



DR. RICHARD PARTINGTON (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-7362-0257)

Article type : Brief Report

Running head:

Mortality among patients with polymyalgia rheumatica

Title:

Mortality among patients with polymyalgia rheumatica: A retrospective cohort study

Author list and affiliations:

Richard Partington PhD,¹ Sara Muller PhD,¹ Christian D Mallen PhD,¹ Alyshah Abdul Sultan PhD,¹ Toby Helliwell PhD,¹

¹ Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis, School of Primary, Community and Social Care, Keele University, Keele, UK

Funding:

No funding bodies had any role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. No specific funding was received for this study.

RP is funded by NHS Research and Infrastructure funds.

CDM is funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Applied Research Collaboration (West Midlands), the NIHR School for Primary Care Research and a NIHR Research Professorship in General Practice, which also supports AAS (NIHR-RP-2014-04-026).

TH is funded by an NIHR Clinical Lectureship in General Practice.

The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/ACR.24403](https://doi.org/10.1002/ACR.24403)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Corresponding author:

Richard Partington, Honorary Lecturer & General Practitioner

Primary Care Centre Versus Arthritis

School of Primary, Community and Social Care

Keele University, Staffordshire, UK, ST5 5BG

Phone number: +44(0) 1782 7348889, Email: r.partington@keele.ac.uk

Word count:

Word count (manuscript): 1,829

Word count (abstract): 243

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine whether a diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) is associated with premature mortality.

Methods: We extracted anonymised electronic medical records of patients over the age of 40 years, who were eligible for linkage with the Office for National Statistics (ONS) Death Registration dataset, from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink from 1990-2016. Patients with PMR were individually matched by age, sex and registered General Practice with up to 5 controls without PMR. The total number and proportion of deaths and mortality rates were calculated. The mortality rate ratio (MRR), with 95% confidence interval (CI), adjusted for age, sex, region, smoking status, body mass index (BMI), and alcohol consumption, was calculated using Poisson regression. The twenty most common causes of death were tabulated.

Results: 18,943 patients with PMR were matched to 87,801 controls. Mean (standard deviation) follow-up after date of diagnosis was 8.0 (4.4) years in patients with PMR, and 7.9 (4.6) in controls. PMR was not associated with an increase in the risk of death (adjusted MRR 1.00 [95% CI 0.97, 1.03]) compared to matched controls. Causes of death were broadly similar between patients with PMR and controls, although patients with PMR were slightly more likely to have a vascular cause of death recorded (24% vs 23%).

Conclusions: A diagnosis with PMR does not appear to increase the risk of premature death. Minor variations in cause of death were observed, but overall this study is reassuring for patients with PMR and clinicians.

SIGNIFICANCE AND INNOVATIONS

1. This is the largest ever study of mortality among patient with polymyalgia rheumatica, including over 100,000 patients and controls
2. Polymyalgia rheumatica does not increase the risk of premature death
3. The cause of death is similar among patients with polymyalgia rheumatica compared to controls

INTRODUCTION

Polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) is an inflammatory rheumatic condition that predominantly affects older people, (1) and can have a devastating impact on patients' lives. Classical symptoms of PMR include stiffness, pain and impairment to daily activities. (2) A recent study of PMR epidemiology estimated the incidence and prevalence of PMR to be 95.9 [94.9, 96.8] per 100,000 person years and 0.85% respectively. (1)

A recent systematic review found that patients with PMR had a higher burden of comorbid disease when compared to age and sex matched controls. (3) However, three previous studies reported no difference or reduced premature mortality among patients diagnosed with PMR. (4–6)

Given the high burden of comorbid disease among patients with PMR, the raised systemic levels of inflammation associated with it, and the prolonged glucocorticoid (GC) therapy with which many patients with PMR are treated, it is important to ascertain whether a diagnosis of PMR is associated with an increased risk of premature mortality.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Data source

The National Health Service (NHS) provides healthcare to all UK residents, and 98% of people in the UK are registered with a General Practice. Around 90% of patient contacts in the UK occur in primary care. (7) We utilised data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD; version July 2017), which contains data from 17 million contributing patients across 718 (7.5% of the total) practices. This database, containing electronic, coded information collected during the course of routine healthcare, is representative of the UK population in terms of age, sex and ethnicity (8) and has been used extensively for research. The CPRD's Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC) approved this study, (protocol number: 17_203RA).

