{\prime}$ is finite and $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 3$. Then $G^{\prime}$ consists of commutators.

Proof: By an argument in [37] it suffices to assume that $G$ is finite. Now $G$ is finite nilpotent if and only if $G$ is the direct product of its sylow-p-subgroups. Thus it suffices to consider G a p-group.

Let $G=\left\langle a_{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\rangle$. If $c_{i j}=\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]$, then, because $G^{\prime}$ is an abelian p-group, we may select a minimal generating set from the $c_{i j}$ 's. We consider the various possibilities that may arise in turn:

$$
\text { Case 1 } \quad G^{\prime}=\left\langle c_{12}\right\rangle
$$

Here we have that $c_{12}^{\alpha}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}^{\alpha}\right]$ for all integers $\alpha$.

Case 2

$$
G^{\prime}=\left\langle c_{12}, c_{13}\right\rangle
$$

Then, $c_{12}{ }^{\alpha} c_{13}^{\beta}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}^{\alpha} a_{3}^{\beta}\right]$, for all integers $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

## Case 3

Thus $c_{i j}=c_{12}{ }^{\alpha_{i j}} c_{34}^{\beta_{i j}}$.
Let $(1, j) \in\{(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4)\}$,
If $\alpha_{i j} \neq O(p)$, then there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
c_{i j}^{\omega}=c_{12} \alpha_{i j}^{\omega} c_{34}^{\beta_{i j} \omega} \text { and } \alpha_{1 j}^{\omega} \equiv 1\left(\left|c_{j 2}\right|\right)
$$

Consequently $G^{\prime}=\left\langle c_{i j}, c_{34}\right\rangle$, which is a presentation of the form discussed in Case 2.

Similarly, if $\beta_{i j} \neq O(p)$ we are reduced to Case 2.
Consequently, we may assume that for

$$
(i, j) \in\{(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4)\}, a_{i j} \equiv B_{i j} \equiv O(p)
$$

We consider $\left[a_{1} a_{3}, a_{2}{ }^{\alpha_{4}}{ }^{\beta}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{\alpha} c_{14}{ }^{\beta} c_{23}{ }^{-\alpha_{c}}{ }_{34}{ }^{\beta}$

$$
=c_{12} \alpha\left(7-\alpha_{23}\right)+\beta \alpha_{14} c_{34}^{-\alpha \beta_{23}+\beta\left(1+\beta_{14}\right)} \text {. }
$$

Let $p^{m}=\max \left\{\left|c_{12}\right|,\left|c_{34}\right|\right\}$.
Then, for any integers $r$ and $s, c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{34}{ }^{s} \in X(G)$ if there exist solutions to the equations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(1-\alpha_{23}\right) \alpha+\alpha_{14} \beta \equiv r\left(p^{m}\right)  \tag{3.1.1}\\
-\beta_{23} \alpha+\left(1+\beta_{14}\right) \beta \equiv s\left(p^{m}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Because $\alpha_{23} \equiv \beta_{23} \equiv \alpha_{14} \equiv \beta_{14} \equiv O(p)$ we have that

$$
\left|\begin{array}{rr}
1-\alpha_{23} & \alpha_{14} \\
-\beta_{23} & 1+\beta_{14}
\end{array}\right| \neq 0(p)
$$

Therefore there exist solutions to the equations (3.1.1), completing the proof for Case 3.

Case 4

$$
G^{\prime}=\left\langle c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{14}\right\rangle
$$

Then $c_{12}{ }^{\alpha} c_{13}{ }^{\beta} c_{14}{ }^{\gamma}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}{ }^{\alpha} a_{3}{ }^{\beta} a_{4} \gamma\right]$. for all integers $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$.

Case $\left.5 \quad G^{\prime}={ }^{\prime} c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{23}\right\rangle$.
We have to show that $c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{13}{ }^{s} c_{23}{ }^{t} \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ for $r, s, t, E \mathbb{Z}$.
Let $r=\bar{r} p^{\lambda}, s=\bar{s} p^{\mu}$ and $t=\bar{t} p^{\boldsymbol{r}}$, where $(\bar{r}, p)=(\bar{s}, p)=(\bar{t}, p)=1$.
We may assume without loss of generality that $\mu=\min \{\lambda, \mu, 5\}$. We consider $\left[a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{\alpha}, a_{2}{ }^{r} a_{3}{ }^{s}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{13}{ }^{s} c_{23}{ }^{\alpha}$. Since $(\bar{s}, p)=1$, there exists $\bar{\alpha}$ such that $\bar{\alpha} \bar{s} \equiv \bar{t}\left(\left|c_{23}\right|\right)$. Let $\alpha=\bar{\alpha}_{p}{ }^{(\zeta-\mu)}$. Then $\left[a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{\alpha}, a_{2}{ }^{r} a_{2}{ }^{s}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{13}{ }^{s} c_{23}{ }^{t}$, as required.

Case 6

$$
G^{\prime}=\left\langle c_{12}, c_{34}, c_{13}\right\rangle
$$

Let $c_{i j}=c_{12}{ }^{\alpha_{i j}} c_{34}{ }^{\beta_{i j}}{ }_{c_{13}}{ }^{Y_{i j}}$.
Similarly to Case 3 we need only consider the case where the following restrictions hold:
(i) $\quad \alpha_{14} \equiv O(p)$. (Otherwise a reduction to Case 5.)
(ii) $\quad a_{23} \equiv O(p)$. (Otherwise a reduction to Case 4.)
(iii) $B_{14} \equiv O(p)$. (Otherwise a reduction to Case 4.)
(iv) $\quad \beta_{23} \equiv O(p)$. (Otherwise a reduction to Case 5.)

Let $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}=\max \left\{\left|c_{12}\right|,\left|c_{34}\right|,\left|c_{13}\right|\right\}$.
Given $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we show that we can find $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left[a_{1} a_{3}, a_{2}{ }^{a_{a}}{ }_{3}{ }^{\beta} a_{4}{ }^{\gamma}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{34}{ }^{\mathbf{s}} c_{13}{ }^{\boldsymbol{t}}$.
Now $\left[a_{1} a_{3}, a_{2}{ }^{\alpha} a_{3}{ }^{\beta} a_{4}{ }^{\gamma}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{\alpha} c_{13}{ }^{\beta} c_{14}{ }^{\gamma} c_{23}{ }^{-\alpha} c_{34}{ }^{\gamma}$

So, we need to show the existence of solutions to the equation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rr}
\left(1-\alpha_{23}\right) \alpha & +\alpha_{14} \gamma \equiv r\left(p^{m}\right) \\
-\beta_{23} \alpha & +\left(1+\beta_{14}\right) \gamma \equiv s\left(p^{m}\right)  \tag{2.1.2}\\
-\gamma_{23^{\alpha}} & +\beta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Because $\alpha_{14} \equiv \alpha_{23} \equiv \beta_{14} \equiv \beta_{23} \equiv O(p)$ we have that

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\alpha_{23} & 0 & \alpha_{14} \\
-\beta_{23} & 0 & \left(1+\beta_{14}\right) \\
-\gamma_{23} & 1 & \gamma_{14}
\end{array}\right| \not \equiv \quad O(p) \text {, and, consequently, }
$$

we may solve the equations (2.1.2) completing the proof for Case 6 .

Similarly to Cases 3 and 6 we need only consider the case where $\alpha_{13} \equiv \alpha_{14} \equiv \alpha_{35} \equiv \alpha_{45} \equiv \beta_{23} \equiv \beta_{24} \equiv \beta_{35} \equiv \beta_{45} \equiv \gamma_{13} \equiv \gamma_{14} \equiv \gamma_{23} \equiv \gamma_{24} \equiv O(p)$ (Otherwise a reduction to Case 6 ), $\beta_{13} \equiv \beta_{14} \equiv O(p)$ (Otherwise a reduction to Case 4) and $\beta_{25} \equiv O(p)$ (otherwise a reduction to Case 5).

Let $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}=\max \left\{\left|\mathrm{c}_{12}\right|,\left|{c_{34}}\right|,\left|c_{15}\right|\right\}$ - and - consider
$\left[a_{1}{ }^{a_{2}}{ }_{2}^{\beta} a_{3}{ }^{\gamma}, a_{4} a_{5}\right]=c_{14}{ }^{\alpha} c_{15}{ }^{\alpha} c_{24}{ }^{\beta} c_{25}{ }^{\beta} c_{34}{ }^{\gamma} c_{35}{ }^{\gamma}$
$=c_{12} \alpha_{14} \alpha+\left(\alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25}\right) \beta+\alpha_{35} \gamma_{c_{34}}^{\beta_{14} \alpha+\left(\beta_{24}+\beta_{25}\right) \beta+\left(1+\beta_{35}\right) \gamma}{ }_{c_{15}}^{\left(1+\gamma_{14}\right) \alpha+\left(\gamma_{24}+\gamma_{25}\right) \beta+\gamma_{35} \gamma}$.
So, given $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{\mathbb { X }}$ such that
$\left[a_{1}{ }^{\alpha} a_{2}{ }^{\beta} a_{3}{ }^{\gamma}, a_{4} a_{5}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{34}{ }^{s} c_{15}{ }^{t}$ if we can solve the following equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\alpha_{14} \alpha+\left(\alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25}\right) \beta+\alpha_{35} \gamma \equiv r\left(p^{m}\right) \\
\beta_{14} \alpha+\left(\beta_{24}+\beta_{25}\right) \beta+\left(1+\beta_{35}\right) \gamma \equiv s\left(p^{m}\right)  \tag{2.1.3}\\
\left(1+\gamma_{24}\right) \alpha+\left(\gamma_{24}+\gamma_{25}\right) \beta+\gamma_{35} \gamma \equiv t\left(p^{m}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

If $\alpha_{24}+c_{25} \neq O(p)$ then

$$
\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
\alpha_{14} & \alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25} & n_{35} \\
\beta_{14} & \beta_{24}+\beta_{25} & 1+\beta_{35} \\
1+\gamma_{14} & \gamma_{24}+\gamma_{25} & \gamma_{35}
\end{array}\right| \neq O(p) \text {, and solutions exist to the }
$$

So we are left to consider the case $\alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25} \equiv O(p)$. We consider
$\left[a_{1}{ }^{a_{2}}{ }^{\beta} a_{3}{ }^{\gamma_{a_{5}}}, a_{1} a_{4} a_{5}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{\delta} c_{34}{ }^{\varepsilon} c_{15}{ }^{\phi}$, where
$\delta=\alpha_{14} \alpha+\left(\alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25}-1\right) \beta-\left(\alpha_{13}-\alpha_{35}\right) \gamma-\alpha_{45}$,
$\varepsilon=\beta_{14} \alpha+\left(\beta_{24}+\beta_{25}\right) \beta+\left(1-\beta_{13}+\beta_{35}\right) \gamma-\beta_{45}$ and
$\phi=\left(1+\gamma_{14}\right) \alpha+\left(\gamma_{24}+\gamma_{25}\right) \beta-\left(\gamma_{13}-\gamma_{35}\right) \gamma-1-\gamma_{45}$.
So given $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist $\alpha, B, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\left[a_{1} a_{2}{ }_{2} a_{3}{ }_{a_{5}}, a_{1} a_{4} a_{5}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{34}^{s} c_{15}^{t} \text { if we can solve the }
$$

following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\alpha_{14} \alpha+\left(\alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25}-1\right) \beta-\left(\alpha_{13}-\alpha_{35}\right) \gamma \equiv r+\alpha_{45}\left(p^{m}\right)\right\} \\
\left.\beta_{14} \alpha+\left(\beta_{24}+\beta_{25}\right) \beta+\left(1-\beta_{13}+\beta_{35}\right) \gamma \equiv s+\beta_{45}\left(p^{m}\right)\right\}  \tag{2.1.4}\\
\left.\left(1+\gamma_{14}\right) \alpha+\left(\gamma_{24}+\gamma_{25}\right) \beta-\left(\gamma_{13}-\gamma_{35}\right) \gamma \equiv t+1+\gamma_{45}\left(p^{m}\right)\right\}
\end{array}
$$

Because $\alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25} \equiv O(p)$,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{14} & \alpha_{24}+\alpha_{25}-1 & -\alpha_{13}+\alpha_{35} \\
\beta_{14} & \beta_{24}+\beta_{25} & 1-\beta_{13}+\beta_{35} \\
\left(1+\gamma_{14}\right) & \gamma_{24}+\gamma_{25} & \gamma_{13}+\gamma_{35}
\end{array}\right| \quad \neq o(p)
$$

and, consequently, there exist solutions to the equations (2.1.4), completing the proof for Case 7.

Case 8

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime} & =\left\langle c_{12}, c_{34}, c_{56}\right\rangle \\
c_{i j} & =c_{12}{ }^{\alpha_{i j}} c_{34}{ }^{\beta_{i j}} c_{56}{ }_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $(i, j) \in\{(1,3),(1,4),(1,5),(1,6),(2,3),(2,4),(2,5),(2,6)(3,5),(3,6)$, $(4,5),(4,6)\}$
we need only consider $\alpha_{i j} \equiv \beta_{i j} \equiv \gamma_{i j} \equiv O(p)$, otherwise we have a reduction to Case 7.

Let $p^{m}=\max \left\{\left|c_{12}\right|,\left|c_{34}\right|,\left|c_{56}\right|\right\}$. Similarly to before, given $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ we show that there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\left[a_{1} a_{3} a_{5}, a_{2}{ }^{a} a_{4}{ }^{B} a_{6}{ }^{\gamma}\right]=c_{12}{ }^{r} c_{34}{ }^{s} c_{56}{ }^{t}$. As before this reduces to showing that there exist solutions to the equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\left(1-\alpha_{23}-\alpha_{25}\right) \alpha+\left(\alpha_{14}-\alpha_{45}\right) \beta+\left(\alpha_{16}+\alpha_{36}\right) \gamma \equiv r\left(p^{m}\right)\right) \\
\left.-\left(\beta_{23}+\beta_{25}\right) \alpha+\left(1+\beta_{14}-\beta_{45}\right) \beta+\left(\beta_{16}+\beta_{36}\right) \gamma \equiv s\left(p^{m}\right)\right)  \tag{2.1.5}\\
\left.-\left(\gamma_{23}+\gamma_{25}\right) \alpha+\left(\gamma_{14}-\gamma_{45}\right) \beta+\left(1+\gamma_{16}+\gamma_{36}\right) \gamma \equiv t\left(p^{m}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Once again the corresponding determinant takes a non-zero value modulo $p$ and, therefore, solutions to (2.1.5) exist. q.e.d.

We now give two examples of groups that demonstrate the fact that no generalisation of Theorem 3.1. is easily obtainable.

Let $G_{1}=\left\langle a_{i}\right| 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4, a_{i}{ }^{2}=1, G_{1}$ is class 2 nilpotent, $\left.\left[a_{2}, a_{4}\right]=\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right]=1\right\rangle$ and $G_{2}=\left\langle b_{i}\right| 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4, b_{i}{ }^{2}=1, G_{2}$ is class 2 nilpotent, $\left.\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]=\left[b_{3}, b_{4}\right]=1\right\rangle$. Now $G_{1}$ is the aformentioned example from [4] and it is quite easy to show (c.f. I. D. Macdonald $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { and }\end{array}\right]$ that,$\left.a_{4}\right]\left[a_{2}, a_{3}\right] \notin \mathcal{K}\left(G_{1}\right)$. However, one can easily demonstrate that $G_{2} E G_{5}$.

Theorem 3.1 is essentially a statement related to finite p-groups. By assuming the groups concerned to be nilpotent of class two we were able to deal with groups of arbitrary exponent. If, however, we only assume the groups to be metabelian such that the derived subgroup is a p-group, then we are only able to handle some cases where the derived subgroup is elementary abelian.

