Published in final edited form as: *Molecules.* ; 20(4): 6167–6180. doi:10.3390/molecules20046167.

Modular Synthesis of Heparin-Related Tetra-, Hexa- and Octasaccharides with Differential *O*-6 Protections: Programming for Regiodefined 6-*O*-Modifications

Marek Baráth^{1,†}, Steen U. Hansen^{#1}, Charlotte E. Dalton^{#1}, Gordon C. Jayson², Gavin J. Miller^{1,§}, and John M. Gardiner^{1,*}

¹Manchester Institute of Biotechnology and the School of Chemistry, 131 Princess Street, The University of Manchester, Manchester M1 7DN, UK

²Institute of Cancer Sciences, Christie Hospital and University of Manchester, Wilmslow Road, Manchester M20 4BX, UK

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Heparin and heparan sulphate (H/HS) are important members of the glycosaminoglycan family of sugars that regulate a substantial number of biological processes. Such biological promiscuity is underpinned by hetereogeneity in their molecular structure. The degree of *O*-sulfation, particularly at the 6-position of constituent p-GlcN units, is believed to play a role in modulating the effects of such sequences. Synthetic chemistry is essential to be able to extend the diversity of HS-like fragments with defined molecular structure, and particularly to deconvolute the biological significance of modifications at O6. Here we report a synthetic approach to a small matrix of protected heparin-type oligosaccharides, containing orthogonal p-GlcN *O*-6 protecting groups at programmed positions along the chain, facilitating access towards programmed modifications at specific sites, relevant to sulfation or future mimetics.

© 2015 by the authors

Supplementary Materials

Conflicts of Interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; gardiner@manchester.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-0161-306-4530.

[†]Present address: Institute of Chemistry, Centre of Glycomics, Dubravska Cesta 9, Bratislava 845 38, Slovakia.

[§]Present address: School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK. Author Contributions

M.B. performed the syntheses, contributed to experimental writing, data collection and analyses. C.E.D. and G.J.M. contributed additional data and analysis to experimental. G.J.M. collated and produced the Supplementary data file. S.U.H. contributed to experimental data and collation. G.J.M. and J.M.G. wrote the manuscript and edited the S.I. data. G.C.J. and J.M.G. designed the research. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Sample Availability: For availability of samples of compounds contact the corresponding author.

Supplementary materials (1D and 2D NMR). Supplementary materials can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/20/04/6167/s1.

heparin; oligosaccharide; iduronate; GAG mimetic

1. Introduction

Heparin and heparan sulphate (H/HS) are ubiquitous biological oligosaccharides which regulate many important signalling processes, especially within the extracellular matrix [1–5]. H/HS oligosaccharides consist of repeating glucosamine and uronic acid monomers and are highly polydisperse, varying not only in the backbone constitution (L-iduronic and/or D-glucuronic acid residues) and length (including the biologically effective region), but also in a variety of sulfation patterns. Sulfation is obligatory on *O*-2, where L-IdoA is installed, but can be present on combinations of glucosamine *N* and *O*-6 sites. In native sequences, sulfation is typically organized into high- or lower-sulfation domains, and, along with the ratio of L-IdoA/D-GlcA, correlates to the heparin/heparan sulfate designation. Sequences which contain L-iduronic acid have attracted particular interest due to the evidence of many key bio-effector sequences being L-IdoA enriched (higher-sulphated, L-idoA heparin-like structure shown in Figure 1). Understanding structure/function relationships of H/HS chains that modulate such processes is a major challenge in chemical biology.

The extensive microhetereogeneity of these oligosaccharides ensures that methods for synthesis of diverse, defined H/HS fragments are central to revealing structure-functional information in their chemical biology [6,7] and synthetic interest in solution, solid phase and utilizing enzymatic methods has been expanding [8–21].

Homogenous synthetic O-6-sulfation levels have been shown to affect the binding preferences of GAGs to target proteins [7,22,23], and recent examples have evidenced that even disaccharides can exhibit differential recognition based on sulfation patterns [24,25]. It is not yet clear how such binding effects translate to biological effects, nor how biological effects may be affected by defined regioselectivity of O-6 sulfation. There is, however, significant evidence that the overall level of glucosamine-6-O-sulfation, or de-6-O-sulfation, of p-GlcN, (Figure 1) is of central importance to effecting differential H/HS biological signalling events mediated by several HS-dependent cytokines (including CXCL8 [26,27], CXCL12 [28], FGF2 [29–31] and VEGF [28,30,31]). Many biological studies have employed enzymatically-generated heterogeneous materials as such native isolation only provides access to heterogeneous mixtures, differentiated by average sulfation levels. Interest in medium length structurally-defined heparanoids has been emboldened by the success of fondaparinux and activity of recently-reported longer synthetic mixed heparins [32]. This strongly suggests that evaluating programmed site-specific sulfation diversity is a high value target area. More ready availability of O-6 regiochemically differentiated fragments to underpin access towards such systems is required, and of particular utility would be medium length targets where terminal sulfate clustering or separation could be delivered (Figure 2).

To access such a series of mono or bis-terminus-modified oligosaccharides requires application of two types of disaccharide donor module, bearing orthogonal protections of

O-6 groups to provide correlation to sites for ultimate 6-*O*-de-sulfation or 6-*O*-sulfation respectively, in any target H/HS sequences (Figure 3).

This, combined with a single reducing terminal disaccharide module could then be employed to access a ladder of all mono- and bis-terminal unit differentiated oligosaccharides.