The CPRD can be linked to death registration data from the Office for National Statistics (ONS). Only practices based in England are eligible for linkage and, of those, 75% have consented. (8) Where consent exists, patient level data is linked via NHS Digital to the other established data sources.

The linkage between CPRD and death registration data is available from January 1998 until February 2018. This dataset also contains information on the official date of death, the date of registration of death, the underlying cause of death and any other contributing factors given. (9)

Definition of incident PMR

The exposed group were aged 40 years and over with a CPRD recorded PMR diagnosis. Each patient had a Read code diagnosis of PMR (N20 Polymyalgia rheumatica; N200.00 Giant cell arteritis with polymyalgia rheumatica) between 02/01/1998 and 01/01/2018 and two prescriptions of GCs, the first made within six months of PMR diagnosis, and the second within six months of

the first. This replicates previous CPRD PMR studies (10) and provides supporting information as to the accuracy of the diagnosis. In addition to these requirements, each had at least three years of continuous follow up prior to date of diagnosis with PMR (the index date).

Selection of unexposed group

Each individual with PMR was matched with up to five unexposed people. The matching criteria employed were: 1) year of birth +/- 3 years, 2) sex and 3) registered practice. The index date for each exposed person was assigned to their matched unexposed group, who were also required to have been contributing data on that date and for 3 years prior.

Study period

The start of this study was defined as the index date, which was the date of PMR diagnosis for the exposed and their matched group. Follow up continued until the earliest of these events: 1) 1st January 2018 (the end of the period encompassed by ONS Death Registration data), 2) the date when a patient transferred out of the practice, 3) the last date of data collection from the practice, or 4) the date of death.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to find average age of the exposed and unexposed as well as the proportion of exposed and unexposed per region, sex, smoking status, BMI category, alcohol consumption status, as well as follow up prior to, and following, index date. This was to ensure that the exposed and unexposed were similar.

The primary outcome measures were total number of deaths in exposed and unexposed groups, as well as estimated mortality rate per 1,000 person years (with 95% confidence intervals [CI]). Estimates of survival were constructed using Kaplan-Meier methods. ONS Death Registration data includes the date of death, the date of death registration and cause of death. For this study the date of death was used and the cause of death was included as a secondary analysis.

A Poisson regression model was used to calculate the mortality rate ratio (MRR) with 95% CI in order to compare the mortality rate of patients with PMR to those without. This figure was adjusted for age, sex, region, smoking status, BMI, and alcohol consumption. If data regarding covariates were missing, patients were assumed to be non-smokers, consume no alcohol and have a normal BMI.

RESULTS

A total of 18,943 patients with PMR and 87,801 matched unexposed individuals were included in the analysis. The demographic information of patients is presented in table 1. The average age at diagnosis, sex and region of GP practice were very similar between the exposed and unexposed. The mean age of the exposed was greater than the unexposed by 0.3 years, and the mean (standard deviation) follow up period was 7.9 (4.6) years. The three disease risk modifiers, BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption, were similar between the exposed and unexposed, however data were less likely to be missing in the exposed compared to unexposed.

The total number, and proportion, of patients with and without PMR who died, as well as the mortality rate ratio and twenty most common causes of death, are shown in table 2. Figure 1 describes the Kaplan Meier estimate of mortality in the first ten years after diagnosis in patients with and without PMR. Over the whole time period, a slightly higher proportion of patients with PMR died compared to patients without PMR (31.9% and 31.0%). However, the mortality rates

were similar, at 39.9 and 39.2 per 1,000 patient years and the mortality rate ratio of 1.00 (0.97, 1.03), which was adjusted for age, sex, region, smoking status, BMI, and alcohol consumption, showed there was no difference between the two groups. A sensitivity analysis where patients with co-existent GCA were excluded from the sample found the same results.

DISCUSSION

Main findings

This study confirms that a diagnosis of PMR does not have a significant impact on life expectancy. The causes of death in patients with PMR were broadly similar to those of matched controls; however a slightly higher proportion of patients with PMR died due to vascular causes and a slightly lower proportion died due to neoplastic conditions.