Theorem 3.2 Let $G$ be a finite group such that $G^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{3}$. Then $G \in G$.

Proof. Let $S$ be a Sylow p-subgroup of $G$. Because $G^{\prime} \subseteq S$, we have that $S \triangleleft G$. So, by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem $[16, \mathrm{pp} \mathrm{220-224}], \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{S} \lambda \mathrm{K}$, where K is a complement to $S$ in $G$. Again because $G^{\prime} E S$, we have that $K$ is abelian.

By Theorem 5.2.3 of $[16]$ we make the following crucial observation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, K\right] \times C_{G^{\prime}}(K) \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We continue by considering, in turn, the various possibilities arising from (3.2.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Case 1 } \quad G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, K\right] \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G^{\prime}=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right.$, where $a_{i}=\left[b_{i}, k_{i}\right], b_{i} \in G^{\prime}, k_{i} \in K$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. We may assume that $K=\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right\rangle$.

Suppose $C_{G},\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle\right)=\langle \rangle$. Then once again by Theorem 5.2.3. of [16], $G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime},\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle\right]$. Because $K$ is abelian $\left.\left[G^{\prime},\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle\right]=\left\langle G^{\prime},\left\langle k_{1}\right\rangle\right],\left[G^{\prime},\left\langle k_{2}\right\rangle\right]\right\rangle$. Let $g \in G^{\prime}$. Then $g=g_{1} g_{2}$, for some $g_{i} \in\left[G^{\prime},\left\langle k_{i}\right\rangle\right], 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$. Let $h \in G^{\prime}$. Then $\left[h_{,} k_{1}^{n}\right]=\left[h_{0} k_{1}\right]\left[h^{k_{1}}, k_{1}^{n-1}\right]=\ldots=\left[h_{n} k_{1}\right]\left[h^{k_{1}}, k_{1}\right] \ldots\left[h^{k_{1}^{n-1}}, k_{1}\right]=\left[h^{k^{\prime}} \ldots h^{k_{1}^{n-1}}, k_{1}\right]$. Thus $g_{1}=\left[h_{1}, k_{1}\right]$, for some $h_{1} \in G^{\prime}$. Similarly, $g_{2}=\left[h_{2}, k_{2}\right]$ for some $h_{2} \in G^{\prime}$. So, $g=g_{1} g_{2}=\left[h_{1}, k_{1}\right]\left[h_{2}, k_{2}\right]=\left[k_{1} h_{2}, k_{2} h_{1}{ }^{-1}\right]$, which implies that $G E G$. We now consider the case $C_{G},\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}>\right) \dot{f}<1>\right.$. By symmetry we may assume that $\left.C_{G}{ }^{\prime}\left(<k_{1}, k_{3}>\right) \notin\langle 1\rangle \notin C_{G}\left(<k_{2}, k_{3}\right\rangle\right)$.
If $\left.C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{r}, k_{s}\right\rangle\right) \cap^{\left\langle C_{G^{\prime}}\right.}\left(\left\langle k_{r}, k_{t}\right\rangle\right), C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{s}, k_{t}\right\rangle\right)\right\rangle \notin\langle 1\rangle$, for $r, s, t \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $r, s, t$ pairwise different, then $\left.C_{G}\left(\left\langle k_{N^{\prime}}, k_{G}, k_{t}\right\rangle\right)=C_{G}(K) \neq<1\right\rangle$.

But, from (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) we reach the conclusion $C_{G^{\prime}}(K)=\langle 1\rangle$, a contradiction. Consequently, $G^{\prime}=C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle\right) \times C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{3}\right\rangle\right) \times C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{2}, k_{3}\right\rangle\right)$. Let $C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle, C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle$ and $C_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{2}, k_{3}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle g_{3}\right\rangle$ where,

$$
\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \cong\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle \cong\left\langle g_{3}\right\rangle \cong c_{p} .
$$

Now $K \succeq N_{G}\left(C_{G^{\prime}}\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}>\right)\right.$, so $g_{1}{ }^{k_{3}}=g_{1} r_{1}$, for some $r_{1} \in I$.
If $r_{1}=1$, then $k_{3} E C_{G}\left(C_{G},\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}\right\rangle\right)\right)$ and consequently,
$\left.c_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right\rangle\right)=C_{G^{\prime}}(k) \neq<1\right\rangle$, contradicting (3.2.2). So,
$g_{1}{ }^{k_{3}}=g_{1}{ }^{r_{1}}$, where $r_{1} \notin 1$. In a similar fashion,

$$
g_{2}^{k_{2}}=g_{2}^{r_{2}} \text { and } g_{3}^{k_{1}}=g_{3}^{r_{3}} \text {, where } r_{2} \text { and } r_{3} \text { are positive integers }
$$

not equal to one.
Thus,
$g_{1}{ }^{r_{1}-1}=\left[g_{1}, k_{3}\right], g_{2}^{r_{2}-1}=\left[g_{2}, k_{2}\right]$ and $g_{3}^{r_{3}-1}=\left[g_{3}, k_{1}\right]$.
Now there exist $\lambda_{i}$ such that $\left(r_{i}-1\right) \lambda_{i} \equiv 1(p)$ for $1 \leqslant 1 \leqslant 3$.
Consequently,
$g_{1}=\left[g_{1}^{\lambda_{1}}, k_{3}\right], g_{2}=\left[g_{2}^{\lambda_{2}}, k_{2}\right]$ and $g_{3}=\left[g_{3}^{\lambda_{3}}, k_{1}\right]$.
Let $g \in G^{\prime}$. Then $g=g_{1}{ }^{\alpha} g_{2}{ }^{\beta} g_{3}{ }^{\gamma}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{I}$.
So,

$$
g=\left[g_{1}{ }^{\lambda_{1}}, k_{3}\right]^{\alpha}\left[g_{2}^{\lambda_{2}}, k_{2}\right]^{\beta}\left[g_{3}^{\lambda_{3}}, k_{1}\right]^{\gamma}=\left[g_{1}^{\lambda_{1}{ }^{\alpha}} g_{2}^{\lambda_{2}^{\beta}} g_{3}^{\lambda_{3} \gamma}, k_{1} k_{2} k_{3}\right]
$$

This completes the proof for Case 1 .

Case 2

$$
G^{\prime}=s^{\prime} \cong c_{p} \times c_{p} \times c_{p}
$$

$S$ induces a group of automorphisms on $S^{\prime}$, by conjugation. So there exists a homomorphism $\phi$ of $S$ into $G L(3, p)$. Since $S$ is a p-group we may consider $\phi(S) \subseteq \operatorname{STL}(3, p)$ which is, by Theorem 1.4 A of $[\epsilon]$, a Sylow p-subgroup of GL (3, p). Because $S^{\prime}$ is abelian, $\phi(S)$ is an abelian group. By Theorem 1.2 of [6], $|\operatorname{STL}(3, p)|=p^{3}$. By Lemma 1.3 of $[E], \operatorname{STL}(3, p)$ is nilpotent of class 2 and consequently, either $|\phi(s)|=p$ or $|\phi(s)|=p^{2}$.

Let $S^{\prime}=\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\rangle$, where we may assume that $g_{i} \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. By considering [2] we see that it suffices to show that $g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{a} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{K}(S)$ and $g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{K}(S)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. We consider the various possibilities for the structure of $\phi(S)$ in turn.
(i) $|\phi(S)|=p^{2}$.

So $\phi(s)=$

$$
\left.\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \gamma & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \delta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) ; \text { where } \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Various situations arise, depending on the values of $\gamma, \delta$ and $p$.
Because $Y(S)$ is a characteristicsubset of $S$ it suffices to show that the element under consideration is conjugate to a commutator.
(a) $\quad \gamma \equiv O(p)$.

If $\delta \equiv O(p)$, then $|\phi(S)|=p$. So $\delta \neq O(p)$.
By considering how $\phi(S)$ acts upon $S^{\prime}$ we see that

$$
g_{1} \sim g_{1} g_{3}, g_{2} \sim g_{2} g_{3}^{\delta} \text { and } g_{3} \in Z(s) . \text { Because } s^{\prime}=\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\rangle
$$

$S$ is nilpotent of class 3 and $S /\left\langle g_{3}\right\rangle$ is nilpotent of class 2. By Theorem 3.1, $\left.\mathrm{s} /<\mathrm{g}_{3}\right\rangle \in \mathrm{Q}^{\circ}$. So $\left.\mathrm{g}_{1} \mathrm{~g}_{2}{ }^{a}<\mathrm{g}_{3}>\in \mathcal{K}\left(\mathrm{S} /<\mathrm{g}_{3}\right\rangle\right)$ and consequently,

$$
g_{1} g_{2}^{\alpha} g_{3}^{\lambda} \in X_{1}(s) \text { for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Now $g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}{ }^{\lambda} \sim g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}{ }^{\lambda} \cdot g_{3} \sim \ldots \dot{g_{1}} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}{ }^{\lambda+r}$, where $r \in \mathbb{I}$. So $g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}^{\beta} \in K(S)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{I I}$.
 have $g_{2} \sim g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\beta}$, where $\beta \in \underline{Z}$ and consequently, $g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{X}(s)$.
(b) $\quad r \not \equiv O(p)$ and $p \neq 2$.

Now $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)^{r_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)^{r_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & r_{1} \gamma & r_{1}\left(r_{1}-1\right) \gamma \delta / 2+r_{2} \\ 0 & 1 & r_{1} \delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$
By selecting suitable $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ we have $g_{1} \sim g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}^{\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consequently, $g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{K}(s)$. To show that $g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in X(s)$ we observe that $\exists \mathrm{s} \in \mathrm{S}$ such that $\mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~g}_{1} \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{g}_{1} \mathrm{~g}_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{3}{ }^{\beta}$ and consequently, $\left[g_{1}, \mathrm{~s}\right]=\mathrm{g}_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\beta}$.
(c) $p=2$ and $\gamma \equiv 1(2)$.

Let $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$ be such that $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ induce the automorphisms given by the matrices $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ respectively.

Then $g_{1}{ }^{s_{1}}=g_{1} g_{2}, g_{1}{ }^{s_{2}}=g_{1} g_{3}, g_{1}{ }^{s_{2} s_{1}}=g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}$ and $\left[g_{1}, s_{2} s_{1}\right]=g_{2} g_{3}$. Consequently, $s \in G$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(s)|=p . \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two possibilities to consider.


$s /<g_{3}>$ is nilpotent of class 2 and by a similar argument to that used in (i)(a) we may conclude that $g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathrm{s})$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\|}$. It remains to show that $g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{X}(s)$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{L}$. Let $g_{2}=\left[\underline{s}_{1}, s_{2}\right]$ and $g_{3}=\left\lceil g_{1}, \dot{s}_{3}\right]$ where $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \in S$. $\operatorname{Now}\left[g_{1}, s_{1}\right] \in Z(S)$, so $\left[g_{1}, s_{1}\right]=g_{3}{ }^{\lambda}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{L}$. If $\lambda \neq O(p)$, then $\left[s_{1}, s_{2} g_{1}{ }^{\mu}\right]=g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{-\lambda \mu}$ and for suitable choice of $\mu$ we have $\left[s_{1}, s_{2} g_{1}{ }^{\dagger}\right]=g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\beta}$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{\mathbb { Z }}$. Thus it remains to consider the case $\lambda \equiv O(p)$, i.e. $\left[g_{1}, s_{1}\right]=1$. Similarly we may assume that $\left[g_{1}, s_{2}\right]=1$. We note that $\left.\left[\underline{s}_{3}, s_{2}\right]^{g_{1}}=\stackrel{\underline{s}}{3}^{g_{1}}, s_{2}\right]=\left[\underline{s}_{3} g_{3}, s_{2}\right]=\left[s_{3}, s_{2}\right]$ and similarly, $\left[s_{3}, s_{2}\right]^{g_{1}}=\left[s_{3}, s_{1}\right]$. Let $\left[\underline{s}_{3}, s_{1}\right]=g_{1}{ }^{\omega_{1}} g_{2}{ }^{\omega_{2}} g_{3}{ }^{\omega_{3}}$ and $\left[s_{3}, s_{2}\right]=g_{1}{ }^{\omega_{4}} g_{2}{ }^{\omega_{5}} g_{3}{ }^{\omega_{6}}$, where $\omega_{i} \in \mathbb{\mathbb { L }}$ for $1 \leqslant 1 \leqslant 6$.
We consider $g=\left[s_{3} s_{1}{ }^{\lambda} s_{2}{ }^{\mu}, s_{1} \gamma_{s_{2}}{ }^{\delta} g_{1}{ }^{\varepsilon}\right]$, where $\lambda, \mu, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Because $\left[g_{1}, s_{1}\right]=\left[g_{1}, s_{2}\right]=1$ and $Z(s)=\left\langle g_{2}, g_{3}\right\rangle$ we have that
$<s_{1}, s_{2}>\subseteq C_{s}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$. Using this fact it is easily seen that
$g=\left[s_{3}, s_{1}\right]^{\gamma}\left[s_{3}, s_{2}\right]^{\delta}\left[s_{3}, s_{1}\right]^{\varepsilon}\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]^{\lambda \delta}\left[s_{2}, s_{1}\right]^{\mu \gamma}$
$=\left(g_{1}{ }^{\omega_{1}} g_{2}{ }^{\omega_{2}} g_{3}{ }^{\omega}\right)\left(g_{1}{ }^{\omega_{4}} g_{2}{ }^{\omega_{5}} g_{3}{ }^{\omega}\right)^{\prime} \delta_{g_{3}}{ }^{-\varepsilon} g_{2} \lambda \delta-\mu \gamma$

We choose $\gamma$ and $\delta$ such that, either $\gamma \neq O(p)$ or $\delta \neq O(p)$ and $\omega_{2} \gamma+\omega_{4} \delta \equiv O(p)$. We then choose $\gamma, \mu$ and $\varepsilon$ such that $g=s_{2} s_{3}{ }^{\beta}$, where $B \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(b) $\phi(s)=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$

Let $g_{1}=\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$ where $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$.
Suppose $g_{1}{ }^{s_{1}}=g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ 丰 $O(p)$. Consequently, $g_{2}^{s_{1}}=g_{2} g_{3}{ }^{\lambda}$.
Then, $\left[s_{1}, s_{2} g_{1}{ }^{\alpha} g_{2}^{\beta}\right]=g_{1}\left(g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}{ }^{\beta}\right)^{\lambda}$
and $\left[s_{1}, g_{1} g_{2}^{\beta}\right]=\left(g_{2} g_{3}^{\beta}\right)^{\lambda}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{\mathbb { U }}$.
similarly, $g_{1}{ }^{s_{2}}=g_{1} g_{2}^{\lambda}$ where $\lambda$ 丰 $O(p)$ implies $S E$, so it only remains for us to consider the case $g_{1}{ }^{s_{1}}=g_{1}{ }^{s_{2}}=g_{1}$.
Now there exists $s \in S$ such that $g_{1}^{s}=g_{1} g_{2}$ and $g_{2}^{s}=g_{2} g_{3}$.
Let $\left[s, s_{2}\right]=g_{1}{ }^{\omega_{1}} g_{2}{ }^{\omega_{2}} g_{3}{ }^{\omega_{3}}$, where $\omega_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$.
Then, $\left[s s_{1}{ }^{-\omega_{1}+1}, s_{2} g_{1}{ }^{\lambda} g_{2}{ }^{\mu}\right]=g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\omega_{2}-\lambda} g_{3}{ }^{\omega_{3}+\mu}$
and $\left[\mathrm{ss}_{1}{ }^{-\omega_{1}}, \mathrm{~s}_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{1}{ }^{\lambda} \mathrm{g}_{2}{ }^{\mu}\right]=\mathrm{g}_{2}{ }^{\omega_{2}-\lambda} \mathrm{g}_{3} \omega_{3}+\mu$.
By suitable choices of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ we have that $g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\alpha} g_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{I}(s)$ and $\mathrm{g}_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{3}{ }^{\beta} \in \mathcal{\{}(\mathrm{s})$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$.
This concludes the proof of Case 2.