2. Results and Discussion

As part of a program to develop efficient and scalable access to a variety of H/HS oligosaccharide fragments, we have developed effective methods to access heparin-like per-6-*O*-sufated [33] and per-6-*O*-desulfated [30,34,35] species. These syntheses underscore the efficacy of $_{D}$ -GlcN- $_{L}$ -IdoA-SPh donors **1** and **2** as efficient glycosyl building blocks in the construction of homogeneously *O*-6-derivatized oligomers up to dodecasaccharide. The $_{D}$ -GlcN 6-OH protecting groups (OBn or OAc) determine the fate of *O*-6 (either OBn = 6-OH or OAc = 6-OS), through common sulfation and deprotection steps (Figure 4). Here we extend this work to employ a modular approach to prepare protected H/HS-like precursor fragments containing regio-differentiated protections of sequence-specific O-6 sites, relevant to different H/HS fragments with programmed site-specific sulfations, or for the introduction of other region-defined mimetics. We demonstrate application of this to deliver five novel single-terminal and double-terminal differentiated tetra-, hexa- and octasaccharides across this matrix.

The homologation matrix for all oligosaccharides thus began with OMe-capped disaccharide **3**, the gram scale synthesis of which we have previously reported [36]. Ceric ammonium nitrate mediated removal of the PMB group provided the required novel disaccharide acceptor **4** in high yield (Scheme 1).

This material embeds *O*-6 protection in the reducing terminal disaccharide unit and thus allies deprotection and sulfation of D-GlcN *O*-6 in any derived oligosaccharide targets (in addition to the obligatory *O*-2 sulfation of the L-iduronic acid residue), whilst enabling potential controlled modification for new regiodefined mimetics.

Disaccharide **4** was then employed to illustrate parallel synthesis of tetra- and hexasaccharides, towards a target octasaccharide with double terminal *O*-6 acylated units. The first cycle of iteration illustrated use of acceptor **4** in two separate glycosylation reactions, firstly with donor module **1** (to install a second 6-OAc group) and secondly with donor **2** (to install a 6-OBn protecting group). Both glycosylations proceeded in good to excellent yields, affording the two new alpha-linked tetrasaccharides **5** and **6** in 82% and 77% yields, respectively.

To progress towards the next homologation targets with the same alternatives of nonreducing 6-OAc or 6-OBn units, tetrasaccharide **5** was subjected to the same two parallel glycosylations, again using either of the two disaccharide donor modules, **1** or **2**. Thus, **5** was first deprotected at the non-reducing end 4-position with CAN and then separately glycosylated with **1** and **2** to yield hexasaccharides **7** and **8** in very good to excellent yields (70% for **7**, 96% for **8**). This afforded the second tier of species with different *O*-6

protecting group patterns, encoding accessibility to H/HS species with D-GlcN 6OS-6OH-6OS or 6OH-6OH-6OS sulfations.

Finally, the mono-6-OAc hexasaccharide **8** was elaborated by a further cycle of iteration to octasaccharide **9**, providing a third oligosaccharide length with differentiated *O*-6 protecting groups encoding for ultimate sulfation at both the non-reducing and reducing termini. This iteration proceeded with similar efficiency to the prior cycles, with **8** deprotected via the established conditions in 78% yield and the resulting acceptor hexasaccharide glycosylated with iterative donor **1** to afford **9** in 66% yield (Scheme 2).

Of note during this final iteration was the need to raise the number of molar equivalents of glycosylation activator, NIS, from 5 (in prior iterations), to 7 for formation of **9**. This resulted in effective glycosylation, but also a concomitant iodination of the electron rich PMB ring, as observed by ESI-MS (m/z = 1612.0377, 100%, z = 2 and no evidence of the non-iodinated species). This is a useful methodological note for SPh/NIS mediated glycosylations of substrates containing electron rich aromatic rings, but does not detract from the overall utility of the method, as the iodinated PMB group would necessarily be subsequently removed, either for any further iteration, or under final oligosaccharide deprotection procedures.

During these iterative steps both the disaccharide donors **1** or **2**, delivered glycosylation yields close to or above 70%, though in general the 6-OAc systems performed to give slightly lower yields than the 6-OBn analogues. This result is in keeping with our [33,35] and others [19,20] previously established routes using 6-*O*-ether/acetal *vs.* 6-*O*-ester type disaccharide building blocks to effect oligosaccharide syntheses.

For hexa- and octasacccharide systems **7** and **9**, ¹H-NMR data indicated that all the Liduronate unit conformations are dependent on location in the sequence. The ³ $J_{\text{H1-H2}}$ coupling constants are <6.0 Hz for all L-IdoA residues of **7** and **9** (Figure 5). Figure 5 illustrates a clear resolution of each constituent L-IdoA H₁ signal allowing the result of an iterative oligosaccharide homologation process (*i.e.*, transformation of **7** to **9**) to be readily correlated with such clear spectral dispersion. This dispersion also facilitates conformational analysis, the differential couplings for the reducing terminal L-IdoA H₁ compared to the internal and non-reducing terminal L-IdoA H₁ signals is consistent with the former being predominantly ¹C₄, whilst the larger couplings for the remaining L-IdoA units would be consistent with more significantly contributions from the ²S₀ skew-boat [14,37].

3. Experimental Section

General Information

Reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, UK) or Alfa Aesar (Heysham, UK). All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen, unless mentioned otherwise. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advance Ultrashield DPX 400 (400 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz on Bruker 300 and 400 DPX spectrometer. Melting points were obtained using a Stuart Scientific SMP1 melting point apparatus. Low-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Micro Mass Trio

200 spectrometer (Wilmslow, UK) while high-resolution mass spectra were measured on a Kratos Concept IS spectrometer (Manchester, UK). Elemental analyses were performed using a Thermo Flash 2000 Organic Elemental Analyzer (ThermoFisher scientific, Warrington, UK) for CHN analysis. Flash chromatography was conducted using Merck (Nottingham, UK) silica gel 60 (particle size 40–60 μ m). Analytical TLC were performed on Merck 60 F₂₅₄ aluminium-backed plates containing a 254 nm fluorescent indicator. Optical rotations were measured at 589 nm in a 1 dm cell using an Optical Activity AA1000 polarimeter.