Strengths and limitations

This is the largest study to estimate the effect that a diagnosis of PMR has upon life expectancy. The sample used a large, established database of patients who are representative of the UK population, (11) and is drawn from primary care, where the majority of patients with PMR are managed. (12) The Office for National Statistics (ONS) is the UK's recognised national statistical institute. All deaths in the UK must be registered and are therefore recorded in this dataset. This data source is used to report trends in mortality and guide national healthcare policy. Therefore, the ONS dataset is the most complete source of this information.

A potential limitation is the initial ascertainment of PMR. In CPRD it is not possible to authenticate diagnoses by ensuring each patient fulfils validated classification criteria for PMR. No diagnostic criteria nor specific diagnostic test exists for PMR, therefore even if access to

individual patients were possible, confirmation of diagnosis can never be fully achieved. However, ensuring that all patients have at least two GC prescriptions in their records provides more confidence in the diagnosis of PMR. This method has been used before in previously published studies in CPRD of PMR. (13) Furthermore, this study can provide reassurance for patients with a diagnosis with PMR that no association with premature mortality was found.

The cause of death may be incorrectly coded. Some studies have estimated for example that cardiovascular causes of death may be overstated in mortality data. (14) However, a study from the ONS found that only 12% of the broad underlying causes of death needed to be amended following medical examiner scrutiny. (15) Furthermore, there is no reason to suppose that the presence of PMR would lead to a difference in error rate compared to those without.

Another potential bias is surveillance bias, wherein people who are diagnosed with PMR may be more likely to be followed up more closely in primary care. This would mean that comorbidities may be diagnosed sooner and treated more effectively in these patients, which could lead to improvement in survival, mitigating any potential reduction in survival caused by the disease itself.

Previous studies have found that patients with PMR have a high comorbidity burden, with a possible increased risk of vascular disease. (3,16) In this study, a greater proportion of patients with PMR had a vascular cause of death recorded. Conversely, a smaller proportion of patients with PMR were recorded as dying due to cancer. Therefore, the neutral effect of PMR on mortality observed in the current study may be caused by the increase in the risk of vascular disease in this patient group balancing the reduction in the risk of death due to cancer among patients with PMR.

Comparison to other studies

Two previous studies based in Norway have reported reduced mortality rates in patients with PMR and attributed this to improved medical surveillance among patients with PMR. (4,5) One study from the United States however found no significant difference in mortality in patients with PMR when compared to the general population, (6) while another more recent study with more than 40 years of data also concluded that survival among patients with PMR was no worse compared to the general population. (17) In this study, no significant difference in mortality, between patients

with PMR compared to matched controls, was found, with an adjusted mortality rate ratio of 1.00 [0.97, 1.03]. This study is therefore reassuring for those who receive a diagnosis of PMR.

Conclusion and clinical implications

This study is the first to estimate the effect that PMR has on mortality in a large sample of patients using primary care and linked data. Overall, the mortality rate in patients with PMR, when compared to matched controls, is not significantly different, although there are some minor variations in the recorded cause of death.

Our previous work has demonstrated that patients with PMR were less likely than controls to have a previous diagnosis of cancer or neurological diseases. Therefore, it could be speculated that patients with PMR group should actually have improved survival when compared to matched controls. The neutral effect observed may be due to increased rates of vascular disease in patients with PMR balancing the reduction in risk of death due to cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is based in part on data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink GOLD database obtained under licence from the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. However, the interpretation and conclusions contained in this report are those of the author(s) alone.

REFERENCES

1. Partington R., Muller S, Helliwell T, Mallen CD, Abdul Sultan A. Incidence, prevalence and treatment burden of polymyalgia rheumatica in the UK over two decades: a population-based study. *Ann Rheum Dis* [Internet]. 2018;77(12):1750–6. Available from: <http://ard.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-213883>
2. Helliwell T, Brouwer E, Pease CT, Hughes R, Hill CL, Neill LM, et al. Development of a provisional core domain set for polymyalgia rheumatica: Report from the OMERACT 12 Polymyalgia Rheumatica Working Group. *J Rheumatol*. 2016;43(1):182–6.
3. Partington R, Helliwell T, Muller S, Abdul Sultan A, Mallen C. Comorbidities in polymyalgia rheumatica: a systematic review. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2018;20(1).
4. Gran JT, Myklebust G, Wilsgaard T, Jacobsen BK. Survival in polymyalgia rheumatica and temporal arteritis: a study of 398 cases and matched population controls. *Rheumatology* [Internet]. 2001 Nov;40(11):1238–42. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11709607>