Case 3

$$
\left[G^{\prime}, k\right] \neq G^{\prime} \neq s^{\prime}
$$

By Theorem 5.3.5 of [16] we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=[s, k] c_{S}(K) \tag{.3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $K \equiv N_{G}([S, K])$ and $\left[s_{1}, k\right]^{s_{2}}=\left[s_{1} s_{2}, k\right]\left[s_{2}, k\right]^{-1}$, where $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$ and $k \in K$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
[s, k] \oslash \quad G \tag{3.2,4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering (3.2.3) and (3.2.4), remembering that $G^{\prime}$ is abelizn, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime}=\left\langle\left[[s, K], c_{S}(K)\right], c_{S}(K)^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of (3.2.4) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[[s, K], c_{s}(K)\right] \subseteq[s, K] \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 5.3.6 of [16],

$$
[s, \bar{K}]=[[s, K], K]
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[[s, k], c_{S}(k)\right] \subseteq\left[G^{\prime}, \vec{k}\right] \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 5.2.3 of [16],

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, \bar{K}\right] \times C_{G^{\prime}}(K) \tag{3.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $C_{S}(K)^{\prime} \subseteq C_{G}(K)$ we have, by considering (3.2.5), (3.2.7) and (3.2.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}=\left[[s, k], c_{S}(k)\right] \times c_{S}(K)^{\prime} . \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by Theorem 5.2.3 of [16] we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime}=\left[s^{\prime}, K\right] \times c_{S^{\prime}}(K) . \tag{3.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $C_{S}(K)^{\prime} \subseteq C_{S^{\prime}}(K) \subseteq C_{G^{\prime}}(K),\left[S^{\prime}, \bar{K}\right] \subseteq\left[G^{\prime}, \bar{K}\right]$
and by (3.2.7) $\left[[S, K], C_{S}(K)\right] \subseteq\left[G^{\prime}, K\right]$.
So by (3.2.8) we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{S}(K)^{\prime}=c_{S^{\prime}}(K) \tag{3.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left[[S, K], c_{S}(K)\right] \neq\left[S^{\prime}, K\right]$, then there exist $x \in\left[[S, K], c_{S}(K)\right]$ and $y \in\left[S^{\prime}, K\right]$ such that $1 \neq x y \in C_{S^{\prime}}(K)$. But $\left\langle\left[[S, K], C_{S}(K)\right],\left[S^{\prime}, \bar{X} \mid>\subseteq\left[G^{\prime}, K\right]\right.\right.$ and, by (3.2.8), $\left[G^{\prime}, K\right] \cap C^{G^{\prime}}(K)=\langle 1\rangle$, a contradiction.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[[s, k], c_{S}(k)\right]=\left[S^{\prime}, k\right] . \tag{3.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the various possibilities for the structure of $S^{\prime}$ in turn.
(i)

$$
c_{S^{\prime}}(K) \cong c_{p},\left[s^{\prime}, k\right]=\langle 1\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime} \cong c_{p} \tag{3.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $G=S \lambda K, G^{\prime}=\left\langle[S, K], S^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

Recalling that, by Theorem 5.3 .6 of $[6],[s, k]=[[s, k], k]$, we see that $[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k}]=\left[\mathrm{G}^{\prime}, \mathrm{k}\right] \cong \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}}$. So, by (3.2.8), $c_{G},(K) \cong c_{p}$.
Let $g \in G^{\prime}$. Then $g=g_{1} g_{2}$, where $g_{1} \in\left[G^{\prime}, K\right]$ and $g_{2} \in C_{G^{\prime}}(K)$.
Again by Theorem 5.3.6 of $\left[G\right.$ we have $\left[G^{\prime}, k\right]=\left[\left[G^{\prime}, k\right], k\right]$.
So $g_{1}=\left[s_{1}, k_{1}^{-}\right]\left[s_{2}, k_{2}\right]=\left[k_{2} s_{1}, k_{1} s_{2}^{-1}\right]$, where $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$ and $s_{1}, s_{2} \in\left[G^{\prime}, \bar{K}\right]$.
By (3.2.11), (3.2.13) and (3.2.14) we have,

$$
c_{G^{\prime}}(k)=c_{S^{\prime}}(k)=c_{S}(k)^{\prime} .
$$

so $g_{2}=\left[s_{3}, s_{4}\right]$, where $s_{3}, s_{4} \in C_{S}(k)$.
Consequently $g=g_{1} g_{2}=\left[k_{2} s_{1} s_{3}, k_{1} s_{2}^{-1} s_{4}\right]$.
(ii)

$$
c_{S^{\prime}}(k) \cong\left[s^{\prime}, k\right] \cong c_{p} .
$$

Because $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{K}) \subseteq \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\left[\mathrm{S}^{\prime}, \mathrm{K}\right]\right)$ and S is a p -group, we have that
$\left[c_{s}(k),\left[s^{\prime}, k\right]\right]{ }_{F}\left[s^{\prime}, k\right]$.
since $\left[{ }^{\prime}, k\right]=c_{p}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{s}(k),\left[s^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right]\right]=\langle 1\rangle . \tag{3.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $G^{\prime}=\left\langle[S, K]\right.$, $\left.S^{\prime}\right\rangle$. So, by (3.2.10), $G^{\prime}=\left\langle[S, K], C_{S^{\prime}}(K)\right\rangle$. By Theorem 5.3.6 of $[K],[s, k]=[[S, K], k]$. So $G^{\prime}=\left\langle\left[\bar{G}^{\prime}, K\right], C_{S},(K)\right\rangle$. Because $C_{S^{\prime}}(K) \cong c_{p},\left[G^{\prime}, k\right]=\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \times\left[\underline{S}^{\prime}, K\right] \cong c_{p} \times c_{p}$. Let $g \in G^{\prime}$. Then $g=g_{1}{ }^{\alpha} g_{2} g_{3}$, where $g_{2} \in\left[s^{\prime}, k\right], g_{3} \in c_{S^{\prime}}(K)$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $a \neq 0$.
Now, as in (i), $g_{1}{ }^{\alpha} g_{2}=\left[k_{1} s_{1}, k_{2} s_{2}\right]$, where $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$ and $s_{1}, s_{2} \in[G, k]$.
By (3.2.11), $g_{3}=\left[s_{3}, s_{4}\right]$, where $s_{3}, s_{4} \in C_{S}(K)$.
Now, $\left[k_{1} s_{1} s_{3}, k_{2} s_{2} s_{4}\right]=\left[k_{1} s_{1} s_{3}, s_{4}\right]\left[k_{1} s_{1} s_{3}, k_{2} s_{2}\right]^{s_{4}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[k_{1},\left.s_{4}\right|^{s_{1} s_{3}}\left[s_{1} s_{3}, s_{4}\right]\left[k_{1} s_{1}, k_{2} s_{2}\right]^{s_{3} s_{4}}\left[s_{3}, k_{2} s_{2}\right]^{s_{4}}\right. \\
& =\left[s_{1}, s_{4}\right]\left[s_{3}, s_{4}\right]\left[k_{1} s_{1}, k_{2} s_{2}\right]\left[s_{3}, s_{2}\right], \text { by (3.2.15)(3.2.12) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and because $s_{3}, s_{4} \in C_{S}(K)$.
By (3.2.12), $\left[s_{1}, s_{4}\right]\left[s_{3}, s_{2}\right]=g_{2}{ }^{\mu}$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$.
So, $\left[k_{1} s_{1} s_{3}, k_{2} s_{2} s_{4}\right]=g_{1}{ }^{\alpha} g_{2} g_{3} g_{2}{ }^{\mu}$.

By (3.2.12) there exists $s \in C_{S}(K)$ such that $g_{1}{ }^{s}=g_{1} g_{2}{ }^{\lambda}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \neq O(p)$. Consequently, there exists $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu+\zeta \lambda \alpha \equiv O(p)$. Then, $\left[k_{1} s_{1} s_{3}, k_{2} s_{2} s_{4}\right]^{s^{\zeta}}=g_{1}{ }^{\alpha} g_{2} g_{3}$, as required. If $\alpha=0$ it suffices to show that $s \in G$. This was shown to be true in case 2 . (iii)

$$
c_{S}(K)=c_{p} \times c_{p}
$$

Let $H_{1}=C_{S}(K) \times H_{2}$, where $H_{2}$ is a finite p -group such that $H_{2}^{\prime} \cong C_{p}$. By Case $2, H_{1} \subset C$, which implies that $C_{S}(K) \in ?$.

By (3.2.8), $\left[G^{\prime}, k\right] \cong c_{p}$, so $\left[G^{\prime}, k\right] \in G$.
Let $g \in G^{\prime}$. Then $g=g_{1} g_{2}$, where $g_{1} \in C_{S^{\prime}}(K)$ and $g_{2} \in\left[G^{\prime}, K\right]$.
Now $g_{1}=\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$, where $s_{1}, s_{2} \in C_{S}(K)$ and $g_{2}=\left[s_{3}, k\right]$, where $s_{3} \in\left[G^{\prime}, k\right]$ and keK. Consequently, $g=\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]\left[s_{3}, k\right]=\left[s_{1} s_{3}, k s_{2}\right]$, because $\langle 1\rangle=\left[C_{S}(K),\left[G^{\prime}, K\right]\right] \underset{\neq}{¢}$ $\left[G^{\prime}, \mathrm{K}\right]$.
(iv) $C_{S^{\prime}}(K)=1$. Then $S^{\prime}=[S, K]$. Therefore $G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, K\right]$, a contradiction. q.e.d.

Instead of assuming that $G^{\prime}$ is a $p$-group we now assume that $S \subseteq G^{\prime}$. Theorem 3.3 Let $G$ be a finite group with a Sylow $p$-subgroup $S \cong C_{p} \times C_{p}$ such that $S \subseteq G^{\prime}$.

Then,

$$
s \subseteq \mathcal{K}(G)
$$

Proof By Theorem 7.4.4 of [6] we see that $S S N_{G}(S)$ '. So it suffices to assume that $S \notin G$. We assume $G$ to be a minimal counter-example and we obtain a contradiction.
Let $s=\left\langle c_{1}\right\rangle x\left\langle c_{2}\right\rangle$, where we assume $c_{1} \notin \mathcal{K}(G)$. Now $G$ induces a $p^{\prime}$-group of automorphisms upon $S$ and, consequently by Theorem 2.3 of $[6], S=[S, G] \times C_{S}(G)$. By the Focal Subgroup Theorem (c.f. Theorem 7.3.4 of [15]).

$$
[S, G]=S n^{G^{\prime}}=\text { S. So } C_{S}(G)=\langle 1\rangle \text {. }
$$

Thus, there exists $g_{1} \in G$ such that $c_{1} g_{1} \neq c_{1}$.
If $g_{1}$ induces a fixed point free automorphism upon $S$, then it immediately follows that $S \leqq \mathcal{N}_{2}(G)$. So we only need to consider the case where
$C_{S}\left(g_{1}\right) \neq 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $c_{2}^{g_{1}}=c_{2}$. Let $c_{1}{ }^{g_{1}}=c_{1}{ }^{r_{c}}{ }_{2}^{w}$, for $r_{2} w \in \mathbb{I}$.
Suppose that $\left[c_{1}, g\right] \in\left\langle\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right]\right\rangle \forall g \in G$. Now, $\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right]=\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right]^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathbb{I}$ and consequently, $\left.\left\langle c_{1}, g_{1}\right]\right\rangle \subseteq\left\{(G)\right.$. Therefore $c_{1} \notin\left\langle\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right]\right\rangle$. By Proposition 12.2 of [35], G has a proper normal subgroup $K$ such that
$|G / K|\left|\left|S /<\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right]>\right|\right.$. Therefore $| G / K \mid=p$. So $G / K$ is abelian and, therefore, $G^{\prime} \subseteq K$. But $S \in G^{\prime}$ and, consequently, $|G / K|$ is a $P^{\prime}$-number, a contradiction. So we may assume that there exists $g_{2} \in G$ such that,

$$
s=\left\langle\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right],\left[c_{1}, g_{2}\right]\right\rangle
$$

Because $S$ is abelian normal subgroup of $G$ the mapping $\phi\left(g_{2}\right)$ defined by $\phi\left(g_{2}\right): s \mapsto\left[s, g_{2}\right]$, where $s \in S$, is an endomorphism of $S$.
Moreover $\phi\left(g_{2}\right)(S) \leq \mathcal{K}(G)$. So, if $\left[c_{2}, g_{2}\right] \&\left\langle\left[c_{1}, g_{2}\right]\right\rangle$,
then $\phi\left(g_{2}\right)(S)=s \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.
By the minimality of $G$ we may assume that $G=\left\langle S, g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle, \operatorname{If}\left[c_{2}, g_{2}\right]=1$, then $c_{2} E Z(G)$ and $C_{S}(G) \notin\langle 1\rangle$, a contradiction. So $\left[c_{2}, g_{2}\right] \neq 1$.
Therefore, $\left[\underline{c}_{2}, g_{2}\right]=\left[\underline{c}_{1}, g_{2}\right]^{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $(\alpha, \dot{p})=1$.
So, there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left[c_{2}, g_{2}\right]^{\beta}=\left[c_{1}, g_{2}\right]$.
But $\left[c_{2}, g_{2}\right]^{\beta}=\left[c_{2}^{\beta}, g_{2}\right]$ and if we substitute $c_{2}^{\beta}$ for $c_{2}$ above we may assume that $\left[\underline{c}_{1}, g_{2}\right]=\left[c_{2}, g_{2}\right]$. Let $c_{1}{ }^{g_{2}}=c_{1}{ }^{t} c_{2}{ }^{u}$; then $c_{2}^{g_{2}}=c_{1}{ }^{t-1} c_{2}^{u+1}$, where $t, u \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$.
If $g_{1} g_{2}$ induces a fixed point free automorphism upon $S$, then $S \subseteq \mathbb{K}(G)$.
So we may assume that $C_{S}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right) \notin\langle l\rangle$.
Now,

$$
c_{1}^{g_{2} g_{1}}=\left(c_{1}{ }^{t} c_{2}^{u}\right)^{g_{1}}=\left(c_{1}^{r} c_{2}^{w}\right)^{t} c_{2}^{u}=c_{1}^{r t} c_{2}^{w t+u}
$$

and

$$
c_{2}^{g_{2} g_{1}}=\left(c_{1}^{t-1} c_{2}^{u+1}\right)^{g_{1}}=\left(c_{1}^{r} c_{2}^{w}\right)^{t-1} c_{2}^{u+1}=c_{1}^{r(t-1)} c_{2}^{w(t-1)+u+1}
$$

Since $c_{S}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right) \notin<1>$, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\left(c_{1}{ }_{1}{ }_{c_{2}}{ }^{\mu}\right)^{g_{2} g_{1}}=c_{1}{ }^{\lambda} c_{2}{ }^{\mu}$.

Thus,
$r t \lambda+r(t-1)_{\mu} \equiv \lambda(p)$
and

$$
(w t+u) \lambda+(w(t-1)+u+1) \mu \equiv \mu(p)
$$

i.e.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(r t-1) \lambda+r(t-1) \mu & \equiv O(p)  \tag{3.3.1}\\
(w . t+u) \lambda+(w(t-1)+u) \mu & \equiv O(p)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The simultaneous equations (3.3.1) have a non-trivial solution if and only if,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x t-1 & r(t-1) \\
w t+u & w(t-1)+u
\end{array}\right| \equiv O(p)
$$

i.e. $(r t-1)(w(t-1)+u)-w t+u)(r(t-1)) \equiv O(p)$.