Methyl 6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -p-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-Obenzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranoside) uronate (**4**). Ceric (IV) ammonium nitrate (770 mg, 1.4 mmol) was added to a solution of **3** (600 mg, 0.7 mmol) in acetonitrile and water (17 mL, 8:1, ν/ν). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature and then diluted with DCM (150 mL), washed with saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and saturated aqueous NaCl (50 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed *in vacuo*. The product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:3), yielding **4** (470 mg,

0.64 mmol, 91%) as a foam. R_f 0.31 (EtOAc/hexane 2:3); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +8.6$ (c = 0.26, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 8.18–8.15 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.52–7.17 (m, 13H, ArH), 5.14–5.13 (brs, 1H, H_{2IdoA}), 5.11–5.10 (brs, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 4.94 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.86 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H 5_{IdoA}), 4.77 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.67 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, H_{1GlcN}), 4.64 (dd, J = 12.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H, H_{6aGlcN}), 4.29 (d, 1H, J = 10.6 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.17 (dd, J = 12.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H, H_{6bGlcN}), 4.16–4.12 (m, 1H, H_{4IdoA}), 4.03–4.00 (m, 3H, CH₂Ph, H_{3IdoA}), 3.46 (dt, J = 10.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H, H_{5GlcN}), 3.81 (s, 3H, C(O)OCH₃), 3.51 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.45 (dd, J = 10.0, 8.8 Hz, 1H, H_{4GlcN}), 2.10 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 172.3, 169.8, 165.6, 137.9, 137.5, 133.5, 130.1, 129.7, 128.9, 128.6, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 100.5, 99.7, 79.3, 76.0, 75.0, 72.8, 72.6, 71.3, 70.4, 67.9, 67.1, 63.3, 62.7, 56.4, 52.5, 21.0; HRMS (FT MS NSI⁺) m/z calcd for C₃₇H₄₅N₄O₁₃ [M+NH₄]⁺ 753.2978, found 753.2980.

Methyl (2-azido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-4-O-p-methoxybenzyl- α -p-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-6-O-acetyl-2azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -p-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranoside) uronate (**5**). Acceptor **4** (770 mg, 1.05 mmol) and donor **2** (1.4 g, 1.42 mmol) were mixed together, evaporated from dry toluene (3 × 20 mL) and dried under high vacuum for 1 h. The foam was dissolved in dry DCM (20 mL) and powdered molecular sieves (4 Å, 650 mg) added. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and NIS (1.18 g, 5.25 mmol) added. The mixture was stirred for a further 15 min at this temperature and a catalytic amount (small spatula tip) of AgOTf was then added. The mixture was kept under nitrogen at 0 °C for another 30 min and was then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL, 1:1, *v*/*v*). The suspension was filtered through Celite[®], the phases separated and the organic layer washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (10 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed *in vacuo*. The mixture was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4 \rightarrow 2:3), yielding **5** (1.3 g, 0.81 mmol, 77%). *R*_f 0.31 (EtOAc/hexane 1:2); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz;

CDCl₃) δ 8.14–8.09 (m, 4H, Bz-Ar*H*), 7.47–7.13 (m, 33H, Ar*H*), 7.07 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.7 Hz, PMB), 6.84 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.7 Hz, PMB), 5.46 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.8 Hz, H_{1'IdoA}), 5.18–5.16 (m, 1H, H_{2'IdoA}), 5.07 (brs, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 5.05 (brs, 1H, H_{2IdoA}), 4.99 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.5 Hz, H_{1GlcN}), 4.91 (d, 1H, *J* = 11.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.78–4.74 (m, 4H, H_{5'IdoA}, H_{5IdoA}, 2 × CH₂Ph), 4.67–4.36 (m, 10H, H_{1GlcN}, H_{4'IdoA}, H_{4'IdoA}, 7 × CH₂Ph), 4.29–4.27 (m, 2H, CH₂Ph), 4.13–4.06 (m, 2H, H_{3'IdoA}, H_{3IdoA}), 3.93–3.92 (m, 1H, H_{5'GlcN}), 3.88–3.80 (s, 3H, PMBOCH₃), 3.76–3.59 (m, 12H, H_{3'GlcN}, H_{3'GlcN}, H_{4'GlcN}, H_{4'GlcN}, H_{5GlcN}, 2 × H_{6'GlcN}, 2 × H_{6GlcN}, OCH₃), 3.47 (s, 6H, C(O)OCH₃), 3.24 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.1, 3.6 Hz, H_{2'GlcN}), 3.19–3.15 (m, 1H, H_{2GlcN}), 2.09 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 170.8, 169.7, 169.6, 169.5, 165.7, 165.3, 159.4, 137.9, 137.4, 133.5, 132.5, 130.5, 130.1, 130.0, 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.5, 113.8, 100.4, 99.4, 99.0, 98.6, 79.9, 78.7, 76.1, 75.8, 75.0, 74.8, 74.4, 74.1, 73.6, 72.6, 69.8, 68.2, 67.1, 63.6, 63.5, 56.3, 55.4, 52.3, 52.0, 20.8; HRMS (FT MS NSI⁺) *m*/*z* calcd for C₈₆H₉₄N₇O₂₅ [M+NH₄]⁺ 1624.6294, found 1624.6299.