5. Myklebust G, Wilsgaard T, Jacobsen BK, Gran JT. Causes of death in polymyalgia rheumatica. A prospective longitudinal study of 315 cases and matched population controls. *Scand J Rheumatol*. 2003;32(1):38–41.
6. Doran MF, Crowson CS, O’Fallon WM, Hunder GG, Gabriel SE. Trends in the incidence of polymyalgia rheumatica over a 30 year period in Olmsted County, Minnesota, USA. *J Rheumatol*. 2002;29(8):1694–7.
7. Hippisley-Cox J, Vinogradova Y. Trends in consultation rates in general practice 1995/1996 to 2008/2009: analysis of the QResearch database. *London QResearch Inf Cent Heal Soc care*. 2009;1–24.
8. Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, Forbes H, Mathur R, Staa T van, et al. Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44(3):827–36.
9. Dedman D, Ghosh R, Eaton S, Boggan R, Strongman H, Campbell J, et al. ONS death registration data and CPRD primary care data Documentation (Set 16). 2018.
10. Paskins Z, Whittle R, Sultan AA, Muller S, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Helliwell T, et al. Risk of fracture among patients with polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis: a population-based study. *BMC Med*. 2018;16(4):1–9.
11. Williams T, Van Staa T, Puri S, Eaton S. Recent advances in the utility and use of the General Practice Research Database as an example of a UK Primary Care Data resource. *Ther Adv Drug Saf*. 2012;3(2):89–99.
12. Yates M, Graham K, Watts R, MacGregor A. The prevalence of giant cell arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica in a UK primary care population. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2016;17:285.
13. Smeeth L, Cook C, Hall a J. Incidence of diagnosed polymyalgia rheumatica and temporal arteritis in the United Kingdom, 1990-2001. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2006;65(8):1093–8.
14. Lakkireddy D, Gowda M, Murray C, Basarakodu K, Vacek J. Death certificate completion: how well are physicians trained and are cardiovascular causes overstated? *Am J Med*. 2004;117(7):492–8.

- Accepted Article
15. Office for National Statistics. Death Certification Reform : A Case Study on the Potential Impact on Mortality. *Off Natl Stat.* 2012;1–17.
 16. Partington R, Muller S, Mallen CD, Abdul Sultan A, Helliwell T. Comorbidities in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica prior to and following diagnosis: A case control and cohort study. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* [Internet]. 2020;50(4):663–72. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2020.05.003>
 17. Raheel S, Shbeeb I, Crowson CS, Matteson EL. Epidemiology of Polymyalgia Rheumatica 2000–2014 and Examination of Incidence and Survival Trends Over 45 Years: A Population-Based Study. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2017;69(8):1282–5.

Table 1: Demographic information of included patients

	Total	Exposed	Unexposed
Age			
Mean (SD)	73.6 (8.9)	73.8 (9.1)	73.5 (8.9)
Sex (%)			
Male	34,559 (32.4)	6,273 (33.1)	28,286 (32.2)
Female	72,185 (67.6)	12,670 (66.9)	59,515 (67.8)
Region (%)			
North East	1,740 (1.6)	306 (1.6)	1,434 (1.6)
North West	13,428 (12.6)	2,366 (12.5)	11,062 (12.6)
Yorkshire & the Humber	3,574 (3.4)	639 (3.4)	2,935 (3.3)
East Midlands	2,853 (2.7)	499 (2.6)	2,354 (2.7)
West Midlands	15,032 (14.1)	2,609 (13.8)	12,423 (14.1)
East of England	15,320 (14.4)	2,674 (14.1)	12,646 (14.4)
South West	17,137 (16.1)	3,042 (16.1)	14,095 (16.1)
South Central	14,075 (13.2)	2,517 (13.3)	11,558 (13.2)
London	7,732 (7.2)	1,456 (7.7)	6,276 (7.1)
South East Coast	15,853 (14.9)	2,835 (15.0)	13,018 (14.8)
BMI category			
Normal(18.5-24.9)	34,552 (32.4)	6,052 (31.9)	28,500 (32.5)
Underweight (<18.5)	1,986 (1.9)	0,221 (1.2)	1,765 (2)
Overweight (25-29.9)	36,515 (34.2)	6,923 (36.5)	29,592 (33.7)
Obese (>=30)	21,521 (20.2)	4,132 (21.8)	17,389 (19.8)
Missing	12,170 (11.4)	1,615 (8.5)	10,555 (12)
Smoking			
Non-smoker	90,111 (84.4)	16,582 (87.5)	73,529 (83.8)
Smoker	11,823 (11.1)	1,827 (9.6)	9,996 (11.4)
Missing	4,810 (4.5)	0,534 (2.8)	4,276 (4.9)
Alcohol			
Never / no current	21,546 (20.2)	3,779 (19.9)	17,767 (20.2)
<10 units per week	55,927 (52.4)	10,369 (54.7)	45,558 (51.9)