This reduces to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r-1)-w(t-1) \equiv O(p) \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \equiv O(p)$, then $\left[c_{1}, g_{2}\right]=c_{1}^{t-1}$.
Because $S=\left\langle\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right],\left[c_{1}, g_{2}\right\rceil\right\rangle, t-1 \neq O(p)$.
Consequently, by considering $[2], c_{1} E(G)$, a contradiction.
So we may assume that $u \neq O(p)$.
If $r \equiv I(p)$, then $g_{1}$ induces a $p$-automorphism upon $S$. So $r \neq 1(p)$.
So there exists $5 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\zeta(r-1)=(t-1)(p)$.
We consider $\zeta((r-1)+w)$.
By (3.3.2),

$$
\zeta((r-1)+w) \equiv \zeta(w(t-1) / u+w)(p)
$$

But $\zeta\left(\left(r_{-1}\right)+w\right) \equiv t-1+\zeta_{W}(p)$, by construction.
Therefore, $t-1 \equiv \zeta w(t-1) / u(p)$.
Thus, either $t \equiv l(p)$ or $\zeta w / u \equiv l(p)$.
If $t \equiv l(p)$, then, by $(3.3 .2), u(r-1) \equiv O(p)$.
But neither $u \equiv O(p)$ nor $(r-1) \equiv O(p)$ so we have a contradiction.
Einally, if $\zeta w / u \equiv l(p)$, then $\zeta w \equiv u(p)$.
But this implies $\left[c_{1}, g_{1}\right]^{\zeta}=\left[c_{1}, g_{2}\right]$, our final contradiction.
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

We now consider possible generalisations of the preceding three theorems. We give a list of conjectures and possible lines of investigation together with
any relevant comments.

Conjecture 3.4. Let $G$ be a finite group such that $G$ ' is an abelian $p$-group of rank three. Then $G \in G$.

Conjecture 3.5. Let $G$ be afinite group with an abelian Sylow subgroup $S$ of rank three such that $S \subseteq G^{\prime}$. Then $S \subseteq K(G)$.

As can be seen from the proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, the fact that the relevant subgroups have exponent $p$ is most extensively used and it is not at all clear whether the methods used in these proofs can be adapted to handle the case where the subgroups have exponent $p^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha$ is a positive integer. It ought to be possible to, either extend Theorem 3.3 to the case where $S$ is elementary abelian of rank three, or find a counter-example.

Another possible approach is to increase the rank of the relevant subgroup, whilst maintaining the exponent equals $p$ condition. From the example following the proof of Theorem 3.1 we see that there exists a group G such that $G^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian of rank four and $G \notin G$. However, the group exhibited is a p -group and if we make the restriction that the Sylow $p$-subgroup is abelian, then matters are not so clear. So a natural question to ask is:

Let $G$ be a finite group with an abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup such that $G$ ' is an elementary abelian $p$-group of rank $r$. What is the largest value that $r$ can take that guarantees that $G \in G$ ?

We give the following example as a first approximation to this bound.

Example 3.6. Let $G=C_{p}\left\{\left(C_{q} \times C_{q} \times C_{q}\right)\right.$, where $p$ and $q$ are different primes. We show that $G \notin C$.
Now $G^{\prime}$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group of $\operatorname{rank}\left(q^{3}-1\right)$. So, if $p \neq 2$ we can put $q=2$ and $r<7$. However, if $p=2$, then putting $q=3$ gives $r<26$ and whilst the first bound appears reasonable, the second one does not.

So let $G$ be generated by the $q^{3}$ elements $\left\{a_{i j k} \mid l \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant q\right\}$ and the three elements $\left\{b_{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3\right\}$, where the $\left\{b_{i}\right.$ \}act upon the $\left\{a_{i j k}\right\}$ by the $b_{t}$ permuting the $t$ th subscript of $a_{i j k}$ cyclically in a canonical fashion. Let $g \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Then,
where $Z^{\prime}=L+r_{1}, m^{\prime}=m+r_{2}, n^{\prime}=n+r_{3}$,
$i^{\prime}=i+s_{1}, j^{\prime}=j+s_{2}, k^{\prime}=k+s_{3}$ and all addition is done modulo $q$.
Consequently,
$g=\left(\begin{array}{cc} & r_{\eta_{m m}} \\ \tau_{, m, n} & { }_{\eta_{m}}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll} & \delta_{i, j, k} \\ a_{i j k}\end{array}\right)$,
where $\gamma_{q_{m n}}=\beta_{q_{m n}}-B_{q^{\prime} m^{\prime} n}$.
and $\delta_{i j k}=\alpha_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}-\alpha_{i j k}$.

Finally we have,

$$
g=\prod_{i j k}^{\pi} a_{i j k}^{\varepsilon_{i j k}} \text {, where } \varepsilon_{i j k}=\gamma_{i j k}+\delta_{i j k}
$$

It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{w x y}=0 \tag{3.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=i+r_{1} u+s_{1} v, x=j+r_{2} u+s_{2} v$ and $y=k+r_{3} u+s_{3} v$.
We now demonstrate that $g=\left[a_{i j k}, b_{1}\right]\left[a_{i j k}, b_{2}\right]\left[a_{i j k}, b_{3}\right] \notin\{(G)$.
Now $g=\sum_{\eta, m, n}^{\pi}{ }_{q_{\mathrm{mm}}}^{\varepsilon_{q_{m n}}}$,
where $\varepsilon_{i j k}=-3, \varepsilon_{i+l j k}=\varepsilon_{i j+1 k}=\varepsilon_{i j k+1}=1$ and all other $\varepsilon_{i j k}$ equal zero.

If $g \in \mathcal{X}(G)$, then by (3.6.1) there exist $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, such that,
$r_{1} u_{1}+s_{1} v_{1}=0, \quad r_{2} u_{1}+s_{2} v_{1}=0, \quad r_{3} u_{1}+s_{3} v_{1}=1$,
$r_{1} u_{2}+s_{1} v_{2}=0, r_{2} u_{2}+s_{2} v_{2}=1, \quad r_{3} u_{2}+s_{3} v_{2}=0$,
$r_{1} u_{3}+s_{1} v_{3}=1, r_{2} u_{3}+s_{2} v_{3}=0$ and $r_{3} u_{3}+s_{3} v_{3}=0$.
From (3.6.2) we may assume, without loss of generality, that $r_{3} \neq 0 \neq u_{i}$. If $v_{1} \neq 0$ then, considering the first two equations of (3.6.2) gives

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r_{1} & s_{1} \\
r_{2} & s_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{1}}{v_{1}}=\binom{0}{0} .
$$

This has a non trivial solution if and only if

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
r_{1} & s_{1} \\
r_{2} & s_{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

This contradicts the first two equations of (3.6.4).
So we may assume that $v_{1}=0$.
By (3.6.2), $r_{1}=r_{2}=0$.
The first two equations of (3.6.3) now imply that $s_{1}=0$. But $r_{1}=s_{1}=0$ implies $r_{1} u_{3}+s_{1} v_{3}=0$ contradicting (3.6.4). consequently $g \notin\{(G)$.

Finally, on a slightly different tack, we consider another line of possible advancement.

In Theorem 3.3 we assumed that in a finite group G, a Sylow subgroup $S$ was contained in $G^{\prime}$. If we drop this restriction we can still ask questions of the form:

Let $G$ be a finite group with Sylow subgroup $S$. What conditions must we impose on either $G$ or $s$ or $S n^{G^{\prime}}$ to ensure that $S n^{G^{\prime}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(G)$ ?

As an example of possible results of this nature we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7 Let $G$ be a finite group with a cyclic Sylow p-subgroup S. Then, either $S \subseteq \mathcal{K}(G)$ or $S_{n} G^{\prime}=\langle 1\rangle$.

Proof. Let $S=\langle s\rangle$. Then, by applying the Focal Subgroup Theorem [16 Theorem 7.3.4] we see that,

$$
S_{n}^{r} G^{\prime}=\left\langle[s, n] \mid n \in N_{G}(S)\right\rangle
$$

For $n \in N_{G}(s)$, let $s^{n}=s^{r_{n}}$, where $r_{n} \in \# \#$.
Then $s^{\left(r_{n}-1\right)}=[s, n]$ and moreover, $s^{\left(r_{n}-1\right) \lambda}=[s, n]^{\lambda}=\left[s^{\lambda}, n\right]$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. If there exists an $n \in N_{G}(S)$ such that $\left(r_{n}-1, p\right)=1$ we may conclude that $S \subseteq X(G)$. Suppose $r_{n}-1=O(p)$ for every $n \in N_{G}(S)$. So. either $n \in C_{G}(S)$ or n induces automorphism whose order is divisible by p. The latter possibility is ruled out because $S$ is a Sylow subgroup of $G$. Therefore $N_{G}(S)=C_{G}(S)$. By the well known theorem of Burnside [16Theorem 7.4.3], $S$ has a normal complement $H$. Because $G / H$ is abelian $G^{\prime} \leq H$ and $S \cap^{\prime}=\langle 1>$.

We show that this result does not extend to $S$ being a Hall subgroup.

Let $G=<a, b\left|a^{15}=b^{2}=1, \quad a^{b}=a^{4}\right\rangle$.

Then <a> is a Hall subgroup, but $G^{\prime}=\left\langle a^{3}\right\rangle$.

It would be very useful for such an investigation to have some results analogous to the Focal Subgroup Theorem and other related results (c.f. [16 Chapter 7]). Such results appear difficult to obtain because there is no obvious way of tackling such questions. The proof of the Focal Subgroup Theorem and most results related to it rely on the TRANSFER HOMOMORPHISM. This is of very little use in the questions we are considering. As a final comment we give the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.8 Let $G$ be a finite group with an abelian Sylow subgroup $S$. Then $s_{n} \chi\left\{(G)=s_{n} Y_{\left(N_{G}(s)\right)}\right.$.

Chapter 4.
UNIPOTENT GROUPS
R.C. Thompson in $[43],[44]$ and [45] considered the linear groups $\operatorname{GL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{F})$ and $\operatorname{SL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{F})$ over an arbitrary commutative field $F$. He shows that $\operatorname{GL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{F})$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{F})$ belong to the class $G$.

We prove an analogous result for the unipotent group of matrices $\operatorname{STL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{F})$.

Theorem 4.1 $\operatorname{STL}(n, F) \in C$, where $n$ is an integer greater than one and $F$ is an arbitrary commutative field.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbb{X}(\operatorname{STL}(n, F))$. Then $A=[B, C]$, where $B, C \in \operatorname{STL}(n, F)$. Let $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ and $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$. If $B^{-1}=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ and $C^{-1}=\left(e_{i j}\right)$, then because $B$ and $C$ are elements of $\operatorname{STL}(n, F)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=i}^{j} d_{i k} b_{k j}=\delta_{i j}=\sum_{k=i}^{j} c_{i k} e_{k j} \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol.
Suppose $B^{-1} C^{-1}=\left(f_{i j}\right)$ and $B C=\left(g_{i j}\right)$
Again because both $B^{-1} C^{-1}$ and $B C$ are both elements of $\operatorname{STL}(n, F)$ we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i j}=d_{i j}+e_{i j}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{i-1} d_{i k} e_{k j} \text { if } i<j,  \tag{4.1.2}\\
& g_{i j}=b_{i j}+c_{i j}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} b_{i k} c_{k j} \text { if } i<j,  \tag{4.1.3}\\
& f_{i j}=g_{i i}=1 \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \text { and } f_{i j}=g_{i j}=0 \text { if } i>j .
\end{align*}
$$

So if $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, we have,

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}f_{i j}+g_{i j}+\sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} f_{i k} g_{k j} & \text { if } i<j,  \tag{4.1.4}\\ 1 & \text { if } i=j \\ 0 & \text { if } i>j\end{cases}
$$

From (4.1.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i i+1} & =f_{i i+1}+g_{i i+1}+0 \\
& =d_{i i+1}+e_{i i+1}+0+b_{i i+1}+c_{i i+1}+0, \text { by (4.1.2) and (4.1.3). }
\end{aligned}
$$

But, from (4.1.1),

$$
d_{i i+1}+b_{i i+1}=0=e_{i i+1}+c_{i i+1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
a_{i i+1}=0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1
$$

Let $A^{\prime} € \chi(\operatorname{STL}(n, F))$. Then it is easily seen, that if $A A^{\prime}=\left(h_{i j}\right)$, that $h_{i i+1}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.
Consequently, if $T=\left(t_{i j}\right) \operatorname{sTL}(n, F)^{\prime}$, then $t_{i j+1}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. We show that every element ( $u_{i j}$ ) of $\operatorname{STL}(n, F)$ which has the property $u_{i i+1}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ is a commutator in $\operatorname{STL}(n, F)$. The proof proceeds by induction upon $n$.

The exact form of our induction hypothesis. is:
given $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, where $A \in \operatorname{STL}(n, F)$ and $a_{i i+1}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, there exist matrices $B$ and $C$ belonging to $\operatorname{STL}(n, F)$ such that $A=[B, C]$. Furthermore, if $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ and $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$, we can select $B$ and $C$ such that $b_{i i+1} \neq 0 \neq c_{i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.

The latter part of the inductive hypothesis is there to enable the inductive argument to be completed.

The induction clearly starts because

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\alpha \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \alpha \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text {, for every } \alpha, \beta \in F .
$$

We assume that the hypothesis is true for unipotent groups of matrices of rank less than $r$, and that $n=r$. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an element of $\operatorname{STL}(r, F)$ such that $a_{i i+1}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$.

Then, $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & a_{13} & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & & & a_{i r} \\ \cdot & & & \\ \cdot & & \bar{A} & & \\ \dot{0} & & & \end{array}\right]$
where $\bar{A}=\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)$ is an element of $\operatorname{STL}(r-1, F)$ such that $\bar{a}_{i j+1}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a}_{i j}=a_{i+1 j+1} \text { for } 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r-1 \tag{4.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction there exist $\bar{B}_{=}\left(\bar{b}_{i j}\right)$ and $\bar{C}=\left(\bar{c}_{i j}\right)$, elements of $\operatorname{STL}(r-1, F)$ such that $\bar{A}=[\bar{B}, \bar{C}]$ and $\bar{b}_{i i+1} \neq 0 \neq \bar{c}_{i i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-2$.