Methyl (6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-4-O-p-methoxybenzyl- α -Dglucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-Obenzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranoside) uronate (6). Acceptor 4 (167 mg, 0.23 mmol) and donor 1 (286 mg, 0.31 mmol) were mixed together, evaporated from dry toluene (3 × 5 mL) and dried under high vacuum for 1 h. The foam was dissolved in dry DCM (5 mL) and powdered molecular sieves (4 Å, 125 mg) added. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and NIS (255 mg, 1.14 mmol) added. The mixture was stirred for a further 15 min at this temperature and a catalytic amount (small spatula tip) of AgOTf was then added. The mixture was kept under nitrogen at 0 °C for another 30 min and was then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL, 1:1, *v*/*v*). The suspension was filtered through Celite[®], the phases separated and the organic layer washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (10 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed *in vacuo*. The mixture was purified by column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc 7:1), yielding

6 (270 mg, 0.23 mmol, 77%) as a foam. R_f 0.13 (EtOAc/hexane 1:2); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -1.5$ (c = 0.31, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 8.10–8.05 (m, 4H, Bz-Ar*H*), 7.46–7.14 (m, 28H, Ar*H*), 6.84 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, PMB), 5.43 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, H_{1'IdoA}), 5.11 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H_{2'IdoA}), 5.03–5.02 (brs, 1H, H_{2IdoA}), 5.02–5.01 (brs, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 4.89–4.86 (m, 3H, H_{1GlcN}, 2 × CH₂Ph), 4.75 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H_{5IdoA}), 4.73–4.21 (m, 15H, H_{1'GlcN}, H_{5'IdoA}, H_{5'GlcN}, H_{6a'GlcN}, H_{6aGlcN}, H_{3'IdoA}, H_{4'IdoA}, 8 × CH₂Ph), 4.09–3.43 (m, 11H, H_{3GlcN}, H_{3'GlcN}, H_{4GlcN}, H_{5GlcN}, H_{6b'GlcN}, H_{6b'GlcN}, H_{3IdoA}, H_{4IdoA}, 2 × CH₂Ph), 3.77 (s, 3H, C(O)OCH₃), 3.59 (s, 3H, PMBOCH₃), 3.56 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.41 (s, 3H, C(O)OCH₃), 3.17 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H_{2GlcN}), 3.13 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H_{2'GlcN}), 2.06 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.94 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 170.8, 170.6, 169.6, 169.5, 165.6, 165.2, 159.5, 137.7, 137.6, 137.4, 137.2, 133.5, 133.5, 129.9, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 113.9, 100.4, 99.2, 99.1, 98.4, 80.0, 78.7, 77.4, 77.0, 75.9, 75.6, 75.4, 75.0, 74.7, 74.7, 74.6, 74.0, 72.4, 72.1, 70.4, 70.2, 70.1, 69.6, 68.0, 67.0, 63.6, 63.4, 62.2, 61.8, 56.2, 55.3, 52.3, 52.1, 20.9, 20.8; FT MS NSI⁺ m/z calcd for C₈₁H₉₀N₇O₂₆ [M+NH₄]⁺ 1576.5930, found 1576.5906.

Methyl (6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-4-O-p-methoxybenzyl-a-pglucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-azido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -(methyl 2-Obenzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2deoxy- α -p-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranoside) uronate (7). Ceric (IV) ammonium nitrate (818 mg, 1.50 mmol) was added to a solution of 5 (1.2 g, 0.75 mmol) in acetonitrile and water (22 mL, 8:1, v/v). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature, whereupon TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1:2) showed the reaction to be complete. The solution was diluted with DCM (150 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and saturated aqueous NaCl (50 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed *in vacuo*. The product was purified by column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc, 30:1), vielding the desired acceptor tetrasaccharide (600 mg, 0.40 mmol, 56%) which was used immediately in the next step. Rf = 0.21 (EtOAc/ hexane 1:2); HRMS (FT MS NSI⁺) m/z calcd for C₇₈H₈₂N₆O₂₄ [M+NH₄]⁺ 1504.5719, found 1504.5714. The above acceptor (238 mg, 0.16 mmol) and donor 1 (202 mg, 0.35 mmol) were mixed together, evaporated from dry toluene $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and dried under high vacuum for 1 h. The foam was dissolved in dry DCM (4 mL) and powdered molecular sieves (4 Å, 200 mg) added. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and NIS (180 mg, 0.80 mmol) added. The mixture was stirred for a further 15 min at this temperature and a catalytic amount (small spatula tip) of AgOTf was then added. The mixture was kept under nitrogen at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for another 30 min and was then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL, 1:1, ν/ν). The suspension was filtered through Celite®, the phases separated and the organic layer washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (10 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO4 and solvent removed in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 7:13) to yield 7 (260 mg, 0.11 mmol, 70%). $R_f = 0.1$ (EtOAc/hexane 1:2); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) & 8.08-8.02 (m, 4H, Bz-ArH), 7.89-7.86 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.50-6.94 (m, 46H, ArH), 6.81–6.79 (m, 2H, Ar*H*), 5.44 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.9 Hz, H_{1IdoA}), 5.40 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.8 Hz, H_{1IdoA}), 5.12–5.08 (m, 2H, H_{2IdoA}), 4.99 (brs, 1H, H_{2IdoA}), 4.95 (brs, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 4.89 (d, 1H, J = 3.8 Hz, H_{1GlcN}), 4.84–4.82 (m, 2H, H_{1GlcN} , CH_2Ar), 4.74–4.66 (m, 7H, H_{5IdoA} , 6 × CH₂Ar), 4.63–4.59 (m, 3H, CH₂Ar, 2 × H_{5IdoA}), 4.47–4.46 (m, 3H, 2 × CH2Ar, H_{1GlcN}), 4.41 (d, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz, H_{4IdoA}), 4.38–4.37 (m, 1H, H_{4IdoA}), 4.34 (s, 1H, H_{4IdoA}), 4.29–3.87 (m, 11H, 6 × H_{6GlcN}, 5 × CH₂Ar), 3.84–3.81 (m, 3H, H_{3doA}), 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.72–3.66 (m, 4H, CH₂Ar, H_{5GlcN} × 3), 3.62–3.52 (m, 5H, 3 × H_{4GlcN}, 2 × H_{3GlcN}), 3.48 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.42 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.37-3.35 (m, 4H, OCH₃, H₃GlcN), 3.24 (dd, 1H, J = 10.3, 3.7 Hz, H_{2-GlcN}), 3.15 (dd, 1H, J = 10.3, 3.4 Hz, H_{2-GlcN}), 3.09 (dd, 1H, J = 10.2, 3.6 Hz, H_{2-GlcN}), 2.02 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.90 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) & 170.8, 170.6, 169.7, 169.5, 169.2, 165.6, 165.3, 165.2, 159.5, 137.8, 137.7, 137.6, 137.4, 137.3, 137.3, 133.6, 133.5, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 113.9, 100.4, 99.5, 99.2, 98.7, 98.3, 98.0, 80.0, 78.3, 78.2, 77.4, 77.2, 77.0, 76.4, 75.9, 75.8, 75.4, 75.3, 75.2, 75.0, 74.6, 74.4, 74.3, 74.2, 73.7, 73.6, 72.4, 72.3, 71.7, 71.5, 71.2, 70.4, 70.1, 70.0, 69.7, 67.9, 67.5, 67.0, 63.5, 63.4, 63.1, 62.3, 61.7, 56.5, 56.2, 55.4, 52.2, 52.1, 51.7, 29.7, 29.7, 20.9, 20.8; HRMS (FT MS NSI⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{122}H_{132}N_{11}O_{37}$ [M+2NH₄]²⁺ 1173.4522, found 1173.4522.