	Total	Exposed	Unexposed
10 or more units per week	16,133 (15.1)	2,942 (15.5)	13,191 (15)
Missing	13,138 (12.3)	1,853 (9.8)	11,285 (12.9)
Follow up (years)			
Mean (SD)	7.9 (4.6)	8.0 (4.4)	7.9 (4.6)

Table 2: Number, proportion and cause of death (in order of frequency) in patients with and without PMR

Exposed	N (%)	Unexposed	N (%)
Total number of deaths	6,046 (31.9)	Total number of deaths	27,224 (31.0)
Rate per 1,000 patient years (95% CI)	39.9 (38.9, 41)	Rate per 1,000 patient years (95% CI)	39.2 (38.7, 40)
Mortality rate ratio (95% CI)		1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	
Causes of death			
1 Chronic ischaemic heart disease	464 (7.7)	Chronic ischaemic heart disease	1,810 (6.7)
2 Acute myocardial infarction	409 (6.8)	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1,652 (6.1)
3 Chronic obstructive	327 (5.4)	Acute myocardial	1,651 (6.1)

	Exposed	N (%)	Unexposed	N (%)
	pulmonary disease		infarction	
4	Malignant neoplasm: Bronchus or lung	316 (5.2)	Malignant neoplasm: Bronchus or lung	1,631 (6.0)
5	Bronchopneumonia	258 (4.3)	Bronchopneumonia	989 (3.6)
6	Pneumonia	243 (4.0)	Atherosclerotic heart disease	967 (3.6)
7	Atherosclerotic heart disease	187 (3.1)	Pneumonia	889 (3.3)
8	Vascular dementia	123 (2.0)	Alzheimer disease	790 (2.9)
9	Urinary tract infection	115 (1.9)	Malignant neoplasm: Breast	709 (2.6)
10	Malignant neoplasm: Pancreas	109 (1.8)	Vascular dementia	604 (2.2)
11	Cerebrovascular disease	108 (1.8)	Cerebrovascular disease	585 (2.2)
12	Alzheimer disease	106 (1.8)	Malignant neoplasm without specification	460 (1.7)
13	Malignant neoplasm without specification.	103 (1.7)	Malignant neoplasm: Pancreas	447 (1.6)
14	Malignant neoplasm: Breast	99 (1.6)	Urinary tract infection	416 (1.5)
15	Other interstitial pulmonary diseases	93 (1.5)	Malignant neoplasm: Colon	410 (1.5)
16	Congestive heart failure	84 (1.4)	Malignant neoplasm: Oesophagus	371 (1.4)
17	Malignant neoplasm: Colon	84 (1.4)	Malignant neoplasm: Bladder	312 (1.2)
18	Aortic (valve) stenosis	71 (1.2)	Congestive heart failure	309 (1.1)
19	Cerebral infarction	68 (1.1)	Intracerebral haemorrhage	302 (1.1)

Exposed	N (%)	Unexposed	N (%)
20 Malignant neoplasm: oesophagus	67 (1.1)	Other respiratory disorders	291 (1.1)
Others	2,612 (43.8)	Others	11,629 (41.6)

Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier survival plot of patients with and without PMR