Consequently the remainder of the proof is reduced to finding suitable $b_{1 j}$ and $c_{1 j}$ for $2 \leqslant j \leqslant r$ with $b_{12} \neq 0 \neq c_{12}$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1 r} \\
0 & & & \\
0 & & & \\
\cdot & & & \\
0 & & \bar{c} & \\
0 & & & \\
0 & & &
\end{array}\right]
$$

We let $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & b_{12} & \ldots & b_{1 r} \\ 0 & & & \\ - & & \\ \cdot & & \\ \cdot & & \\ - & & \\ 0 & & \end{array}\right)$ and $c=\left(c_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1 r} \\ 0 & & & \\ . & & \\ . & \bar{c} \\ . & \\ . & & \\ 0 & & \end{array}\right\}$

So $b_{i+1}{ }_{j+1}=\bar{b}_{i j}$ and $c_{i+1} j+1=\bar{c}_{i j}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant r-1$.
Suppose $A^{\prime}=\left(a^{\prime}{ }_{i j}\right)=[B, \bar{c}]$.
Let $\mathbf{j} \geqslant 3$. Then, by (4.1.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{l j}^{\prime}=f_{l j}+g_{l j}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} f_{l k} g_{k j} \\
& \quad \text { where } B^{-1} C^{-1}=\left(f_{i j}\right) \text { and } B C=\left(g_{i j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $B^{-1}=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ and $c^{-1}=\left(e_{i j}\right)$, then, by (4.1.2) and (4.1.3),
$a^{\prime}{ }_{l j}=d_{l j}+e_{l j}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} d_{l k} e_{k j}+b_{l j}+c_{l j}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} b_{l k} c_{k j}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} f_{l k} g_{k j}$.
By (4.1.4),
$b_{l j}+d_{l j}=-\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} b_{l k} d_{k j}$ and $c_{l j}+e_{l j}=-\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} c_{l k} e_{k j}$.
Therefore,
$a_{l j}=\sum_{k=2}^{j-1}\left(d_{l k} e_{k j}+b_{l k} c_{k j}-b_{l k} d_{k j}-c_{l k} e_{k j}+f_{l k} g_{k j}\right)$.
We need to show that $a^{\prime}{ }_{1 j}$ can be constructed equal to $a_{l j}$, for $3 \leqslant j \leqslant r$, in such a way that $b_{12} \neq 0 \neq c_{12}$.
We show this by induction upon $j$.
By (4.1.7),

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{13}^{\prime}=d_{12} e_{23}+b_{12} c_{23}-b_{12} d_{23}-c_{12} e_{23}+f_{12} g_{23} \\
= \\
d_{12} e_{23}+b_{12} c_{23}-b_{12} d_{23}-c_{12} e_{23}+\left(d_{12}+e_{12}\right)\left(b_{23}+c_{23}\right) \\
\quad \text { by (4.1.2) and (4.1.3). }
\end{array}
$$

By (4.1.1), $d_{12}=-b_{12}, d_{23}=-b_{23}, e_{12}=-c_{12}$ and $e_{23}=-c_{23}$.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{13}^{\prime} & =b_{12} c_{23}+b_{12} c_{23}+b_{12} b_{23}+c_{12} c_{23}-\left(b_{12}+c_{12}\right)\left(b_{23}+c_{23}\right) \\
& =b_{12} c_{23}-c_{12} b_{23} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $c_{23}=\bar{c}_{12} \neq 0$ and $b_{23}=\bar{b}_{12} \neq 0$.
Therefore there exist $b_{12}, c_{12} \in F$ such that $b_{12} \neq 0 \neq c_{12}$
and $a_{13}=b_{12} c_{23}-c_{12} b_{23}$. So the induction starts. By considering (4.1.7) we see that $a^{\prime}{ }_{1 j}$ is independent of $b_{1 k}$ and $c_{1 k}$ (and consequently $d_{l k}$ and $e_{l k}$ ) for $k \geqslant j$. We assume that $b_{l k}$ and $c_{1 k}$ have been chosen for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant j-2$ such that $a^{\prime}{ }_{1 k}=a_{1 k}$ for $3 \leqslant k \leqslant j-1$. We show that there exist $b_{1 j-1}$ and $c_{1 j-1}$ such that $a^{\prime}{ }_{i j}=a_{1 j}$ and in doing so we complete the proof of the theorem.

By (4.1.7),

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{l j}^{\prime}=d_{l j-1} e_{j-1 j}+b_{l j-1} c_{j-l j}-b_{l j-1} d_{j-l j}-c_{l j-1} e_{j l j} \\
 \tag{4.1.8}\\
+f_{l j-1} g_{j-l j}+x_{1},
\end{array}
$$

where $X_{1}$ is a constant expression only involving terms whose values have already been fixed.

By (4.1.2) and (4.1.3),
$f_{1 j-1} g_{j-1 j}=\left(d_{l j-1}+e_{l j-1}\right)\left(b_{j-1 j}+c_{j-1 j}\right)+x_{2}$,
where $X_{2}$ is similarly a constant term.
By (4.1.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{j-1 j}=-b_{j-1 j}, \quad e_{j-1 j}=-c_{j-1 j},  \tag{4.1.10}\\
& d_{l j-1}=-b_{1 j-1}+x_{3} \text { and } e_{l j-1}=-c_{1 j-1}+x_{4}, \tag{4.1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{3}$ and $X_{4}$ are also constant terms.
Substituting (4.1.9), (4.1.10) and (4.1.11) into (4.1.8) we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i j}^{\prime}=b_{i j-1} c_{j-1 j} & +b_{1 j-1} c_{j-1 j}+b_{1 j-1} b_{j-1 j}+c_{1 j-1} c_{j-1 j} \\
-\left(b_{1 j-1}\right. & \left.+c_{1 j-1}\right)\left(b_{j-1 j}+c_{j-1 j}\right)+x_{5}, \text { where } x_{5} \text { is a constant. } \\
& =b_{1 j-1} c_{j-1 j}-c_{1 j-1} b_{j-1 j}+x_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $c_{j-1 j}=\bar{c}_{j-2 j-1} \neq 0$ and $b_{j-1 j}=\bar{b}_{j-2 j-1} \neq 0$, there exist $b_{i j-1}$ and $c_{1 j-1}$ such that $a^{\prime}{ }_{1 j}=a_{1 j}$.

In previous chapters have have considered particular classes of groups and in doing so we have found various sufficient conditions for an element of a group under consideration to be a commutator. We now tum our attention to the problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for a group element to be a commutator. The character theory of finite groups plays a major role in this discussion and, consequently, all groups considered in this chapter will be finite.

Throughout this chapter we adopt the following notation.
$G$ is a finitegroup.
$\mathbb{C}(G)$ is the group algebra of $G$ over the complex number $\mathbb{C} . C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{h}$ are the conjugancy classes of $G$ and $C_{1}=\langle 1\rangle, \hat{C}_{i}=\sum_{g \in C_{1}} g$ is the class sum of $C_{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}(G) . x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots x^{h}$ are the irreducible characters of $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Irr}(G) . X_{j}^{i}$ is the value $X^{i}$ takes on $C_{j}$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant h$.

The original result of this nature was given by Burnside in $[3, p 319]$ when he states that a necessary and sufficient condition for an element of $c_{j}$ to be a commutator is that,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{j}^{i} x_{1}^{i} \notin 0
$$

This work was generalised by P. X. Gallagher in [14]. Gallagher considers compact groups and obtains results by the use of the Haar Integral. for comparison with our result we will consider only the realisation of his results in terms of finite groups. Gallagher shows that a necessary and sufficient condition for an element of $C_{j}$ to be a product of $n$ commutators is that,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{j}^{i} /\left(x_{i}^{i}\right)^{2 n-1} \neq 0
$$

K. Honda, in $[2]$, obtained Burnside's result independently and, as a consequence, showed that $g \in \mathcal{X}(g)$ if and only if $g^{r} \in \hat{X}(G)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\langle g\rangle=\left\langle g^{r}\right\rangle$.

We obtain the following generalisation of Burnside's result.
Theorem 5.1. Let $C_{\lambda(j)}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ be a collection of conjugacy classes of $G$, repetition of classes being allowed. Then, there exist $x_{j} \in C_{\lambda(j)}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that $\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \in\{(G)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{\lambda(j)}^{i} /\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{n} \neq 0
$$

Proof From [9.R28] we know that $\left\{\hat{C}_{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant h\right\}$ is a basis of $Z(\mathbb{C}(G))$.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{c}_{\lambda(1)} \hat{c}_{\lambda(2)} \ldots . \hat{c}_{\lambda(n)} \hat{c}_{j}=\hat{c}_{\lambda(1)} \cdots \hat{c}_{\lambda(n-1)} \sum_{k(n)=1}^{n} \alpha_{j k(n)} \hat{c}_{k(n)} \\
& =\hat{c}_{\lambda(1)} \cdots \hat{c}_{\lambda(n-2)} \sum_{k(n)=1}^{h} \sum_{k(n-1)=1}^{h} \alpha_{j k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \hat{c}_{k(n-1)} \\
& =\sum_{k(n)=1}^{h} \cdots \sum_{k(1)=1}^{h} \alpha_{j k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots \alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)} \hat{c}_{k(1)}, \tag{5.1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{j k(i)}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{L}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant h$.
We define $\omega_{i}$ on $Z(\mathbb{C}(G))$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h$ by,

$$
\omega_{i}\left(\hat{c}_{j}\right)=\left|c_{j}\right| x_{j}^{i} / x_{1}^{i} \text { and extending }
$$

linearly over $Z(\mathbb{C}(G))$. By [9. p28] we have that $\omega_{i}$ is a homomorphism of $Z(\mathbb{C}(G))$ into $\mathbb{C}$.

Consequently,

$$
\omega_{i}\left(\hat{c}_{\lambda(1)} \hat{c}_{\lambda(2)} \ldots . \hat{c}_{\lambda(n)} c_{j}\right)=\omega_{i}\left(\hat{c}_{\lambda(1)}\right) \omega_{i}\left(\hat{c}_{\lambda(2)}\right) \ldots \omega_{i}\left(\hat{c}_{\lambda(n)}\right) \omega_{i}\left(\hat{c}_{j}\right)
$$

But, by (5.1.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{\lambda(1)} \hat{C}_{\lambda(2)} \cdots \hat{C}_{\lambda(n)} \hat{C}_{j}\right)=\sum_{k(n)=1}^{h} \cdots \sum_{k(1)=1}^{h} \alpha_{j k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots \\
\alpha_{k}^{(1)} \\
\alpha_{k(2) k(1)} \omega_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{k(1)}\right)
\end{aligned} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{\lambda(1)}\right) \omega_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{\lambda(2)}\right) \cdots \omega_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{\lambda(n)}\right) \omega_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{j}\right) \\
&=\sum_{k(n)=1}^{h} \cdots \sum_{k(1)=1}^{h} \alpha_{j k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots \alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)} \omega_{i}\left(\hat{C}_{k(1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the definition of $\omega_{i}$ we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n}\left|c_{\lambda(r)}\right| x_{\lambda(r)}^{i}\right)\left(\left|c_{j}\right| x_{j}^{i}\right) /\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{n+1} \\
& =\sum_{k(n)=1}^{n} \cdots \sum_{k(1)=1)}^{n} \alpha_{j k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots \cdots \alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)}\left|c_{k(1)}\right|\left(x_{k(1)}^{i} / x_{1}^{i}\right) \tag{5.1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We multiply both sides of (5.1.2) by $\bar{X}_{j}^{i}$ and then sum over $j$, obtaining,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n}\left|c_{\lambda(r)}\right| x_{\lambda(r)}^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left|c_{j}\right| x_{j}^{i} \bar{x}_{j}^{i}\right) /\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{h} \sum_{k(n)=1}^{h} \cdots \sum_{k(1)=1}^{n} \alpha_{j k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots \alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)}\left|c_{k(1)}\right| x_{k(1)}^{i} \bar{x}_{j}^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From [-9.p14] we see that $\sum_{j=1}^{h}\left|c_{j}\right| x_{j}^{i} \bar{x}_{j}^{i}=|G|$
Therefore, if we sum (5.1.3) over $i$, we obtain,
$|G| \sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(\prod_{r=1}^{n}\left|c_{\lambda(r)}\right| x_{\lambda(r)}^{i}\right) /\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{n}$
$=\sum_{j=1}^{h} \sum_{k(n)=1}^{h} \cdots \sum_{k(1)=1}^{h} \alpha_{j k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots \cdots \alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)}\left|c_{k(1)}\right|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{k(1)}^{i} \bar{x}_{j}^{i}\right)$.

Now, by [9.p16], $\sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{k(1)}^{i} \bar{x}_{j}^{i}=\frac{|G|}{\left|C_{k(1) \mid}\right|} \delta_{k(1) j}$, where $\delta_{k(1) j}$ is the
Kronecker delta symbol.

Consequently, we can reduce (5.1.4) to,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(\prod_{r=1}^{n}\left|c_{\lambda(r)}\right| x_{\lambda(r)}^{i}\right) /\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{n}=\sum_{k(n)=1}^{n} \cdots \sum_{k(1)=1}^{h} \alpha_{k(1) k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \\
\alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)} \tag{5.1.5}
\end{array}
$$

Now $\alpha_{i k(j)}^{(j)} \neq 0$ if and only if there exist $x_{i} \in C_{i}$ and $x_{j} \in C_{\lambda(j)}$ such that $x_{j} x_{i} \in C_{k(j)}$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h, l \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant k_{(j)} \leqslant h$.

So $\alpha_{k(1) k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots \alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)} \neq 0$ if and only if there exist
$y_{1} \in C_{k(1)}, x_{n} \in C_{\lambda(n)}$ such that $x_{n} y_{1} \in C_{k(n)}$ and $y_{j} \in C_{k(j)}$ and $x_{j-1} \in C_{\lambda(j-1)}$ such that $x_{j-1} y_{j} \in C_{k(j-1)}$ for $2 \leqslant j \leqslant n$.

We may select $y_{n}=x_{n} y_{1}$ by taking suitable conjugate values of $y_{n}$ and $x_{n-1}$. Similarly we may select $y_{j}=x_{j} x_{j+1} \ldots x_{n} y_{1}$ by taking suitable conjugate values of $y_{j}$ and $x_{j-1}$ for $2 \leqslant j \leqslant n$.

Therefore,
$\alpha_{k(1) k(n)}^{(n)} \alpha_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \ldots \ldots \alpha_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)} \neq 0$ if and only if $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots \ldots x_{n} y_{1} \in c_{k(1)}$. But $y_{1} \in C_{k(1)}$, so $a_{k(1) k(n)}^{(n)} a_{k(n) k(n-1)}^{(n-1)} \cdots a_{k(2) k(1)}^{(1)} \neq 0$ if and only if $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}=\left[y_{1}, \bar{g}\right]$ for some $g \in G$. Consequently by considering (5.1.5) we have that $\left.x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \in\right\}(G)$ if and only if $0 \neq \sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(x^{i}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots x^{i}\left(x_{n}\right) /\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{n}\right.$. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

We obtain the following corollary which corresponds to the corollary Honda obtained from Burnside's result.

Corollary 5.2. Let $G$ be a finite group and $x_{i} \leqslant G$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.
Suppose that $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n} \in Y_{l}(G)$ and that there exists an $x_{j}$ such that $\left(\left|x_{k}\right|,\left|x_{j}\right|\right)=1$ if $k \neq j$. Then for every $r \in \mathbb{Z} \operatorname{such}$ that $\left(r,\left|x_{j}\right|\right)=1$ there exist $g_{i} \in G$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that
$x_{1}{ }^{g_{1}}{ }_{x_{2}}^{g_{2}} \ldots\left(x_{j}{ }^{r}\right)^{g_{j}} \ldots x_{n} g_{n} \in K_{(G)}$.
Proof By Theorem $5.1 x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ implies that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h} x^{i}\left(x_{1}\right) x^{i}\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots x^{i}\left(x_{n}\right) /\left(x_{1}\right)^{n} \neq 0
$$

Let $|G|=m$...and consider the Galois group, $G\left(p\left({ }^{m} \sqrt{1}\right), Q\right)$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left(\left|x_{j}\right|, r\right)=1$. Let $u_{k}$ be a primitive $\left|x_{k}\right|$ th roof of unity, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Then because $\left(\left|x_{j}\right|,\left|x_{k}\right|\right)=1$ if $k \neq j$, there exists $\sigma \in G(\mathbb{R}(\sqrt{m} / 1), \mathbb{D})$ such that $\sigma\left(\omega_{j}\right)=\omega_{j}^{F}$ and $\sigma\left(\omega_{k}\right)=\omega_{k}$ if $k \neq j$. If we define $\left(x^{i}\right)^{\sigma}$ by $\left(x^{i}\right)^{\sigma}(g)=\left(x^{i}(g)\right)^{\sigma}$ for every $g \in G$ then it is easily seen that $\left(X^{i}\right)^{\sigma}$ is an irreducible character of $G$ and $\sigma$ induces a permutation upon the $\left\{x^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq h\right\}$.