Methyl (2-azido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-4-O-p-methoxybenzyl-a-p-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl-L-idopyranosyl) uronate)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -2-azido-3,6-di-Obenzyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -Lidopyranosyl) uronate)-(1→4)-6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-α-p-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranoside) uronate (8). See 7 for PMB deprotection of 5. Acceptor (320 mg, 0.22 mmol) and donor 2 (295 mg, 0.30 mmol) were mixed together, evaporated from dry toluene $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and dried under high vacuum for 1 h. The foam was dissolved in dry DCM (6 mL) and powdered molecular sieves (4 Å, 250 mg) added. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and NIS (240 mg, 1.07 mmol) added. The mixture was stirred for a further 15 min at this temperature and a catalytic amount (small spatula tip) of AgOTf was then added. The mixture was kept under nitrogen at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for another 30 min and was then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL, 1:1, v/v). The suspension was filtered through Celite[®], the phases separated and the organic layer washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (10 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7), to yield 8 (487 mg, 0.21 mmol, 96%). $R_f = 0.48$ (EtOAc/hexane 2:3); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 8.15–8.09 (m, 4H, Bz-ArH), 7.95–7.93 (m, 2H, Bz-ArH), 7.58–7.27 (m, 33H, ArH), 7.23–7.19 (m, 12H, ArH), 7.10–7.03 (m, 6H, ArH), 6.84–6.82 (m, 2H, PMB), 5.52–5.51 (m, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 5.47 (d, 1H, *J* = 6.0 Hz, H_{1IdoA}), 5.20–5.17 (m, 2H, H_{2IdoA}), 5.07 (brs, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 5.02 (brs, 1H, H_{2IdoA}), 4.98–4.95 (m, 2H, H_{1GlcN}), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.81–4.20 (m, 24H, H_{1GlcN}, 3 × H_{5IdoA}, H_{3IdoA}, 6 × H_{6GlcN}, 11 × CH₂Ph), 4.08–4.01 (m, 4H, 3 × 10.01 m, 200 m) $\times 10^{-10}$ (m, 24H, H_{1GlcN}, 3 × H_{5IdoA}, H_{3IdoA}, 6 × H_{6GlcN}, 11 × CH₂Ph), 4.08–4.01 (m, 4H, 3 × 10.01 m) $\times 10^{-10}$ (m, 24H, H_{4IdoA} , CH_2Ph), 3.96–3.55 (m, 18H, 5 × CH_2Ph , H_{3GlcN} , 3 × H_{4GlcN} , 3 × H_{5GlcN} , C(O)OCH₃, PMBOCH₃), 3.46–3.37 (m, 11H, 2 × C(O)OCH₃, OCH₃, 2 × H_{3GlcN}), 3.33– 3.29 (m, 1H, H_{2GlcN}), 3.27–3.23 (m, 1H, H_{2GlcN}), 3.16 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.2, 3.6 Hz, H_{2GlcN}), 2.10–2.07 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 170.7, 169.7, 169.5, 169.2, 165.6, 165.2, 137.9, 137.8, 137.7, 137.4, 137.3, 137.2, 133.5, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 127.3, 113.7, 100.3, 99.6, 99.1, 98.7, 98.2, 98.0, 79.8, 78.3, 75.9, 75.7, 75.2, 74.9, 74.6, 74.5, 74.4, 74.3, 74.2, 73.6, 73.5, 72.4, 71.8, 71.7, 71.4, 71.3, 70.7, 69.7, 67.9, 67.7, 67.1, 63.4, 63.3, 61.6, 56.2, 55.3, 52.1, 51.9, 51.7, 20.9; HRMS (FT MS NSI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₂₇H₁₃₉N₁₁O₃₆ [M+2NH₄]²⁺ 1197.4704, found 1197.4706.