Consequently,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(\left(x^{i}\right)^{\sigma}\left(x_{i}\right) \ldots\left(x^{i}\right)^{\sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) /\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{\sigma}\right)^{n} \neq 0
$$

But, by our choice of $\sigma,\left(x^{i}\right)^{\sigma}\left(x_{j}\right)=x^{i}\left(x_{j}^{r}\right),\left(x^{i}\right)^{\sigma}\left(x_{k}\right)=x^{i}\left(x_{k}\right)$ if $f \neq k$ and $\left(x_{1}\right)^{\sigma}=x_{1}^{i}$.

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h} x^{i}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots x^{i}\left(x_{j-1}\right) x^{i}\left(x_{j}{ }^{r}\right) x^{i}\left(x_{j+1}\right) \ldots x^{i}\left(x_{n}\right) /\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{n} \neq 0
$$

By Theorem 5.1 there exist $g_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ such that
$x_{1}{ }^{g_{1}} \ldots\left(x_{j}{ }^{r^{g}}{ }^{g_{j}} \ldots x_{n}{ }^{g_{n}} \in\{(G)\right.$.
As a rather elementary example we examine how this work applies to finite nilpotent of class 2 groups. We first of all prove the following result.

Lemma 5.2. Let $G$ be a finite nilpotent of class 2 p-group such that $G \& \in$. Then the number of conjugacy classes $G$ possesses is a multiple of $p$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}^{\prime} \backslash(\mathrm{X})$.
We consider $H=G /\langle g\rangle$. This is well defined because $g \in Z(G)$. Suppose $H$ has $k$ conjugacy classes and let $\left\{h_{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\right\}$ be representatives from each class. We consider $\left\{h_{i} g^{j}|1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant|g|\}\right.$. It is easily seen that every conjugacy class of $G$ is represented in this set.

Suppose $h_{i} g^{j} i_{G} h_{i}, g^{j \prime}$. Then $h_{i} \sim_{H} h_{i}$, and consequently, $i=i^{\prime}$. Now $g \varepsilon Z(G)$, so $h_{i} g^{j} \sim_{G} h_{i} g^{j \prime}$ implies that

$$
h_{i} \sim_{G} h_{i} g^{\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)} \sim_{G} h_{i} g^{2\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)} \sim_{G} \ldots \sim_{G} h_{i} g^{\alpha\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)},
$$

where $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$.
Now $h_{i} \sim_{G} h_{i} g^{\left(j-j^{\prime}\right)}$ implies that $g^{\left(j-j^{\prime}\right) \& X^{\prime}(G) \text {. So.by. Corollary 5.2.(or,originally }}$ from $[22])^{G}, j-j-j^{\prime}=O(p)$. Therefore, $\left\{h_{i} g^{l}|1 \leqslant l \leqslant|g|\}\right.$ give representatives of $j-j$ ' conjugacy classes and the proof is complete. e.g. Suppose $G$ is a finite nilpotent of class 2 group such that $G \neq 6$. Let $g \in G^{\prime} \backslash \mathcal{S}^{\sigma^{\prime}}(G)$ and suppose $|g|=p$, for some prime $p$. Let $H=G /\langle g\rangle$ and suppose $H$ possesses $k$ conjugacy classes. By referring to the proof of Lemma 5.2 it is easily seen that $G$ possesses $p k$ conjugacy classes. Let $\left\{X^{i} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p k\right\}=\operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Now $g \in Z(G)$ implies that $X^{i}(g)=\omega^{\alpha(i)} X_{1}^{1}$, where $\omega$ is a primitive $p$ th root of unity and $\alpha(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 5.1, $\sum_{i=1}^{p k} x^{i}(g) / x_{1}^{i}=0$.

$$
\text { i. e. } \sum_{i=1}^{p k} \omega^{\alpha(i)}=0 .
$$

Because $\omega$ is a primitive $p$ th root of unity, we may number the $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$ such that $\omega^{\alpha(i)}=\omega^{r}$, where $r k+1 \leqslant i \leqslant(r+1) k$.

Thus, in the nilpotent of class 2 case we obtain detailed information concerning the values taken by a non-commutator inside the commutator subgroup.

In [34], 0 . Ore conjectured that every element of a non-abelian finite simple group is a commutator. As he commented, the techniques required to prove such a result do not appear to be readily available. One likely avenue of progress seems to lie with the character relationships discussed in Chapter 5. Although we cannot prove the necessary result for an arbitrary non-abelian finite simple group, we show, by examining character tables, that several such groups do indeed consist of commutators.

We consider the various character tables in turn and we make the necessary calculations. Since the calculations are routine we content ourselves with only presenting the character tables of three of the so called "sporadic" simple groups. We give references to other character tables and we claim that in all of them the conjecture can be verified after a short calculation.

We recall that if $G$ is a finite group, $g \leq G$ and $x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{h}$ are the irreducible characters of $G$, then $g \in h^{(G)}$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{h} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1) \neq 0$. Let $X^{1}$ be the principal character of $G$, then $g \in\{(G)$ if and only if $1+\sum_{i=2}^{h} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1) \neq 0$.

When we investigate the character table of the group under consideration it will usually be observed that on a non-principal character $x$ a non-identity element $g$ of $G$ takes a value insignificant in absolute value when compared with $x(1)$. Thus $\sum_{i=2}^{h} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1)$ will be far less than 1 in absolute value and consequently, $1+\sum_{i=2}^{h} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1)$ will not equal zero, as required. Therefore, whenever the desired conclusion is apparent by inspection, we will omit any calculations.

Our presentation of character tables is standard and follows [9, 57]. When necessary we will make the pertinent calculations at the end of the character tables.
I. Janko's group of order 175,560

We present the character table obtained by J. McKay in [30, pp.89-100] of the group discovered by 2 . Janko in [28].

| Class | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Character


| $x^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x^{2}$ | 56 | 0 | 2 | $2+4 c 2$ | $2+4 c 1$ | -1 | -1 |
| $x^{3}$ | 56 | 0 | 2 | $2+4 c 1$ | $2+4 c 2$ | -1 | -1 |
| $x^{4}$ | 76 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{5}$ | 76 | -4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{6}$ | 77 | 5 | -1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| $x^{7}$ | 77 | -3 | 2 | $2 c 1$ | $2 c 2$ | 1 | 1 |
| $x^{8}$ | 77 | -3 | 2 | $2 c 2$ | $2 c 1$ | 1 | 1 |
| $x^{9}$ | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2 c 2+2 c 3+2 c 5$ | $2 c 4+2 c 6+2 c 9$ |
| $x^{10}$ | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2 c 1+2 c 7+2 c 8$ | $2 c 2+2 c 3+2 c 5$ |
| $x^{11}$ | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2 c 4+2 c 6+2 c 9$ | $2 c 1+2 c 7+2 c 8$ |
| $x^{12}$ | 133 | 5 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{13}$ | 133 | -3 | -2 | $1+2 c 2$ | $1+2 c 1$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{14}$ | 133 | -3 | -2 | $1+2 c 1$ | $1+2 c 2$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{15}$ | 209 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |


| Class | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Character

| $x^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x^{2}$ | -1 | $2 c 3$ | $2 c 6$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{3}$ | -1 | $2 c 6$ | $2 c 3$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{4}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| $x^{5}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $x^{6}$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $x^{7}$ | 1 | $2 c 3$ | $2 c 6$ | 0 | $2 c 2$ | $2 c 4$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{8}$ | 1 | $2 c 6$ | $2 c 3$ | 0 | $2 c 4$ | $2 c 2$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{9}$ | $2 c 1+2 c 7+2 c 8$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $x^{10}$ | $2 c 4+2 c 6+2 c 9$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $x^{11}$ | $2 c 2+2 c 3+2 c 5$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $x^{12}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $x^{13}$ | 0 | $1+2 c 6$ | $1+2 c 3$ | 1 | $2 c 2$ | $2 c 4$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{14}$ | 0 | $1+2 c 3$ | $1+2 c 6$ | 1 | $2 c 4$ | $2 c 2$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{15}$ | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |

In the above table $\alpha c \beta$ denotes $\alpha \cos (2 \pi \beta / 5)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\pi}$.
Let $G$ denote the group under consideration. It is easily seen that $\sum_{i=1}^{15} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1) \neq 0$ for every $g \in G$ and, consequently $G$ consists of commutators.

However, as an example, we do the necessary calculations for the second conjugacy class. If $g$ is an element of the second conjugacy class, then, $\sum_{i=1}^{15} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1)=1+\frac{0}{56}+\frac{0}{56}+\frac{4}{76}-\frac{4}{76}+\frac{5}{77}-\frac{3}{77}-\frac{3}{77}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{0}{120}+\frac{0}{120}+\frac{0}{120}+\frac{5}{133}-\frac{3}{133}-\frac{3}{133}+\frac{1}{209} \\
& =1-23 / 1463 \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $g \in\}_{i}^{r}(G)$.
II. The Mathieu Group, $M_{24}$.

We exhibit the character table obtained by J. Todd in [46] of the quintuply transitive Mathieu group $M_{24}$, of degree 24 and order $244,823,040$.
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Class } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13\end{array}$ Character

| $\mathrm{x}^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x^{2}$ | 23 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{3}$ | 45 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - $\alpha$ |
| $x^{4}$ | 45 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\alpha$ | $\alpha$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $-\alpha$ |
| $x^{5}$ | 231 | 7 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | $\beta$ | $\bar{\beta}$ | 0 |
| $x^{6}$ | 231 | 7 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | $\bar{\beta}$ | $\beta$ | 0 |
| $x^{7}$ | 252 | 28 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| $x^{8}$ | 253 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $x^{9}$ | 483 | 35 | 6 | -2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $x^{10}$ | 770 | -14 | 5 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{11}$ | 770 | -14 | 5 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{12}$ | 990 | -18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $\alpha$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\alpha$ |
| $x^{13}$ | 990 | -18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $\bar{\alpha}$ | $\alpha$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bar{\alpha}$ |
| $x^{14}$ | 1035 | -21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $2 \alpha$ | $2 \bar{\alpha}$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{15}$ | 1035 | -21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $2 \bar{\alpha}$ | 20 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{16}$ | 1035 | 27 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $x^{17}$ | 1265 | 49 | 5 | 0 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi^{18}$ | 1771 | -21 | 16 | 1 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $x^{19}$ | 2024 | 8 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| $x^{20}$ | 2277 | 21 | 0 | -3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi^{21}$ | 3312 | 48 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $\mathrm{x}^{22}$ | 3520 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $x^{23}$ | 5313 | 49 | -15 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\chi^{24}$ | 5544 | -56 | 9 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| $\chi^{25}$ | 5796 | -28 | -9 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $x^{26}$ | 10395 | -21 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Class } & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24 & 25 & 26\end{array}$ Character

| $\chi^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| $x^{3}$ | $-\bar{\alpha}$ | -1 | -1 | 1 | $-1$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | $\bar{\alpha}$ | $\alpha$ | -3 | 0 |
| $x^{4}$ | $-\alpha$ | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | $\alpha$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ | -3 | 0 |
| $x^{5}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | -9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| $x^{6}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | -9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| $x^{7}$ | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| $\chi^{8}$ | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -11 | $-1$ | 1 | 1 | $-3$ | 0 |
| $\chi^{9}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| $x^{10}$ | 0 | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\bar{\gamma}$ | 1 | 1 | -2 | $-7$ | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -1 |
| $x^{11}$ | 0 | $\bar{\gamma}$ | $\gamma$ | 1 | 1 | -2 | $-7$ | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $-1$ |
| $x^{12}$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $-1$ | -2 | 3 | -10 | 0 | $\bar{\alpha}$ | $\alpha$ | 6 | 0 |
| $x^{13}$ | $\alpha$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 3 | $-10$ | 0 | $\alpha$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ | 6 | 0 |
| $x^{14}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | 0 | $-\bar{\alpha}$ | $-\alpha$ | 3 | 0 |
| $\chi^{15}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -5 | 0 | $-\alpha$ | $-\bar{\alpha}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $x^{16}$ | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 35 | 0 | $-1$ | -1 | 3 | 0 |
| $x^{17}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 8 | -15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -7 | -1 |
| $x^{18}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| $x^{19}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 24 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | -1 |
| $x^{20}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -3 | 6 | -19 | 1 | -1 | $-1$ | -3 | 0 |
| $x^{21}$ | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -6 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{22}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{23}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-3$ | 0 | 9 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $x^{24}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 1 |
| $x^{25}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $-4$ | -1 |
| $\chi^{26}$ | 0 | $-1$ | -1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | -45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | In the above table, $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}(-1+i \sqrt{7}), \beta=\frac{1}{2}(-1+i \sqrt{15})$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}(-1+i \sqrt{23})$.

$\sum_{i=1}^{26} x^{i}(g) / \chi^{i}(1)=1+5377 / 11385$ if $g$ is an element of the second conjugacy $\sum_{i=1}^{26} x^{i}(g) / x^{i}(1)=1+{ }^{38} / 1449$ if $g$ is an element of the twentyfirst conjugacy class. The required inequality obviously holds for all other conjugacy classes and, consequently, the Mathieu group $M_{24}$ consists of commutators.
III. The Higman-Sims group of order 1100.8! $=44,352,000$.

We preseni the character table obtained by J. S. Frame in [13] of the simple group discovered by D. G. Higman and C. C. Sims in [19].
Class 1
23
45
5
67
$8 \quad 9 \quad 10 \quad 11$
12

Character

| $x^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $x^{2}$ | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{3}$ | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| $x^{4}$ | 175 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 1 |
| $x^{5}$ | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | -2 | 7 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| $x^{6}$ | 1056 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -6 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{7}$ | 825 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 6 | -2 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $x^{8}$ | 770 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 34 | 2 | -2 | -2 | 0 |
| $x^{9}$ | 1825 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 5 | 5 | -3 | 1 | 1 |
| $x^{10}$ | 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 5 | -3 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| $x^{11}$ | 3200 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{12}$ | 1408 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{13}$ | 2750 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | -50 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{14}$ | 1750 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -1 | -10 | 6 | 2 | -2 | 0 |
| $x^{15}$ | 693 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| $x^{16}$ | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 6 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{17}$ | 1386 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{18}$ | 2520 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{19}$ | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $x^{20}$ | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | -2 | 2 | 0 | -2 |
| $x^{21}$ | 770 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | -14 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{22}$ | 770 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | -14 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{23}$ | 896 | $\alpha$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{24}$ | 896 | $\bar{\alpha}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Class } & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 & 24\end{array}$ Character

| $\chi^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi^{2}$ | 0 | -1 | 2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -6 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -3 |
| $x^{3}$ | -1 | 0 | -3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 2 |
| $\chi^{4}$ | 1 | -1 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{5}$ | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| $x^{6}$ | 0 | -1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| $x^{7}$ | 1 | 1 | -5 | -1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | -15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{8}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -1 | 1 | -14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -5 |
| $x^{9}$ | 1 | -1 | 5 | 1 | -19 | -1 | -1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{10}$ | -1 | -1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{11}$ | 0 | 1 | -5 | -1 | -16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{12}$ | 0 | -1 | -7 | 1 | 16 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $x^{13}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{x}^{14}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | -1 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x^{15}$ | -1 | 0 | 3 | -1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -7 |
| $\mathrm{x}^{16}$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 4 |
| $x^{17}$ | 0 | 0 | 6 | -2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 11 |
| $x^{18}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -5 |
| $\chi^{19}$ | -2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -10 | -1 | -1 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| $\chi^{20}$ | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -10 | -1 | -1 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| $x^{21}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | -1 | -10 | $\beta$ | $\bar{\beta}$ | 1 | -5 |
| $\mathrm{x}^{22}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | -1 | -10 | $\bar{B}$ | $\beta$ | 1 | -5 |
| $\mathrm{x}^{23}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 |
| $\chi^{24}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 |

If $g$ is an element of the eight conjugacy class, then $\sum_{i=1}^{24} \chi^{i}(g) / \chi^{i}(1)$
$=1+5381 / 6325$.

If $g$ is an element of the 17 th conjugacy class, then $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{24} x^{i}\right) g$ )/ $x^{i}(1)$ $=1-6 / 175$.
If $g$ is an element of the twentieth conjugacy class, then $\sum_{i=1}^{24} x^{i}(g) / \chi^{1}(1)$ $=1-{ }^{6} / 25$.

It is apparent that the required inequality holds for the other conjugacy classes and, consequently, the Higman-Sims group consists of commutators.

We conclude this chapter by giving references to character tables of various simple groups. From these tables it can be verified that all the groups consist of commutators. We only give references to groups where, as far as we know, no verification of the conjecture under consideration has been explicitly stated. We firstly consider various "sporadic" simple groups. The character tables of the other four Mathieu groups $M_{23}, M_{22}, M_{12}$ and $M_{11}$ can be obtained from [46]. (In [49] it is shown that both $M_{22}$ and $M_{11}$ consist of commutators. However, we have been unable to obtain this paper so we include the above reference for the sake of completeness.)
The character tables of the finite simple groups of Ree (c.f. [37] and [38]) are presented by H. N. Ward in [51].

The character table of the simple group of order 448, 345, 497, 600, presented by M. Suzuki in[2 p.113] was obtained by D. Wright in [52]. The character table of the simple group $M(22)$ of order $2^{17} \cdot 3^{9} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$, discovered by B. Fischer in [11], is presented by D. C. Hunt in [23]. The character table of the simple group 3 of order $2^{10} \cdot 3^{7} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$, discovered by J. Conway in [5], is presented by D. Fendel in [10]. The character table of the Hall-Janko group of order 604, 800, is presented by M. Hall, Jr. and D. Wales in [18].

Finally, the charcter table of the Higman-Janko-McKay group of order $2^{7} \cdot 3^{5} \cdot 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$ can be found in $[17]$.

With regards to infinite families of simple groups, in [41]. B.Srinivasan gives the character tables for the finite symplectic groups $S p(4, q)$, where $q$ is odd. In $[\overline{8}]$. Enomoto handles the characteristic two case and he gives the character tables for $\operatorname{sp}\left(4,2^{n}\right)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of the conjecture that every non-abelian finite simple group consists of commutators appears to be very difficult to obtain. The kind of knowledge required for such a proof does not appear to be readily accessible. It would be a great help if information conceming the number of conjugacy classes in a non-abelian finite simple group could be ohtained. From an empirical point of view, such groups appear to have "few" conjugacy classes. Consequently, in this final chapter we turn our attention to finite groups in which we make assumptions concerning the eonjugacy classes. Although the results we obtain are not themselves statements concerning commutators, they are the sort of results that will come in very useful in trying to prove the above conjecture.

Throughout this chapter we assume that $G$ is a finite group which contains a subgroup $M$ of order $p^{n}$ such that any two non-identity elements of $M$ are conjugate within $G$. We make extensive use of the character theory of finite groups and we adopt the notation of Chapter 5 .

This work was initiated by A. Fomyn in [12]. His work was written in Russian and, in extending his results, we have used different techniques which simplify his proofs. Consequently, we will prove everything from scratch without referring to his work. We will however state which results originated from Fomyn.

We assume, with Fomyn, that $M$ is abelian. This is certainly the case when $M \underset{\sim}{*}$.

Let $I \neq \mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{M}$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$, where $\theta \neq I_{M}$, the principal character of $M$. .

Theorem 7.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad x_{1}^{i}=\left(p^{n}-1\right) f_{i}+k_{i} \text {, where } \\
& f_{i}=\left(\left.x^{i}\right|_{M}, \theta\right)_{M}=\left(x_{1}^{i}-x^{i}(m)\right) / p^{n} \text { and } \\
& k_{i}=\left(\left.x^{i}\right|_{M}, l_{M}\right)_{M}=\left(x_{1}^{i}+\left(p^{n}-1\right) x^{i}(m)\right) / p^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof $\left(\left.x^{i}\right|_{M}, \theta\right)_{M}=\frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{g \in M} x^{i}(g) \bar{\theta}(g)$

$$
=\frac{1}{|M|}\left(x_{1}^{i}+\sum_{1 \neq g \in M} x^{i}(g) \bar{\theta}(g)\right), \text { because } \theta(1)=1 .
$$

Now $\chi^{i}(g)$ is constant for all non-identity elements of $M$.
Therefore,

$$
\left(\left.x^{i}\right|_{M}, \theta\right)_{M}=\frac{1}{|M|}\left(x_{1}^{i}+x^{i}(m) \sum_{2 \neq g \in M} \bar{\theta}(g)\right)
$$

Because $\theta \neq 1_{M},\left(\theta_{M}, \theta\right)_{M}=\sum_{g \in M} \bar{\theta}(g)=0$.
Consequently, $\sum_{l \neq g \in M} \bar{\theta}(g)=-1$.
Therefore,

$$
\left(\left.x^{i}\right|_{M}, \theta\right)_{M}=\left(x^{i}-x^{i}(m)\right) / p^{n}=f_{i}, \text { say }
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left.x^{i}\right|_{M}, l_{M}\right)_{M} & =\frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{g \in G} x^{i}(g) \bar{I}_{M}(g) \\
& =\left(x_{1}^{i}+\left(p^{n}-1\right) x^{i}(m)\right) / p^{n}=k_{i}, \text { say } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $|\operatorname{Irr}(M)|=|\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{c})|=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}}$.
Because $f_{i}$ is independent of $\theta$ we have the desired result that

$$
x_{1}^{i}=\left(p^{n}-1\right) f_{i}+k_{i} .
$$

Fomyn obtained the result that $x_{1}^{i}=\left(p^{n}-1\right) f_{i}+k_{i}$ though he did not observe either $\left(\left.X^{i}\right|_{M},{ }^{\theta}\right)_{M}=f_{i}$ or $\left(\left.X^{i}\right|_{M}, I_{M}\right)_{M}=k_{i}$. He also assumed that $\langle M\rangle^{G}=G$ and we do not

We now give a simplified verification of some formulae obtained by Fomyn.

Theorem 7.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } x^{i}(m)=k_{i}-f_{i} \\
& \text { (ii) } p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i} x_{l}^{i}=|G| \\
& \text { (iii) } p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i} x_{l}^{i}=|G| \\
& \text { (iv) } p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}{ }^{2}=|G|+\left|c_{G}(m)\right| \\
& \text { (v) } p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}{ }^{2}=|G|+\left(p^{n}-1\right)^{2}\left|c_{G}(m)\right| \\
& \text { (vi) } p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i} f_{i}=|G|-\left(p^{n}-1\right)\left|c_{G}(g)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 7.1,

$$
k_{i}-f_{i}=\left(x_{1}^{i}+\left(p^{n}-1\right) x^{i}(m)\right) / p^{n}-\left(x_{1}^{i}-x^{i}(m)\right) / p^{n}=x^{i}(m)
$$

By $[9 . p .16]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{1}^{i} x^{i}(m)=0 \tag{7.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by Theorem 7.1,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{1}^{i}\left(x_{1}^{i}-p^{n} f_{i}\right)=0
$$

Now $\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{2}=|G|$, by [9.p.16].
So,

$$
p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i} x_{1}^{i}=|G|
$$

By Theorem 7.2 ( $i$ ), $\quad x^{i}(m)=k_{i}-f_{i}$.
So, $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{1}^{i}\left(k_{i}-f_{i}\right)=0, \quad$ by (7.2.1).
Now $p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i} \chi_{1}^{i}=|G|$, by Theorem 7.2 (ii),
So $p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i} x_{1}^{i}=p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i} x_{1}^{i}=|G|$.

Now $m \sim G^{m^{-1}}$, so, by [9.p.16], $\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(x^{i}(m)\right)^{2}=\left|c_{G}(m)\right|$.

So, by Theorem 7.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|c_{G}(m)\right| & =\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(x_{1}^{i}-p^{n} f_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{2}-2 p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{1}^{i} f_{i}+p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}{ }^{2} \\
& =|G|-2|G|+p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}^{2}, \text { by [9.p.16] and Theorem } 7.2 \text { (ii). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}^{2}=|G|+\left|c_{G}(m)\right|$.
By Theorem 7.1, $x^{i}(m)=\left(p^{n} k_{i}-x_{1}^{i}\right) /\left(p^{n}-1\right)$.
So, by (7.2.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|c_{G}(m)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(\left(p^{n} k_{i}-x_{1}^{i}\right) /\left(p^{n}-1\right)\right)^{2} \\
&=\frac{1}{\left(p^{n}-1\right)^{2}}\left(p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}{ }^{2}-2 p^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i} x_{1}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(x_{1}^{i}\right)^{2}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{\left(p^{n}-1\right)^{2}}\left(p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}^{2}-|G|\right), \text { by }[9 \cdot p \cdot 16] \text { and } \\
& \text { Theorem 7.2.(iii). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}{ }^{2}=|G|+\left(p^{n}-1\right)^{2}\left|c_{G}(m)\right|$.
Finally, by Theorem 7.2 (i), $x^{i}(m)=k_{i}-f_{i}$.

So, by (7.2.2),

$$
\left|c_{G}(m)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(k_{i}-f_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}{ }^{2}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i} f_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}{ }^{2} .
$$

So, $2 p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i} f_{i}=p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}{ }^{2}+p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} f_{i}{ }^{2}-p^{2 n}\left|c_{G}(m)\right|$

$$
=|G|+\left(p^{n}-1\right)^{2}\left|c_{G}(m)\right|+|G|+\left|c_{G}(m)\right|-p^{2 n}\left|c_{G}(m)\right|,
$$

Thus, $p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i} f_{i}=|G|-\left(p^{n}-1\right)\left|C_{G}(m)\right|$, as required.

Fomyn obtained the following corollaries to Theorem 7.2.

Corollary 7.3. If the order of a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_{G}(m)$ is not greater than $p^{(2 n-1)}$, then it is a Sylow p-subgroup of $G$.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 7.2 (iv).

Let $X^{1}$ be the principal character of $G$.

Corollary 7.4. If $f_{i}$ is an odd number for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant h$, then $p=2$ and $M$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.
(Note that $f_{i}=0$ if and only if $M \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(X^{i}\right)$. So $f_{i}$ being non-zero for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ implies that $\langle M\rangle^{G}=G$.)

Proof. By Theorem 7.2 (v) and Theorem 7.2 (vi) we obtain,

$$
p^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{h} k_{i}\left(k_{i}-f_{i}\right)=\left(p^{2 n}-p^{n}\right)\left|c_{G}(m)\right|
$$

Now $\chi^{1}$ heing the principal character implies that $k_{1}=1$ and $f_{1}=0$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2 n}+p^{2 n} \sum_{i=2}^{h} k_{i}\left(k_{i}-f_{i}\right)=\left(p^{2 n}-p^{n}\right)\left|c_{G}(m)\right| \tag{7.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p^{2 n}-p^{n}$ is always even and $k_{i}\left(k_{i}-f_{i}\right)$ is even because $f_{i}$ is odd we have that $p=2$. The highest power of 2 to divide the left hand side of (7.2.3) is $2^{2 n}$. Consequently, the highest power of 2 to divide $\left|C_{G}(m)\right|$ is $2^{n}$. By Corollary 7.3 a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_{G}(m)$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. Since $|M|=2^{n}$ and $M \equiv C_{G}(m)$, the proof is complete.

As a further corollary of Theorem 7.2 Eomyn obtained the following result.

Corollary 7.5. Suppose $f_{i}=1$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant h$ and that $G$ does not possess three pairwise non-equivalent irreducible representations of degree $p^{n}-1$. If $G$ is a simple group, then $G \cong A_{5}$, the alternating group on 5 symbols. We will extend the result, but before doing so we give a final corollary to Theorem 7.2.

Corollary 7.6. $M$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ if and only if

$$
f_{i} k_{i}=0 \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant h
$$

Proof. We observe that $M \triangleleft G$ is and only if $|C Z(m)|=p^{n}-1$, where $C Z(m)$ is the conjugacy class of $G$ containing $m$. Noting that $f_{i}$ and $k_{i}$ are non-negative for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h$ and $|c Z(m)|\left|C_{G}(m)\right|=|G|$, the result follows from Theorem 7.2(vi).. Theorem 7.7. Suppose $f_{i}=1$, for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant h$. Then $p=2, M$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$ and
either (a) $G / O_{2},(G) \geq \operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{n}\right)$
or (b) $|M|=2, G=G^{\prime} \lambda M, G^{\prime}$ is abelian and $g^{m}=g^{-1}$ for every $g G^{\prime}$.
Proof. As we noted above $\langle M\rangle^{G}=G$. Let $N<G$. Since any two non-identity elements of 11 are conjugate within $G$,
either $N_{n} M=\langle l\rangle$ or $M \subseteq N$. But $\langle M\rangle=G$, so, either $N_{A} M=\langle 1\rangle$ or $N=G$. Suppose $N_{A} M=\langle 1\rangle$ and we consider $\zeta \in \operatorname{Irr}(G / N)$.
Now $N M / N \cong M / M_{N} N=M$. Suppose $\mu$ is a non-principal character of $N M / N$.
Because $\zeta$ is also an irreducible character of $G$, we see that
$\left(\left.\zeta\right|_{N M / M},{ }^{\mu}\right)_{N M / M}=1$. (In other words our assumption that $f_{i}=1$ for non-principal characters is quotient closed.)
Two cases arise, depending on whether $G$ is solvable.
Suppose $G$ is not solvable. By Corollary 7.4, M is a Sylow 2-cubgroup of $G$.
Because $\langle M\rangle^{G}=G, G / O_{2},(G)$ is a simple group. J. H. Walter in [50]
classified non-abelian finite simple groups with an abelian Sylow 2-subgroup. From $[50]$ we see that $G / O_{2},(G)$ is isomorphic to one of the

## following:

(i) $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{m}\right), m>1$.
(ii) PSL(2, q), q $\equiv 3$ or 5 (modulo 8), q > 3 .
(iii) A simple group $H$ such that for each involution $t$ of $H$, $C_{H}(t)=\langle t\rangle \times A$, where $A$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, with $q \equiv 3$ or 5 (modulo 8).

We give an argument of Fomyn to show that G possesses an irreducible character of degree $2^{\mathrm{n}}-1$. From Theorem 7.2 (ii),

$$
2^{n} \sum_{i=2}^{h} x_{1}^{i}=|G| .
$$

But $\sum_{i=1}^{h}\left(x_{i}^{i}\right)^{2}=|G|$ from [9.p.16].
So, $\sum_{i=2}^{h} x_{1}^{i}\left(x_{1}-2^{n}\right)=-1$.
Consequently there exists a $x^{j}$ where $2 \leqslant j \leqslant h$ such that $x_{1}^{j}<2^{n}$. But $f_{i}=1$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant h$ implies that $X_{1}^{i} \geqslant 2^{n}-1$, by Theorem 7.1. So $G$ possesses a $x^{j}$ where $2 \leqslant j \leqslant h$ such that $X_{1}^{j}=2^{n}-1$. Of the three possibilities for the structure of $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{O}_{2},(\mathrm{G})$, in Case (ii), G has a Sylow 2-subgroup of order 4 (c.f. $[6 \mathrm{p} .9]$ ). So, by the above argument $G$ possesses an irreducible character of degree 3. From [7. p.228] we see that this implies $q$ is equal to 5. But $\operatorname{PSL}(2,5) \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,4)$, from $[16, p .493]$. So this exceptional occurence is contained in Case (i). (Note that $\operatorname{PSL}(2,5) \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2,4) \cong A_{5}$ and this is the case covered by Fomyn in Corollary 7.5.) Z. Janko and J. G. Thompson, in [29], show that for the groups in Case (iii), either $q=5$, or $q=3^{2 r+1}$, where $r \geqslant 1$. Janko, in [28], considered the case $q=5$ and showed that the group arising is the group named after him, Janko's group of order 175,560. AS ylow 2-sungroup of this group has order 8, but from the character table obtained by J. McKay in [30] we see that Janko's group does not possess an irreducible character of degree 7, contradicting the above argument. If $q=3^{2 r+1}$, where $r \geqslant 1$, then, from [29. Lemma 2.1], a

Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$ has order 8 . Now $G$ has a subgroup $K \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, with $q \geqslant 27$. From $[7 . p .228]$ we see that $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ possesses no non-principal character of degree less than $(q-1) / 2 \geqslant 13$. Therefore, if $G$ possesses an irreducible character $x$ of degree 7, then $K \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(x)$. But $G$ is a simple group, so this is impossible. So we are reduced to considering Case (i). From [7. p.235] we see that $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{m}\right)$ obeys the conditions of the theorem, where $m \geqslant 1$. Moreover, the order of a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{m}\right)$ equals $2^{m}$ from [6.p.9]. So we do indeed have that $G / O_{2},(G) \cong \operatorname{PSL}\left(2,2^{n}\right)$. If $G$ is solvable, then because $G / N$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem, where $N<G$, we have that $M$ has a normal 2 -complement $O_{2},(G)$. If $m_{1}, m_{2}$ are non-identity elements of $M$, then $m_{1} \sim G_{2}$. Consequently $m_{1} N \sim G / N m_{2} N$, where $N \ll G$. Because $G / O_{2},(G) \cong M$, we must have $M \cong C_{2}$.