Methyl (6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-4-O-p-methoxy-m-iodobenzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2-azido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2-azido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranosyl) uronate)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-6-O-acetyl-2-azido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-(methyl 2-O-benzoyl-3-O-benzyl- α -L-idopyranoside) uronate (**9**). Ceric (IV) ammonium nitrate (210 mg, 0.38 mmol) was added to a solution of **8** (450 mg, 0.19 mmol) in acetonitrile and water (4 mL, 8:1, ν/ν). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature, whereupon TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 2:3) showed the reaction to be complete. The solution was diluted with DCM (100 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and saturated aqueous NaCl (50 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed *in*

vacuo. The product was purified by column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc, 12:1), yielding the desired acceptor hexasaccharide (330 mg, 0.35 mmol, 78%) which was used immediately in the next step. $R_f = 0.38$ (EtOAc/hexane, 2:3); HRMS (FT MS NSI⁺) m/zcalcd for $C_{119}H_{131}N_{11}O_{35}$ [M+2NH₄]²⁺ 1137.4416, found 1137.4416. The above acceptor (294 mg, 0.13 mmol) and donor 1 (166 mg, 0.18 mmol) were mixed together, evaporated from dry toluene $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and dried under high vacuum for 1 h. The foam was dissolved in dry DCM (4 mL) and powdered molecular sieves (4 Å, 200 mg) added. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and NIS (200 mg, 0.89 mmol) added. The mixture was stirred for a further 15 min at this temperature and a catalytic amount (small spatula tip) of AgOTf was then added. The mixture was kept under nitrogen at 0 °C for another 30 min and was then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ and saturated aqueous Na_HCO₃ (15 mL, 1:1, ν/ν). The suspension was filtered through Celite[®], the phases separated and the organic layer washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (10 mL). The organic phase was then dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 2:7), to yield 9 (265 mg, 0.09 mmol, 66%). $R_f = 0.40$ (EtOAc/hexane 2:3); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.02 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.89 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.84 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.56 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.52–6.88 (m, 68H, ArH), 6.68 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.47 (d, J = 1.05.1 Hz, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 5.43 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 5.38 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 5.12–5.07 (m, 3H, H_{2IdoA}), 4.98 (s, 1H, H_{2IdoA}), 4.94 (s, 1H, H_{1IdoA}), 4.88–4.80 (m, 4H, 3 \times H_{1GlcN}, CH₂Ar), 4.73–4.58 (m, 12H, 11 × CH₂Ar, H_{5IdoA}), 4.51–4.11 (m, 18H, H_{1GlcN}, 4 × H_{4IdoA} , 3 × H_{5IdoA} , 10 × CH_2Ar), 3.99–3.93 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{3IdoA}), 3.86–3.66 (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{6-GlcN} 4 × H_{6-GlcN} (m, 6H, 2 × H_{6-GlcN}) 9H, 6 × H_{6-GlcN}, OCH₃), 3.56–3.21 (m, 29H, 4 × H_{5-GlcN}, 4 × H_{3-GlcN}, 4 × H_{4-GlcN}, 5 × OCH₃, 2 × H_{2-GlcN}), 3.14 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H_{2-GlcN}), 3.07 (dd, J = 10.2, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H_{2-GlcN}), 2.01 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃), 1.90 (s, 3H, C(O)CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ 177.3, 170.8, 170.5, 169.7, 169.5, 169.3, 169.2, 165.5, 165.2, 165.2, 157.9, 139.3, 137.8, 137.8, 137.7, 137.6, 137.5, 137.3, 133.6, 133.5, 131.9, 130.0, 129.9, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 129.2, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 110.7, 100.3, 99.5, 99.1, 98.7, 98.3, 98.0, 97.9, 80.0, 78.2, 78.02, 77.4, 77.0, 76.5, 75.9, 75.9, 75.7, 75.4, 75.2, 75.0, 74.9, 74.6, 74.5, 74.4, 74.2, 73.7, 73.6, 73.6, 72.4, 72.2, 71.8, 71.4, 70.6, 70.0, 69.7, 69.4, 67.8, 67.5, 67.3, 66.9, 63.5, 63.3, 63.2, 62.8, 62.1, 61.6, 56.4, 56.3, 56.2, 55.3, 52.2, 52.1, 51.7, 51.6, 29.8, 29.6; HRMS (FT MS NSI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₆₃H₁₇₅N₁₄O₄₈I [M+2NH₄]²⁺ 1,612.0375, found 1,612.0377.

4. Conclusions

A modular synthetic access to differentially protected H/HS-like oligosaccharides is demonstrated using two p-GlcN-L-IdoA modules with differentiated p-GlcN *O*-6 protecting groups, suitable for ultimate installation of either a 6-OH or 6-OS moiety. This is applied to generate a ladder of tetrasaccharide, hexasaccharide and octasaccharide systems which retain a common reducing end 6-OAc, either as the only acylated *O*-6, or combined with double-terminal units to provide oligosaccharides withterminal p-GlcN units both bearing *O*-6 acylations. High stereochemical integrity in synthesis is evidenced by NMR spectra, which allow ready comparisons of differentiated L-IdoA H-1 across the series. This approach

should facilitate wider access to medium length heparin-like oligosaccharides with ready programming of site-specific changes at *O*-6 sites along different backbones.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The CRUK [C2075/A9106], MRC [G0601746 and G902173] are thanked for project grant funding. EPSRC for NMR instrumentation (GR/L52246) and the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service, Swansea, are also thanked for mass spectroscopic analyses.