Now $G=\langle M\rangle=\left\langle M,\left[M, O_{2}(G)\right]\right\rangle$.
So, $O_{2},(G)=\left[M, O_{2},(G)\right]=G^{\prime}$.
Suppose there exists $X^{j}$ such that $X_{1}^{j}>2$.

Now $\sum_{i=1}^{h} x_{1}^{i} x^{i}(m)=0$, from [9.p.16].
Since $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=2, G$ has two linear characters $\chi^{1}$ and $\chi^{2}$ say.
Moreover, $\chi^{1}(m)=1$ and $x^{2}(m)=-1$.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=3}^{h} x_{1}^{i} x^{i}(m)=0 \tag{7.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 7.1, $X^{j}(m)=X_{1}^{j}-2$ which is greater than zero by assumption. In order that (7.7.1) holds there must exist $\chi^{r}$ such that $\chi^{r}(m)<0$, where $3 \leqslant r \leqslant h$.
But, again by Theorem 7.1, $f_{r}=\left(X_{1}^{r}-X^{r}(m)\right) / 2$ and, if $\chi^{r}(m)<0$, then $f_{r} \neq 1$ contradicting our initial assumption. So $X_{1}=2$ for $3 \leqslant 1 \leqslant h$. By a result of I. M. Isaacs and D. S. Passman [25.Theorem II], G has a normal abelian subgroup of index 2. i.e. $G^{\prime}$ is abelian. Now $M$ induces a group of
automorphisms upon $G^{\prime}$ and $\left(|M|,\left|G^{\prime}\right|\right)=1$. It is easily seen that Theorem 5.2 .3 of [16] can be extended to cover this case and we have

$$
G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, M\right] \times C_{G^{\prime}}(M) .
$$

But $G^{\prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, M\right]$, from above. So $C_{G^{\prime}}(M)=C_{G^{\prime}}(\langle m\rangle)=\langle 1\rangle$. Thus m induces a fixed point free automorphism upon $G^{\prime}$. Let $g \in G^{\prime}$ and suppose $g^{m}=h$. Because $m^{2}=1, h^{m}=g$. Thus $(g h)^{m}=h g=g h$, because $G^{\prime}$ is abelian. Since $m$ induces a fixed point free automorphism, $h=g^{-1}$.

It would be nice if we were able to extend the previous theorem by letting the $f_{i}$ 's take a different set of values. In the solvable case progress may be possible, for it is easily seen that the assumption that $f_{i}>0$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant h$ forces $G$ to be a split extension of a group of odd order by an involution. However, in the non-solvable case matters are more difficult. If one examines the character tables of non-abelian finite simple groups one does not readily see any straightforward pattern for the $f_{i}$ 's that arise.
e.g. In Janko's group of order $\mathbf{1 7 5 , 5 6 0}$, by considering $M$ to be a Sylow 2-subgroup, we obtain the following set of values for the $f_{f}$ 's:-

$$
\{0,7,7,9,10,9,10,10,15,15,15,16,17,17,26\}
$$

By assuming that $f_{i}>0$ for $2 \leq i \leq h$ we came to the conclusion that $\langle M\rangle^{G}=G$. We now consider the opposite case, that of $M$ being a normal subgroup of $G$.

We recall that if $M<G, \theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$ and $g \in G$, we may define $\theta^{g} \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$ by $\theta^{g}(h)=\theta\left(g h g^{-1}\right), \quad h \in M$. Further we recall that the Inertial Group of $\theta$, denoted by $I(\theta)$ is $\left\{g \mid g \in G, \theta^{g}=\theta\right\}$. Finally if $H$ is a subgroup of $G, X \in \operatorname{Ir}(G)$ and $\lambda \in \operatorname{rr}(H)$ such that $\lambda$ is a constituent of $X$ restricted to $H$, then we write that $\left.\lambda \in X\right|_{M}$.

We show that there is a very close relationship between $I(\theta)$ and $C_{G}(m)$, where we still assume that $\theta$ is a non-principal character of $M$ and $1 \neq m \in M$.

We first prove an introductory result of independent interest.

Theorem 7.8. Let $M \Delta G$. Suppose that $\left\{f_{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant r\right\}$ are the only non-zero $f_{i}$ 's. Then there exists $\zeta^{i} E \operatorname{Irr}(I(\theta))$ such that $f_{i}=\zeta^{i}(1)$ for $\lambda^{2} \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Conversely, given $\zeta^{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(I(\theta))$ such that $\left.\theta \in \zeta^{i}\right|_{M}$, then there exists an $f_{i}$ such that $f_{i}=\zeta^{i}(1)$.

Proof. Let $X^{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ be such that $M \neq \operatorname{Ker}\left(X^{i}\right)$.
By Corollary 7.6 we see that $f_{i} \neq 0=k_{i}$.
So $\left.x^{i}\right|_{M}=f_{i} \sum_{j} \theta^{j}$, where $\left\{\theta^{j}\right\}=\operatorname{Irr}(M) \backslash\left\{1_{M}\right\}$.
By restricting $X^{i}$ to $I(\theta)$ we may select an $\zeta^{i} \in I \operatorname{rr}(I(\theta))$ such that $\left.\zeta^{i} \in \chi^{i}\right|_{I(\theta)}$ and $\left.\theta \in \zeta^{i}\right|_{M}$. Then, from [9.p.53] we see that $\zeta^{i^{*}}=x^{i}$, where $\zeta^{j^{*}}$ is the character of $G$ obtained by inducing $\zeta^{i}$ up to $G$. Because $\zeta^{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(I(\theta))$ we see that $\left.\zeta^{i}\right|_{M}=\zeta^{i}(1)$. Consequently, by $[9 . p .53], \zeta^{i}(1)=f_{i}$. The proof of the converse follows by reversing the above argument.

Theorem 7.9. If $M \Delta G$, then $\left|C_{G}(m)\right|=|I(\theta)|$.
Proof. Select $\zeta \in \operatorname{Irr}(I(\theta))$ such that $\left.\theta \in \zeta\right|_{M^{*}}$
Then, by Theorem 7.8 and from [9. p.53] it is easily seen that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{\star}=x^{j} \text { and } \zeta(1)=f_{j} . \tag{7.9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [ g.p.45],

$$
\zeta^{*}(m)=\frac{1}{\Gamma I(\theta) \mid} \sum_{g \in G} \zeta\left(\mathrm{gmg}^{-1}\right) .
$$

Because any two non-identity elements of $M$ are conjugate within $G$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{*}(m)=\frac{\left.\right|_{G} ^{C_{G}(m)} \mid}{|I(\theta)|} \sum_{k} \zeta\left(m_{k}\right), \tag{7.9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{m_{k}\right.$ \}are the non-identity elements of $M$.
Now, $\left(\theta, 1_{M}\right)_{M}=\frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{h \in M} \theta(h)=0$, by [9: p.14].
So, $\sum_{k} \theta\left(m_{k}\right)=-\theta(1)=-1$.

Therefore, $\sum_{k} \zeta\left(m_{k}\right)=\sum_{k} \zeta(1) \theta\left(m_{k}\right)=-\zeta(1)$.

By Theorem 7.2.(i) and (7.9.1) we have,

$$
\zeta^{\star}(m)=x^{j}(m)=-f_{j}=-\zeta(1) .
$$

Comparing with (7.9.2) we see that $\left|C_{G}(m)\right|=|I(\theta)|$.
Suppose we assume that $M$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $G$. By a result of Burnside [16 Theorem 7.1.1], two elements of $M$ are conjugate within $G$ if and only if they are conjugate within $N_{G}(M)$. So, in assuming that $M$ is a Sylow p-subgroup it is reasonable to assume that $M \triangleleft G$.

Under these assumptions we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7.10. Suppose $M$ is a normal Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then, to each non-principal character $\theta^{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$ there exists $1 \notin m_{i} \in M$ such that

$$
I\left(\theta^{i}\right)=C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right), \text { and conversely. }
$$

Proof. Suppose $\theta^{i}$ is a non-principal character of $M$. Let $M=\left\langle g_{i}\right\rangle \times \operatorname{Ker}\left(\theta^{i}\right)$, where $\theta\left(g_{i}\right)=\omega$, wa primitive $p$ th root of unity.

It is easily seen that $I\left(\theta^{i}\right)=N_{G}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\theta^{i}\right)\right)$ and that $g_{i}{ }^{g}=g_{i} k$, where $g \in I(\theta)$ and $k \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\theta^{i}\right)$. Consequently, $\left[M, I\left(\theta^{i}\right)\right] \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\theta^{i}\right)$. By $[16$ Theorem 5.2.3], $g_{i}$ may be chosen such that $I\left(\theta^{i}\right) \subseteq C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right)$. This particular value of $g_{i}$ is our desired $m_{i}$. By applying Theorem 7.9 , we see that $I\left(\theta^{i}\right)=C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right)$.

Conversely, given $1 \nmid m_{1} \in M$, we consider the following equation, which follows from [16Theorem 5.2.3].

$$
M=\left[M, C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right)\right] \times C_{M}\left(C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Let $C_{M}\left(C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right)\right)=\left\langle m_{i}\right\rangle \times K_{1}$
and also let $K_{2}=\left[M, C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right)\right] \times K_{1}$.
If we define $\theta^{i}$ by $\theta^{i}\left(m_{i}\right)=\omega$ and $\theta^{i}(k)=1$ for each $k \in K_{2}$, then it is easily seen that $C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right) \subseteq I\left(\theta^{i}\right)$. By Theorem 7.9, we reach the desired conclusion that $C_{G}\left(m_{i}\right)=I\left(\theta^{i}\right)$.

We now obtain information concerning the relationship between $I(\theta) / M$ and the $\left\{f_{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant h\right\}$.

Theorem 7.11. Suppose $M$ is a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ and that $\left\{f_{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant r\right\}$ are the only non-zero $f_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$. Then $\left\{f_{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant r\right\}$ are the degrees of the irreducible characters of $I(\theta) / M$.

Proof. We consider $\theta^{*}$, the character of $I(\theta)$ obtained by inducing $\theta$ up to $I(\theta)$.

If $\zeta \in \operatorname{Irr}(I(\theta))$ is such that $\left.\theta \in \zeta\right|_{M}$, then $\left.\zeta\right|_{M}=\zeta(1) \theta$.
By the Frobenius Reciprocity Theorem [9. p.47],

$$
\left(\zeta, \theta^{*}\right)_{I(\theta)}=\left(\left.\zeta\right|_{M}, \theta\right)_{M}=\zeta(1) .
$$

By Theorem 7.8 we see that there are $(r-1)$ such $\zeta^{\prime}$ s denoted by $\left\{\zeta^{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant r\right\}$ and that $\zeta^{i}(1)=f_{i}$, where $2 \leqslant i \leqslant r$.
Let $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}=\operatorname{Irr}(I(\theta) / M)$. These are the characters of $I(\theta)$ that contain $M$ in their respective kernels. By Theorem 7.10 , there exists an $m \in M$ such that $I(\theta)=C_{G}(m)$. Moreover, by considering the proof of Theorem 7.10 we see that $m$ may be chosen such that $\theta(m)=\omega$, a primitive $p$ th root of unity. Now the $\left\{\zeta^{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant r\right\}$ are precisely the irreducible characters of $I(\theta)$ such that $\operatorname{Ker}(\theta) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left(\zeta^{i}\right)$ and $\zeta^{i}(m)=\zeta^{i}(1) \omega$, for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant r$.

Now $M$ is a normal Sylow p-subgroup of $I(\theta)$. So, by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem [ 16 Theorem 6.2.1], $M$ has a complement $K$ in $I(\theta)$. Noting this and also that $m \in Z(I(\theta))$ we see that there is a well defined bijection $\phi$ between the $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ and then $\left\{\zeta^{i}\right\}$, given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi: \lambda_{j} \rightarrow \zeta^{j}, \text { where we define } \zeta^{j} \text { by } \\
& \zeta^{j}\left(k \mathrm{gm}^{\alpha}\right)=\lambda_{j}\left(\operatorname{kgm}^{\alpha}\right) \omega^{\alpha}, \text { where } k £ K, g \in \operatorname{Ker}(\theta) \text { and } \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $f_{i}=\zeta^{i}$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ we see that the proof is complete.

We finish by applying this work to a rather restricted case.

Theorem 7.12. Suppose $M$ is a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ and that $G / M$ is abelian.

Suppose $\pi=\left\{\right.$ set of prime divisors of $\left.p^{n}-1\right\}$.
Then, (i) G has a normal abelian Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroup.
(ii) $\quad C_{G}(m)<G$ and $G / C_{G}(m)$ is cyclic of order $\left(p^{n}-1\right)$.
(iii) $\quad C_{G}(m)=Z(G) \times M$.

Proof. By Corollary 7.6, $k_{i} f_{i}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h$.
Now the non-zero $k_{i}$ are the degrees of the irreducible characters of G/M. Because $G / M$ is abelian these $k_{i}$ equal 1. By considering Theorem 7.8, again noting that $G / M$ is abelain we see that the non-zero $f_{i}$ also equal one. By Theorem 7.1 we see that either $x^{i}(1)=1$ or $x^{i}(1)=p^{n}-1$, for $1 \leqslant 1 \leqslant h$. By a theorem of I. M. Isaacs and D. S. Passman [26. Theorem 3.1], we see that $G$ has a normal abelian Hall n'-subgroup $H, C_{G}(H)\left\langle G\right.$ with $G / C_{G}(H)$ cyclic of order $\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ and that $C_{G}(H)=Z(G) \times B$, where $B<G$, and $G / C_{G}(H)$ acts fixed point freely on $B$.

Now meH, so $C_{G}(m) \supseteq C_{G}(H)$.
But $\left|G: C_{G}(m)\right|=\left|G: C_{G}(H)\right|=\left(p^{n}-1\right)$.
Therefore, $C_{G}(m)=C_{G}(H)$.
Because $M$ is a normal Sylow p-subgroup of $G$, $M$ has a complement $K$ in $G$. Now $K \cong G / M$ which is abelian. Therefore $B=M$ and the proof is complete.

The author would like to point out that since he obtained these results he has become aware of some results of I. M. Isaacs [24] and unpublished results of E. C. Dade and G. Glauberman that describe the relationships between the inertial groups and centralizers of elements in far greater generality.
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