References

- Casu B, Naggi A, Torri G. Heparin-derived heparan sulfate mimics to modulate heparan sulfateprotein interaction in inflammation and cancer. Matrix Biol. 2010; 29:442–452. [PubMed: 20416374]
- Bishop J, Schuksz M, Esko JD. Heparan sulphate proteoglycans fine-tune mammalian physiology. Nature. 2007; 446:1030–1037. [PubMed: 17460664]
- Seeberger PH, Werz B. Synthesis and medical applications of oligosaccharides. Nature. 2007; 446:1046–1051. [PubMed: 17460666]
- Zulueta MML, Lin S-Y, Hu Y-P, Hung S-C. Synthetic heparin and heparan sulfate oligosaccharides and their protein interactions. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2013; 17:1023–1029. [PubMed: 24182748]
- Lindahl U, Kjellén L. Pathophysiology of heparan sulphate: Many diseases, few drugs. J. Int. Med. 2013; 273:555–571.
- De Paz J-L, Moseman A, Noti C, Polito L, von Andrian UH, Seeberger PH. Profiling Heparin-Chemokine Interactions Using Synthetic Tools. ACS Chem. Biol. 2007; 2:735–744. [PubMed: 18030990]
- Noti C, Seeberger PH. Chemical Approaches to Define the Structure-Activity Relationship of Heparin-like Glycosaminoglycans. Chem. Biol. 2005; 12:731–756. [PubMed: 16039522]
- Dulaney SB, Huang X. Strategies in Synthesis of Heparin/Heparan Sulfate Oligosaccharides. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 2012; 67:95–136. [PubMed: 22794183]
- Zong C, Venot A, Dhamale O, Boons G-J. Fluorous Supported Modular Synthesis of Heparan Sulfate Oligosaccharides. Org. Lett. 2013; 15:342–345. [PubMed: 23293947]
- Guedes N, Czechura P, Echeverria B, Ruiz A, Michelena O, Martin-Lomas M, Reichardt N-C. Toward the Solid-Phase Synthesis of Heparan Sulfate Oligosaccharides: Evaluation of Iduronic Acid and Idose Building Blocks. J. Org. Chem. 2013; 78:6911–6934. [PubMed: 23786303]
- Xu Y, Masuko S, Takieddin M, Xu H, Liu R, Jing J, Mousa SA, Linhardt RJ, Liu J. Chemoenzymatic Synthesis of Homogeneous Ultralow Molecular Weight Heparins. Science. 2011; 334:498–501. [PubMed: 22034431]
- Codée JDC, Stubba B, Schiattarella M, Overkleeft HS, van Boeckel CAA, van Boom JH, van der Marel GAA. Modular Strategy Toward the Synthesis of Heparin-like Oligosaccharides Using Monomeric Building Blocks in a Sequential Glycosylation Strategy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:3767–3773. [PubMed: 15771511]
- Poletti L, Lay L. Chemical Contributions to Understanding Heparin Activity: Synthesis of Related Sulfated Oligosaccharides. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003; 16:2999–3024.
- De Paz J-L, Angulo J, Lassaletta JM, Nieto PM, Horcajo M-R, Lozano RM, Gallego GG, Martín-Lomas M. The activation of fibroblast growth factors by heparin: Synthesis, structure, and biological activity of heparin like oligosaccharides. ChemBioChem. 2001; 2:673–685. [PubMed: 11828504]

- Wang Z, Xu Y, Yang B, Tiruchinapally G, Sun B, Liu R, Liu SDJ, Huang X. Preactivation-Based, One-Pot Combinatorial Synthesis of Heparin-like Hexasaccharides for the Analysis of Heparin-Protein Interactions. Chem. Eur. J. 2010; 16:8365–8375. [PubMed: 20623566]
- 16. De Paz JL, Martín-Lomas M. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of a Heparin-Like Hexasaccharide with the Structural Motifs for Binding to FGF and FGFR. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005; 2005:1849–1858.
- Schwörer R, Zubkova OV, Turnbull JE, Tyler PC. Synthesis of a Targeted Library of Heparan Sulfate Hexa- to Dodecasaccharides as Inhibitors of β-Secretase: Potential Therapeutics for Alzheimer's Disease. Chem. Eur. J. 2013; 19:6817–6823. [PubMed: 23553710]
- Arungundram S, Al-Mafraji K, Asong J, Leach FE, Amster IJ, Venot A, Turnbull JE, Boons G-J. Modular Synthesis of Heparan Sulfate Oligosaccharides for Structure-Activity Relationship Studies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:17394–17405. [PubMed: 19904943]
- Poletti L, Fleischer M, Vogel C, Guerrini M, Torri G, Lay L. A Rational Approach to Heparin-Related Fragments—Synthesis of Differently Sulfated Tetrasaccharides as Potential Ligands for Fibroblast Growth Factors. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001; 14:2727–2734.
- De Paz J-L, Ojeda R, Reichardt N, Lomas M-M. Some Key Experimental Features of a Modular Synthesis of Heparin-Like Oligosaccharides. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003; 17:3308–3324.
- Tiruchinapally G, Yin Z, el Dakdouki M, Wang Z, Huang X. Divergent Heparin Oligosaccharide Synthesis with Preinstalled Sulfate Esters. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011; 17:10106–10112. [PubMed: 21786352]
- 22. Gama CI, Tully SE, Sotogaku N, Clark PM, Rawat M, Vaidehi N, Goddard WA, Nishi A, Hsieh-Wilson LC. Sulfation patterns of glycosaminoglycans encode molecular recognition and activity. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2006; 2:467–473. [PubMed: 16878128]
- Hu Y-P, Lin S-Y, Huang C-Y, Zulueta MML, Liu J-Y, Chang W, Hung S-C. Synthesis of 3-Osulfonated heparan sulfate octasaccharides that inhibit the herpes simplex virus type 1 host-cell interaction. Nat. Chem. 2011; 3:557–563. [PubMed: 21697878]
- 24. Hu Y-P, Zhong Y-Q, Chen Z-G, Chen C-Y, Shi Z, Zulueta MML, Ku C-C, Lee P-Y, Wang C-C, Hung S-C. Divergent synthesis of 48 heparan sulfate-based disaccharides and probing the specific sugar–fibroblast growth factor-1 interaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:20722–20727. [PubMed: 23240683]
- 25. Li Y-C, Ho I-H, Ku C-C, Zhong Y-Q, Hu Y-P, Chen Z-G, Chen C-Y, Lin W-C, Zulueta MML, Hung S-C, et al. Interactions That Influence the Binding of Synthetic Heparan Sulfate Based Disaccharides to Fibroblast Growth Factor-2. ACS Chem. Biol. 2014; 9:1712–1717. [PubMed: 24959968]
- Handel TM, Johnson Z, Crown SE, Lau EK, Proudfoot AE. Regulation of protein function by glycosylaminoglycans–as exemplified by chemokines. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2005; 74:385–410. [PubMed: 15952892]
- Spillmann D, Witt D, Lindahl U. Defining the interleukin-8-binding domain of heparan sulfate. J. Biol. Chem. 1998; 273:15487–15493. [PubMed: 9624135]
- Uchimura K, Morimoto-Tomital M, Bistrup A, Li J, Lyon M, Gallagher J 3rd, Werb Z, Rosen SD. HSulf-2, an extracellular endoglucosamine-6-sulfatase, selectively mobilizes heparin-bound growth factors and chemokines: effects on VEGF, FGF-1, and SDF-1. BMC Biochem. 2006 doi: 10.1186/1471-2091-7-2.
- 29. Jastrebova N, Vanwildemeersch M, Rapraeger AC, Giménez-Gallego G, Lindahl U, Spillmann D. Heparan Sulfate-related Oligosaccharides in Ternary Complex Formation with Fibroblast Growth Factors 1 and 2 and Their Receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 2006; 281:26884–26892. [PubMed: 16807244]
- Cole CL, Hansen SU, Baráth M, Rushton G, Gardiner JM, Avizienyte E, Jayson GC. Synthetic heparan sulfate oligosaccharides inhibit endothelial cell function essential for angiogenesis. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5:e11644. [PubMed: 20657775]
- Ferreras C, Rushton G, Cole C, Babur M, Telfer BA, van Kuppevelt TH, Gardiner JM, Williams KJ, Jayson GC, Avizienyte E. Endothelial heparan sulfate 6-O-sulfation levels regulate angiogenic responses of endothelial cells to fibroblast growth factor 2 and vascular endothelial growth factor. J. Biol. Chem. 2012; 287:36132–36146. [PubMed: 22927437]

- 32. Xu Y, Cai C, Chandarajoti K, Hsieh P-H, Li L, Pham T-Q, Sparkenbaugh EM, Sheng J, Key NS, Pawlinski R, et al. Design of homogeneous and reversible low molecular weight heparins. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2014; 10:248–252. [PubMed: 24561662]
- 33. Miller GJ, Hansen SU, Avizienyte E, Rushton G, Cole C, Jayson GC, Gardiner JM. Efficient chemical synthesis of heparin-like octa-, deca- and dodecasaccharides and inhibition of FGF2- and VEGF165-mediated endothelial cell functions. Chem. Sci. 2013; 4:3218–3222.
- 34. Hansen SU, Miller GJ, Cole C, Rushton G, Avizienyte E, Jayson GC, Gardiner JM. Tetrasaccharide iteration synthesis of a heparin-like dodecasaccharide and radiolabelling for *in vivo* tissue distribution studies. Nat. Commun. 2013; 4 doi:10.1038/ncomms3016.
- Hansen SU, Miller GJ, Jayson GC, Gardiner JM. First Gram-Scale Synthesis of a Heparin-Related Dodecasaccharide. Org. Lett. 2013; 15:88–91. [PubMed: 23240767]
- Miller GJ, Hansen SU, Baráth M, Johannessen C, Blanch EW, Jayson GC, Gardiner JM. Synthesis of a heparin-related GlcN-IdoA sulfation-site variable disaccharide library and analysis by Raman and ROA spectroscopy. Carbohydr. Res. 2014; 400:44–53. [PubMed: 25457609]
- 37. Ferro DR, Provasoli A, Ragazzi M, Torri G, Casu B, Gatti G, Jacquinet JC, Sinay P, Petitou M, Choay J. Evidence for conformational equilibrium of the sulfated l-iduronate residue in heparin and in synthetic heparin mono- and oligo-saccharides: NMR and force-field studies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986; 108:6773–6778.



Figure 1. Higher sulfation heparin-type region with varying levels of GlcN *O*-6 sulfation.



Figure 2.

Strategic sequences with reducing terminus 6-*O*-sulfated (6-OS), central sequence de-6-*O*-sulfated (6-OH) and non-reducing terminus programmable for 6-OS or 6-OH.



Figure 3.

Strategy with fixed reducing terminal O6 protection to provide a matrix of oligosaccharides with single programmed reducing terminal unit or both terminal units programmed for 6-OS by choice of protecting group ($_{D}$ = glycosyl donor group).



Figure 4.

Disaccharide modules **1** and **2** with alternate D-GlcN 6-position protecting groups, programmed for access to 6-OH/6-OS final compounds.



Figure 5.

¹H-NMR signals (400 MHz) for iduronate anomeric regions of hexasaccharide **7** and octasaccharide **9**.



Scheme 1.

Synthesis of terminally-differentiated tetrasaccharides. (a) Ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN), MeCN/H₂O; (b) *N*-iodosuccinimide (NIS), AgOTf, DCM.



Scheme 2.

Synthesis of ladder of mono- or bis-terminally-differentiated hexasaccharides 7 and 8 and octasaccharide 9. (a) CAN, MeCN/H₂O; (b) NIS, AgOTf, DCM.